

Police Oversight Commission

City of Albuquerque
Independent Review Office

Second Quarter Report 2006

Rev. James L. Jones, POC Chair

Jay Rowland, IRO

Police Oversight Commissioners

Rev. James L. Jones, Chair

Michael Cook, Vice Chair

Dolly Sanchez de Rivera

Joe T. Gutierrez

Steve Smothermon

Ira Rimson

Hank P. Cadena

John Bulten

Independent Review Office Staff

Jay Rowland, Independent Review Officer

Trey Flynt, Investigator

Valerie Jaramillo, Senior Administrative Assistant

Table of Contents

Chairman's Perspective

Executive Summary

- I. Responsibilities of the POC and IRO
- II. Albuquerque's Police Complaint Handling System
- III. Work of the Police Oversight Commission
- IV. Statistical Report for Complaint Workload
- V. Timeliness in the Processing of Citizen Complaints
- VI. Action Taken on Sustained Allegations
- VII. Detailed Complaint Information, Parts I-IX

Chairman's Perspective

Improvements continue to be made in the civilian oversight of the Albuquerque Police Department as we strive to do our part to make APD the best police department in the United States.

Mayor Chavez and the City Council funded a third independent investigator for the Independent Review Office. This ensures more citizen complaints will be investigated by independent investigators instead of the Internal Affairs Division of APD. Citizens in Albuquerque and across the United States have far greater confidence in independent investigators doing the investigations. When independent investigators conduct the investigations, there can be no legitimate complaint about a cover up or favoritism. The Independent Review Officers and their independent investigators have established their reputations as unbiased, thorough, and fair. The citizens of Albuquerque can have the utmost confidence in them and be justifiably proud of the civilian oversight system. We are in the process of hiring the third investigator and will advertise nation-wide.

The City Council hired outside, independent consultants to review Albuquerque's system of civilian oversight of the police. The report was completed in May 2006 and contained seven areas of commendation and 45 specific recommendations for improvement. We will implement these improvements.

Their frank, overall assessment was that Albuquerque is one of the few places in the United States where the system of civilian oversight of the police is actually working. That is a tribute to Chief Ray Schultz, the volunteers on the Police Oversight Commission, both past and present, and the previous and current Independent Review Officers.

But even more than the above people, it is the two outstanding independent review investigators, Beth Mohr and Trey Flynt, who have earned the respect and trust of the officers and citizens for their extremely thorough and impartial investigations. They have been doing these investigations for almost five years and are truly the experts in this field. Their investigations leave no stone unturned. They not only look into all the issues raised by the complainants, but also issues not raised by the complainants but that are developed during the investigation. The facts developed by their investigations speak for themselves and lead to clear conclusions that the Chief, POC, and IRO can easily agree on. They have been the backbone of civilian oversight and are directly responsible for the success of this unique and highly successful system.

The consultant's report is on the IRO website at www.cabq.gov/iro. I encourage you to read this and provide your input to us. We intend to implement all the recommendations we possibly can. We welcome your comments on these issues or others.

Rev. James L. Jones, Chair
Police Oversight Commission

Executive Summary

The mission of the Police Oversight Commission (POC) is to provide a means for prompt, impartial, and fair investigation of all citizen complaints brought by individuals against the Albuquerque Police Department (APD), and to provide for community participation in setting and reviewing police department policies, practices and procedures. The Independent Review Officer (IRO) works with the POC and APD to ensure that (1) citizen complaints are thoroughly investigated, (2) citizens have a fair opportunity to appeal the results, and (3) APD policies are changed to prevent the recurrence of problems identified through the complaint process.

Significant Issues in 2006

1. The Mayor and City Council approved the Rules and Regulations for the Police Oversight Commission. We worked with the APOA and advocacy groups to implement the first set of rules and regulations for the POC in its seven year existence.
2. The Mayor and City Council funded an additional independent investigator. The IRO is in the process of hiring the new third investigator.
3. Citizen complaints have remained slightly under last year after increasing at a substantial rate for the previous three years. We had 351 complaints in 2005 and 307 complaints in 2004, huge increases over the previous record high of 220 in 2003. So far this year, we have had 164 citizen complaints.
4. The POC continues to monitor APD's completion of use of force forms, as required by their Standard Operating Procedures. This process is not improving and must get better.
5. Police shooting cases are still taking too long to complete, but there has been a significant improvement. The POC continues to work with APD and the District Attorney to ensure this process is speeded up. It is moving faster, but needs continual emphasis for a long time. APD is now beginning their administrative investigation immediately upon completion of their criminal investigation. They are no longer waiting for the District Attorney to complete her evaluation of the case before beginning their administrative investigation. The POC has asked the District Attorney to not take every police shooting case to the grand jury to further speed the process.
6. The IRO was sued by a complainant. This is the first time in history that the IRO had been sued in Federal Court. The IRO exercised his contractual option to hire outside counsel to defend him. The City Attorney agreed and hired the Bregman Law Firm to represent the IRO. This case was dismissed with prejudice on summary judgment. The complainant has sued again for the same reasons and another motion to dismiss is pending.

7. Chief Schultz formalized the mediation program earlier and has now expanded the program to add more trained APD mediators. The program will be formalized in the near future. The trained APD mediators have already amicably resolved many complaints to the satisfaction of the complainants. Officers have been willing to apologize when they made a mistake. That improves public relations for APD and satisfies the citizens.
8. The City Council hired consultants to review the system of civilian oversight of APD. This is the third review. The first was in 1998 and resulted in the City Council changing to the current system of police oversight. The second review was in 2002 and recommended many changes.
9. The consultant's report was received at the end of May. It has seven areas of commendations for the IRO, POC, and APD, including the new mediation program, the Rules and Regulations for the POC that was passed by City Council, the protection of the officers' "Garrity" rights, and the Chief including the IRO staff on APD's Policy Review Board.
10. Beth Mohr one of the original Independent Review investigators was hired to a position of increased responsibility and a substantial promotion. Her gain is our loss and now we must hire two new investigators. Internal Affairs is picking up the slack for us and the mediation program is working well, so the number of cases over 90 days should not increase as bad as last year.

Timeliness

The number of complaints received in this quarter was 92. This was one more this quarter than in 2005.

Completion of investigations of citizen police complaints from 2005 was delayed because the IRO staff was assigned the mayoral directed investigation of the Evidence Unit and senior APD staff, including the Chief. This investigation was our primary duty for 10 weeks. During that time period, all complaints were assigned to Internal Affairs for investigation. Because of these unusual events, Internal Affairs was given an atypical burden that adversely impacted their timely investigation of these complaints.

In 2006, we received 164 complaints. The IRO staff has 14 pending. The IRO has no cases pending over 90 days.

Internal Affairs has 32 pending investigations and only three over 90 days.

Mediation

Seventeen complaints have been successfully mediated so far this year and three complaints are pending mediation efforts. This program was praised by the consultant's report and is reaping many benefits. Complaints are only considered successfully mediated if the complainant is satisfied with the response by APD. Sometimes this can be a simple explanation of the situation and sometimes the officer will apologize to the citizen. This

leads to increased citizen satisfaction with the Albuquerque Police Department. Most officers also like the program and are very willing to participate. Chief Schultz has expanded the number of APD officers trained as mediators from 5 to 10. These officers immediately contact the complainant and then try to find out the facts and attempt to come to a resolution. No use of force cases are mediated and cases where an officer may have a history of complaints are not mediated either.

Unfortunately, not all officers or senior officers fully participate in the mediation program. We have had two complaints where the officer was willing to apologize to the complainant, which would have resolved the complaint to the satisfaction of the complainant and not had any discipline for the officer. The officers' chain of command discouraged the officer or prohibited the officer from making an official apology. Therefore, the mediation was stopped and the case was formally investigated. Sustained findings and discipline were entered into the officers' records. While the issues were relatively minor, they can adversely impact the officer and the findings remain in the officers' records.

Appeals

Four appeals were heard by the Police Oversight Commission this quarter. The POC agreed with the IRO on all the appeal findings. Three appeals are scheduled for July and four for August.

Policy Reviews

The Long Term Planning Committee reviews all complaints where the IRO and the Chief disagree before the cases are heard by the full POC. No non-concurrences were heard and none are pending.

The mediation program is running a pilot program. This has been very successful and the Chief is expanding the program and will make it a permanent program. Five officers were originally trained and five additional officers have been trained and are currently handling citizen complaints referred to them by the IRO.

The POC requested that findings by a jury of liability due to officer misconduct be entered into the officers' records. Chief Schultz disagreed and refused to implement this policy. The POC considered the issue and sent a letter to the mayor requesting this initiative be implemented. It has not been implemented, but Chief Schultz is reconsidering in light of the recent US Supreme Court decisions.

The POC has asked the Chief to brief all officers on the no tolerance policy of racial slurs or profiling.

The POC has requested additional security during the meetings due to some concerns with the conduct of a few citizens.

Consistent with the recommendations of the consultant's report, the POC has recommended the Police Oversight Ordinance be amended to provide for two three year terms for commissioners and the new IROs to have an initial term of four years. A City Councilor has agreed to sponsor those amendments and they will be introduced soon.

I. Responsibilities of the POC and IRO

The purpose of the police oversight system is to provide a means for prompt, impartial, and fair investigation of all citizen complaints brought by individuals against the Albuquerque Police Department (APD), and to provide for community participation in setting and reviewing police department policies, practices and procedures.

The Police Oversight Commission (POC) is composed of nine volunteers who broadly represent the diversity of the City. The POC has been charged to perform the following functions.

1. To promote a spirit of accountability and communication between the citizens and APD while improving community relations and enhancing public confidence.
2. To oversee the full investigation and/or mediation of all citizen complaints; audit and monitor all investigations and/or police shootings under investigation by APD's Internal Affairs (IA).
3. To gain the cooperation of APD and solicit public input by holding regularly scheduled meetings
4. To review all work of the Independent Review Office (IRO) with respect to quality, thoroughness, and impartiality of investigations.
5. Submit a quarterly report to the Mayor and City Council
6. Submit all findings to the Chief of Police.
7. To engage in a long-term planning process through which it identifies major problems and establishes a program of policy suggestions and studies each year.

The Independent Review Officer is an attorney and manages the Independent Review Office and its staff. The IRO is given autonomy and performs the following duties under the direction of the POC.

1. The IRO receives all citizen complaints and claims directed against APD and any of its officers. The IRO will review the citizen complaints and assign them to be investigated by IRO independent investigators or to Internal Affairs.

2. The IRO will oversee, monitor and review all of those investigations and make findings for each. These findings are forwarded to the POC for their approval.
3. The IRO makes recommendations and gives advice regarding APD policies and procedures to the POC, City Council, APD, and the Mayor.
4. An impartial system of mediation may be used for certain complaints.
5. Monitor all claims of excessive force and police shootings and be an ex-officio member of the Claims Review Board.
6. Ensure all investigations are thorough, objective, fair, impartial, and free from political influence.
7. Maintain and compile information sufficient to satisfy the POC's quarterly reporting requirements.
8. Play an active public role in the community and provide appropriate outreach to the community. Publicize the citizen complaint process and identify locations within the community that are suitable for citizens to file complaints in a non-police environment.

II. Albuquerque's Police Complaint Handling System

Any person may file a written complaint against APD or any of its officers. All complaints must be signed as required by the union contract. These written complaints can be sent to:

- a. The IRO's website at www.cabq.gov/iro.
- b. At the IRO office at Room 813, Plaza del Sol, 600 2nd St, NW.
- c. Mail to IRO, P.O. Box 1293, Albuquerque, NM 87103, or
- d. Internal Affairs.

Complaint forms are available for downloading on the IRO website, the IRO office, at City libraries, homeless shelters, police substations, and Internal Affairs. The complaints may be filed with the city staff and all complaints will be forwarded to the IRO.

Once the IRO receives a complaint, the complaint is entered into the IRO's case management database and assigned a unique Citizen Police Complaint (CPC) number. The IRO reviews the complaint and decides whether to attempt to mediate the complaint or assign the case to the IRO investigators or Internal Affairs. Normally the IRO is only staffed enough to investigate about 60% of the complaints and Internal Affairs investigates the rest of the complaints. Upon completion of the investigation, the IRO reviews the investigation for thoroughness, impartiality, and fairness. The IRO decides what APD Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) the citizen alleged to be violated and makes Findings based on the evidence contained in the investigation.

Findings are based on a preponderance of the evidence. The definitions of complaint dispositions are:

1. Sustained: The officer committed the alleged violation.
2. Not Sustained: The evidence is 50-50. It cannot be determined by a preponderance of the evidence whether the officer did or did not commit the alleged violation.
3. Unfounded: Officer did not commit the alleged violation.
4. Exonerated: Officer was justified in taking the course of action alleged and/or member was operating with the guidelines of the law or SOPs.
5. Inactivated: Complaint was determined to not merit further investigation. Complaints can be inactivated for several reasons, including; if they do not allege a violation of SOPs, are submitted over 90 days from the incident, are not against APD members, or the APD member cannot be identified.
6. Successfully Mediated: The case was successfully mediated and the complainant is satisfied.

The IRO's findings are finalized by the Police Oversight Commission and then sent to the complainant and the Chief of Police. The Chief of Police has sole disciplinary authority over the officer. The IRO notifies the citizen of their final decisions by certified mail. If the citizen who filed the complaint is dissatisfied with the findings of either the Chief or the IRO, that person may appeal that decision to the POC. The appeal must be made within ten business days from the date the citizen received the public record letter from the IRO. Appeals are heard during the POC's monthly, televised meetings and are open to the public. The POC may, upon appeal, modify or change the recommendation of the IRO and may make further recommendations to the Chief. The Chief would then reconsider his findings and notify the POC and the citizen of his decision. If the citizen is still not satisfied with the action of the POC or the Chief of Police, the citizen may request a review by the Chief Administrative Officer (CAO) within 10 business days of the Chief's action. The POC may also appeal the Chief's findings to the CAO. The CAO may take any action necessary to complete the disposition of the complaint, including imposing discipline.

III. Work of the Police Oversight Commission

The Police Oversight Commission is chaired by Rev. James L. Jones and Michael Cook is the vice chair. The POC heard 4 appeals from citizens this quarter. The POC agreed with the IRO on all the appeals.

The Long Term Planning Committee consists of four commissioners and is chaired by Michael Cook. These meetings are also open to the public, but not televised as are all POC meetings. They go into detailed review of issues referred to them by the POC. These meetings are usually held at the Plaza del Sol in the basement hearing room at 3 PM on the fourth Tuesday of every month.

IV. Statistical Report for Complaint Workload

The independent IRO investigatory process is outstanding and produces the prompt, impartial, fair, objective investigations of citizen complaints and is free from any political influence. The investigators thoroughly and impartially gather the evidence. The POC and IRO make findings free from any political influence. Disagreements with the Chief of Police are usually resolved. Those that cannot be resolved (as well as all findings) are explained to the citizen in a public record letter, who then has the opportunity to appeal to the Police Oversight Commission. These appeals are open to the public and televised. The IRO's findings are published in a public record letter, which are all available to the public in the IRO's office. Privacy material is deleted to protect the privacy of the citizens and officers. The process is an extremely open process and subject to public scrutiny. The administration, city councilors, and citizens of Albuquerque can be proud of the system of civilian oversight of the police department that they have created. The improvements and the passage of the amendments to the Police Oversight Ordinance in 2004 made the system of oversight even better. It is one of the best oversight systems in the United States and unique to Albuquerque.

The Independent Review Office compiles data from citizen complaints to provide an overview of the nature and frequency of citizen complaints as well as specific data, which allows the public to identify patterns and trends. By tracking various aspects of the complaints, recommendations can be made to address particular areas of concern. The IRO and POC work closely to identify areas that may be appropriate for data collection. Many of the policy and procedural issues addressed or reviewed by the POC come from concerns raised by citizens in their complaints.

There were 91 complaints filed this quarter for a total of 164. This is a 6% decrease over the same period as last year.

There were 196 citizen complaints filed 1999, 200 in 2000, 186 in 2001, 198 in 2002, 220 in 2003, 307 in 2004 and 351 in 2005. These numbers have increased steadily the last four years.

The first quarter of 2006 was the first decrease since 2000, The citizens of Albuquerque trust the system of civilian oversight to provide them a fair and impartial answer to their complaints. Citizen participation has improved and will continue to improve the Police Department in the long run. Citizen complaints have already identified problems with the Prisoner Transfer Center, completion of use of force forms, individual officers and numerous policy issues.

Timeliness Of Investigating Citizen Complaints

APD Standard Operating Procedure §3-43-21 states, “Within 60 days of the filing of a written complaint, or of the completion of the Internal Affairs investigation, whichever is sooner, the Chief of Police or his designee shall take any action necessary, including disciplinary action, to complete the review of the complaint. The Chief of Police may request that the Chief Administrative Officer or the Deputy Chief Administrative Officer for Public Safety grant an extension of up to 30 additional days.” The IRO office has a goal of 100% on the investigations to be completed within 90 days and 75% investigated within 60 days.

In 2006, 164 complaints were received. 118 have been completed and 46 are pending investigation. Below is the break out of pending complaints.

	<30	31-60	61-90	91-120	121+	Total
IRO	9	4	1	0	0	14
IA	11	14	4	3	0	32

V. Sustained Allegations

In 2001, 53 complaints were Sustained. 39 were sustained in 2002, while eighteen of those cases were Sustained in the last three months of 2002. 49 complaints were Sustained from 2003 and 62 citizen complaints were sustained in 2004. Three police shooting investigations were Sustained by the POC, but one was reversed on appeal by the CAO. In 2005, 68 citizen complaints and 8 police shootings were Sustained for procedural violations as of this report.

In 2006, we have Sustained 22 complaints so far. We have grouped the 2006 Sustained citizen complaints into the following categories.

Actions Taken in 2006

Categories	Customer Service	Police Powers	Procedure
No Action	0	0	0
Counseling	0	1	0
Verbal Reprimand	3	0	5
Letter of Reprimand	1	0	1
Suspension	0	2	1
Termination	0	0	0
Pending	2	4	2

Sustained Rate and APD Discipline Rate

Sustained Cases

APD Discipline rate on Sustained Cases

2000	31%	Not Available
2001	40%	60%
2002	27%	58%
2003	35%	87%
2004	32%	98%
2005	39%	98%
2006	42%	100%

VII. Detailed Complaint Information, Part I-IX

The following detailed information is the same information and in the same format that was compiled in the annual reports for 2000-2005.

POLICE OVERSIGHT COMMISSION

Rev James L. Jones, Chair

Michael Cook, Vice Chair

John Bulten

Hank Cadena

Joe Gutierrez

Ira Rimson

Dolly Sanchez de Rivera

Steve Smothermon

Jay A. Rowland, Independent Review Officer

Independent Review Office Police Oversight Commission

Second Quarterly Report – 2006

Citizen Police Complaints Internal Investigations of Officer Involved Shootings

Part I – Overview

It is the responsibility of the citizens of Albuquerque to bring to the attention of the Police Oversight Commission (POC) and Independent Review Officer (IRO) any possible violations of Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) as well as any conduct, which may impact the confidence and respect of the citizens for their police department. Citizens may bring these matters to the attention of the Albuquerque Police Department through the citizen complaint process and the public forum provided by the Police Oversight Commission. Input by citizens helps maintain the balance between a free government by the people and the authority granted to its police for law enforcement. Both the citizens and police work together for the betterment of the community.

Pursuant to §9-4-1-10 of the Police Oversight Ordinance, the POC shall be responsible for regularly informing the Mayor, City Council, and the public by submitting quarterly reports that contain the following types of information: (A) Data relating to the number, kind and status of all complaints received including those complaints sent to mediation; (B) Discussion of issues of interest undertaken by the POC which may include suggested policy and/or procedural changes, a listing of complaints and allegations by Council District, statistical ethnicity of subject officers, statistical ethnicity of complainants, and updates on prior issues and/or

recommendations; (C) The POC's findings and the Police Chief's issuance of discipline on those findings and the ongoing disciplinary trends of the Police Department; (D) Information on all public outreach initiatives undertaken by the POC or IRO such as speaking engagements, public safety announcements, and/or public information brochures on the oversight process; (E) The status of the long-term planning process identifying major problems, policy suggestions, and studies as required by §9-4-1-5 of the ordinance.

Compilation of the above information and officer-involved shootings pursuant to §9-4-1-5(B) is contained in the following Nine Parts.

The IRO compiled the information for the Nine Parts when citizen complaints were filed, reviewed, and when the investigation was completed. Following a completed investigation, the IRO wrote a letter to the complainant, which summarized the complaint, interviews, findings, and conclusions. These public record letters are available for public viewing in the office of the IRO at 600 2nd St, NW, Room 813 of the Plaza del Sol Building. Data was also taken from cases appealed to the POC and, if applicable, to the Chief Administrative Officer (CAO).

The Nine Parts are presented first, as a general overview; second, by more specific categories; third, by summary of Citizen Police Complaints (CPC); fourth, by Officer involvement in complaints; fifth, by Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) violations alleged; sixth, as a summary of internal investigations reviewed by the IRO; seventh, by Officer involvement in Internal Investigations; eighth, by Appeals heard by the POC; and ninth, by Activities of the POC and IRO. Great effort was made to protect the identity of the citizens and officers. A numeric code was given each officer involved in a complaint to protect his/her identity.

If you have any questions about this report or any of the information contained therein, please call Jay Rowland, the Independent Review Officer, at 924-3774.

A Citizen Police Complaint (CPC) is a written complaint initiated by a citizen against an Albuquerque Police Department (APD) officer or employee pursuant to §9-4-1-6 and §9-4-1-8 of the Police Oversight Ordinance. A CPC can be investigated by investigators in the IRO office or by investigators within APD. The IRO makes the decision as to which agency conducts the investigation and reviews all investigations for thoroughness, objectivity, fairness, impartiality, and freedom from political influence. Each citizen complaint is assigned a unique number, in chronological order, for example CPC 123-03. An Internal Investigation (I) is an investigation initiated within APD and is investigated by Internal Affairs (IA).

Citizen Police Complaints for 2006

Year to date	164
January to March	72
April to June	92
July to September	0
October to December	0

Number of Officers Complained About In 2006

Year to date	48
Involved in 1 Complaint	42
Involved in 2 Complaints	6
Involved in 3 Complaints	0
Involved in Over 3 Complaints	0
Pending Cases (officers unidentified)	54
APD civilian employees	2

Standard Operating Procedure Findings in 2006

Total SOPs Alleged To Be Violated	37
Findings on SOPs	
Sustained	19
Not Sustained	6
Unfounded	25
Exonerated	36
No finding on SOP, investigation pending	14
No finding on SOP, cases inactivated	52
No finding on SOP, cases mediated	16

An explanation of “Findings” on SOPs is found later in Part I.

Police Shootings of citizens are investigated by APD as criminal investigations initially. These investigations are reviewed by the District Attorney and often presented to the Grand Jury to determine whether the officer should be prosecuted or not. Historically, police shooting cases are seldom prosecuted. After the criminal investigation is completed, Internal Affairs conducts an Internal Investigation. This investigation is an administrative investigation to determine if any SOPs were violated and whether the officer should be disciplined administratively by the Chief of Police for any administrative violations. §9-4-1-5B requires the POC to monitor police shooting investigations by IA. The IRO reviews and makes findings on police shooting cases and forwards those findings to the POC and Chief of Police. Internal Affairs investigates all shootings by police officers, not just shootings of people. Because of the length of time for APD criminal investigations, District Attorney review and presentation to the grand jury, and Internal Affairs administrative investigations, police shooting cases often take over a year from the incident before they are sent to the IRO for review. The below incidents indicate the date the IRO reviewed the internal investigations of police shootings and not the date of the shooting.

Internal Investigations of Police Shootings

Year to Date	13
--------------	----

January to March	13
April to June	0
July to September	0
October to December	0

Citizens: 7	Dogs/Other: 5	Accidental: 0
-------------	---------------	---------------

Justified: 7	Justified: 5
Not Justified: 0	Not Justified 0
Fatalities: 6	Died: 4

Number of Officers Involved:	16
------------------------------	----

Involved in 1 Shooting	15
Involved in 2 Shootings	1
Involved in 2 or More	0

The incident complained of in a citizen police complaint (CPC) was sorted by the following City quadrants. The number of CPCs in each quadrant is indicated.

Geographical Characteristics

NE: 19 SE: 8 NW: 12 SW: 1 Unknown/NA: 124

The incident complained of in a citizen police complaint (CPC) was sorted by Area Command. The number of CPCs in each Area is indicated.

APD Area Command To Which Officer Was Assigned

Foothills	8
Northeast	7
Southeast	13
Valley	5
West Side	8
Aviation	1
BCSO	3
Field Services	0
Unknown/NA	119

Following is a list of the number of CPCs by City Council District (after redistricting) and Neighborhood Association boundaries.

<u>City Council District</u>	<u>Neighborhood Association</u>	<u># of CPCs</u>
City Council District: 1	Los Volcanes	1
	West Mesa	1
	Total:	2
City Council District: 2	Alta-Monte	1
	Bel-Air	1
	Hodgin	1
	Near Valley	1
	No neighborhood associaiton	1
	No neighborhood association	3
	Total:	8
City Council District: 3	Central Downtown	1
	Clayton Heights-Lomas del Cielo	1
	Huning Highland/Notify Downtown	1
	List/Broadway Central Corridor Partnership Inc	
	No neighborhood association	2
	Silver Hill	2
	Sycamore	1
	Vecinos Del Bosque	1
	Total:	9
City Council District: 4	Countrywood Area	1
	North Wyoming	1
	Total:	2
City Council District: 5	Alban Hills	1
	Taylor Ranch	2
	Vista Grande	1
	Volcano Cliffs	1
	Total:	5

City Council District: 6	Fair West	1	
	No neighborhood association	1	
	South Los Altos	1	
	South San Pedro	3	
	Victory Hills	1	
	Total:	7	
City Council District: 7	Conchas Park	1	
	No neighborhood association	2	
	San Gabriel Area	1	
	Total:	4	
City Council District: 8	Big Bend	1	
	Holiday Park	1	
	No neighborhood association	1	
	Total:	3	
City Council District: 9	Supper Rock	1	
	Total:	1	
Unknown City Council District:	Unknown/NA	57	
	Unkown/NA	2	
	Total:	59	
No City Council District:	Unknown/NA	64	
	Total:	64	

The following section indicates the outcome of a CPC investigation with regard to the number of findings for a SOP violation. The definition of findings is taken from § 3-43-12 of the SOP Manual. "IRO would find" indicates that during the review

Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) Alleged To Have Been Violated By

Definitions of findings:

Sustained:	The allegation is supported by sufficient proof.
Not Sustained:	The evidence is not sufficient to prove or disprove the allegation.
Unfounded:	The allegation is false or otherwise not based on valid facts.
Exonerated:	The incident that occurred or was complained against was lawful
Other:	The evidence supports action for infractions discovered during the investigation of a complaint that may be sustained, not sustained, unfounded, or exonerated.
Inactivated	The investigation was inactivated by either the citizen or by internal

See Part V for detailed explanations of SOPs, the officers involved, and the location of the alleged violation.

SOP	Finding	Totals
§ 1-03-2B 1	Exonerated	1
§ 1-03-2B 2	Pending	3
	Sustained	1
§ 1-03-3A	Sustained	1
	Unfounded	2
§ 1-04-1F	Exonerated	8
	Not Sustained	1
	Pending	1
	Sustained	3
	Unfounded	2
§ 1-04-1G	Unfounded	1
§ 1-04-4A	Exonerated	2
§ 1-04-4D	Exonerated	1

§ 1-04-4H	Sustained	1
§ 1-04-4N	Pending	3
	Unfounded	8
§ 1-04-4P	Exonerated	2
	Not Sustained	1
	Pending	1
	Sustained	3
	Unfounded	6
§ 1-04-4Q	Pending	1
	Unfounded	3
§ 1-04-4U	Exonerated	1
§ 1-04-4W	Not Sustained	1
§ 1-04-6C	Pending	1
§ 1-04-6I	Sustained	1
§ 1-04-6N	Not Sustained	1
§ 1-05-6I 4	Sustained	2
§ 1-31-3B	Sustained	1
§ 1-39-1A	Sustained	1
§ 1-39-1A 8	Sustained	1
§ 2-01-03A	Exonerated	1
§ 2-08-1	Exonerated	1
§ 2-08-12D 7	Not Sustained	1
§ 2-08-2	Pending	1

§ 2-19-3A	Exonerated	1
§ 2-19-3B	Exonerated	1
§ 2-24-3F 5	Sustained	1
§ 2-26-2B	Exonerated	1
§ 2-48-2 B	Exonerated	1
§ 2-48-2A	Sustained	1
§ 2-48-3B 2	Exonerated	2
§ 2-48-7 B	Exonerated	1
§ 2-48-7 D1	Exonerated	1
§ 2-52-02A	Exonerated	11
	Not Sustained	1
	Pending	2
	Unfounded	3
§ 2-52-02A 1	Sustained	1
§ 2-52-06B	Pending	1
§ 2-52-06D 2	Sustained	1
Inactivated	Inactivated	52
Pending	Pending	54
Resolved in Mediation	Inactivated	16

Part II- Characteristics of Complaints

Second Quarterly-2006

As each Citizen Police Complaint (CPC) was received and reviewed by the IRO, data is collected. Citizens provide the data voluntarily when the complaint is submitted. Sometimes the citizens decide not to submit requested information; therefore, the numbers in some areas will not always equal the number of CPCs filed.

Citizen Police Complaints Received by IRO

January to March	72	April to June	92
July to September	0	October to December	0

By Month of Incident

September 2005	1	October 2005	6	November 2005	4
December 2005	7	May 2005	1		
January 2006	17	February 2006	39	March 2006	25
April 2006	18	May 2006	27	June 2006	19
July 2006	0	August 2006	0	September 2006	0
October 2006	0	November 2006	0	December 2006	0

By Month Complaint Was Submitted

January	18	February	22	March	32
April	25	May	37	June	30
July	0	August	0	September	0
October	0	November	0	December	0

By Day of Week*

Sunday	12	Thursday	23
Monday	22	Friday	32
Tuesday	28	Saturday	19
Wednesday	28		

By Time of Day*

Midnight to 3AM	7	3AM to 6AM	1	6AM to Noon	21
Noon to 3PM	16	3PM TO 6PM	12	6PM TO 9PM	16
9PM to Midnight	0	Unknown	91		

*Some cases did not have a specific date or time or they took place over a period of time

Citizen Characteristics (As reported by the citizen)*

Sex:	Male	102	Female	70	Unknown	1
Age:	Juvenile	4	Adult	146	Unknown	23

By Ages

18-24	23	25-29	13	30-34	20
35-39	14	40-44	17	45-49	14
50-54	15	55-59	6	60+	16
				Unknown	35

Ethnicity:

Caucasian	22	African American	11
Asian	0	Hispanic	27
Latin American	0	Native American	4
Other:	5	Unknown	104

Homeless: 2

Mental Health Issues: 12

*Some cases had more than one citizen who brought the complaint

Incident Report Filed		Belt Tape Used		Medical Assistance Called	
Yes	46	Yes	11	Yes	16
No	68	No	97	No	145
Unknown	50	Unknown	56	Unknown	3

Part III- Summary of Citizen Second Quarterly Report- 2006

The following is a list of Citizen Police Complaints (CPCs) submitted and reviewed during the year 2005. For each CPC there is listed a summary of the complaint as described by the citizen, the officer involved by numeric code, the implicated Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) for each officer involved, the investigative finding, the IRO's review and Chief of Police's decision. The investigator is indicated as either the IRO or IA.

The CPCs received this year are numbered 001-06 through 164-06 and are listed in Section A. Completed investigations are noted. Where the investigation has not been completed, the SOP and Finding indicate: "Pending". Where the investigation had been completed but not reviewed by the IRO, the IRO Review / Chief's Decision will indicate: "pending".

Cases from prior years that were reviewed this year, are listed in Section B.

The geographic location of each incident is indicated by APD Area Command, City Council District (after redistricting), and Neighborhood Association boundaries.

Section A:

Cases received and reviewed this Quarter

CPC Summary of Complaint

001-06 Citizen upset because APD had her vehicle towed. A red tag sticker was taken off previously when APD put it on as a warning.

Officer
861

Investigator: **IA**

SOP

§ 2-48-2 B

§ 2-48-7 B

§ 2-48-7 D1

Finding

Exonerated

Exonerated

Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's

IRO and Chief agreed.

IRO and Chief agreed.

IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command

Northeast

Council District

4

Neighborhood Association

Countrywood Area

002-06 Citizen stated that while walking home her boyfriend and another guy got into a fight and six police cruisers showed up. The officers were calling them drunk Indians and telling them to go back to the reservation. The citizen was trying to explain to the officers that the guy was the one who started the fight but the officers did not want to hear her story. The female officer kept making racial and vulgar comments toward the citizen and the citizen talked back to the officer. A male officer then attacked the citizen and beat her up. The citizen had to go to the hospital.

Officer		Investigator:	IRO
040	SOP § 2-52-06D 2	Finding Sustained	IRO Review/Chief's IRO and Chief agreed.
532	SOP § 1-04-4N § 1-04-4P	Finding Unfounded Unfounded	IRO Review/Chief's IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed.
741	SOP § 2-52-02A	Finding Exonerated	IRO Review/Chief's IRO and Chief agreed.
Area Command Southeast	Council District 6	Neighborhood Association South San Pedro	

003-06 Citizen stated that the officer who came and took the report for his stolen vehicle never put it as stolen and it was found a few hours after he reported it stolen but he was not notified until months later and the tow costs were very expensive.

Officer		Investigator:	IRO
855	SOP § 2-26-2B	Finding Exonerated	IRO Review/Chief's IRO and Chief agreed.
856	SOP § 2-48-3B 2	Finding Exonerated	IRO Review/Chief's IRO and Chief agreed.
	SOP § 2-48-3B 2	Finding Exonerated	IRO Review/Chief's IRO and Chief agreed.
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District N/A	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA	

004-06 Citizen stated that it was brought to his attention that a police report was filed on him by one of the employees for fraud.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IA

SOP
Inactivated

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO inactivated case needs to be resolved in civil court. Complaint did not allege any unprofessional behavior on the part of the officer.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

005-06 Citizen stated that she was raped and the first set of officers did nothing to help her. They made her feel like she was at fault and accused her of doing drugs. The citizen was never taken to SANE and she is not sure a report was even done. The hospital did verify that she had a head injury but still nothing was done. When she got home she and her aunt called for police again and the officers that responded were a lot better and she was taken to the SANE unit. The citizen was hit in the head and then raped and car jacked.

Officer
800

Investigator: IRO

SOP
§ 1-03-2B 1
§ 1-04-4N
§ 2-52-02A 1

Finding
Exonerated
Unfounded
Sustained

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO and Chief agreed.
IRO and Chief agreed.
IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

006-06

Officer
Unidentified
(as of compilation of this report)

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Pending

Finding
Pending

IRO Review/Chief's
Pending

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
Unknown

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

007-06 Citizen stated that the officer was unprofessional by cursing at him, throwing his citations and ID at him. The officer also vindictively charged the citizen with careless driving.

Officer
197

Investigator: IRO

SOP
§ 1-04-1F

Finding
Sustained

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command
Westside

Council District
5

Neighborhood Association
Alban Hills

008-06 Citizen stated that a kid rolled over his vehicle and ran into his home. The citizen stated that the officer did not write any information on the report and never cited the kid for DUI.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Resolved in
Mediation

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO inactivated. Citizen happy with
outcome of mediation.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

009-06 Citizen stated officers assaulted him.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Inactivated

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO inactivated due to 90-day

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
Unknown

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

010-06 Citizen stated and he was involved in an accident and the other person was at fault but the officer put him at fault on the report. He felt the officers treated him unfairly and made a wrong decision.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Inactivated

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO inactivated per citizens request.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

011-06 Citizen stated that he was involved in a accident with an officer. The officer pulled out a gun on him. The citizen felt he used excessive force and wasn't even on duty.

Officer
254

Investigator: IRO

SOP
§ 1-04-4N
§ 1-04-4P
§ 2-52-02A

Finding
Unfounded
Unfounded
Unfounded

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO and Chief agreed.
IRO and Chief agreed.
IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command
Westside

Council District
1

Neighborhood Association
West Mesa

012-06 Citizen stated he honked his horn at an officer because he thought he was going to hit him. The officer pulled the citizen over and began to harass him. The citizen stated that he was stereotyped. The citizen had surgery and was recovering and the officer gave him a wedgie and irritated the area. He reported the officer to his doctors.

Officer
611

Investigator: IRO

SOP

§ 1-04-1G

§ 1-04-4N

§ 1-04-4P

§ 2-52-02A

Finding

Unfounded

Unfounded

Unfounded

Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's

IRO and Chief agreed.

IRO and Chief agreed.

IRO and Chief agreed.

IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command
Northeast

Council District
2

Neighborhood Association
No neighborhood association

013-06 Citizen stated that officers beat him up after he signed a ticket. The second incident occurred when the citizen tried to go put an application and an officer told him he needed to see his vehicle information. The citizen told the officer that he was not in the vehicle and why did he need to see the information. The officer told him his tint was too dark. The citizen stated that it was not and the officer arrested him.

Officer
115

Investigator: IRO

SOP

§ 2-52-02A

Finding

Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's

IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

014-06 Officers detained three teenagers in front of a store. The officers found a pipe on the teenagers and ordered them to destroy it. They did and the citizen stated that the mess was left in their business area to pick up. The citizen would have liked the officers to make sure the mess was not left.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IA

SOP

Resolved in
Mediation

Finding

Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's

IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen happy
with outcome of mediation.

Area Command
Northeast

Council District
7

Neighborhood Association
Conchas Park

015-06 Citizen stated that the officer stole \$82.00 from his wallet.

Officer Unidentified	Investigator: IA	
SOP Inactivated	Finding Inactivated	IRO Review/Chief's IRO inactivated due to 90-day
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District N/A	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

016-06 Citizens stated that the officer pulled them over. Then another officer arrived and began harassing and cursing at everyone. The officer refused to give his name and badge number. When asked for the information he arrested everyone that asked. The citizens stated that the police report was a total lie and that when they told the other officers that they had witnessed what was going on the told the citizen that they did not see anything.

Officer 527	Investigator: IRO	
SOP § 1-03-2B 2 § 1-03-3A § 1-04-4P § 1-31-3B	Finding Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained	IRO Review/Chief's IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed.
Area Command Westside	Council District 1	Neighborhood Association Los Volcanes

017-06 Citizen stated that the officer harassed him. He also took things that belonged to him. The officer stated he was arrested for drugs and the officer flat out lied.

Officer Unidentified	Investigator: IRO	
SOP Inactivated	Finding Inactivated	IRO Review/Chief's IRO inactivated due to 90-day
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District N/A	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

018-06 Citizen stated that officer confiscated his license plate because it did not belong to the vehicle he was driving. He stated that his other vehicle was broken. The officer wrote him four citations and told the citizen if he did not sign them he would go to jail.

Officer Unidentified	Investigator: IRO	
SOP Inactivated	Finding Inactivated	IRO Review/Chief's IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen did not allege any unprofessional behavior.
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District N/A	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

019-06 Citizen stated that the officer told him he would get a chance to kill him one day. The officer does not like him because he is African-American and is dating a Hispanic woman.

Officer
682

Investigator: IRO

SOP

§ 1-04-4N
§ 2-52-02A

Finding

Unfounded
Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's

IRO and Chief agreed.
IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command
Valley

Council District
3

Neighborhood Association
Huning Highland/Notify Downtown
List/Broadway Central Corridor Partnership

020-06 Citizen stated that a man came and wanted access into the backyard of their home to do telephone work because he was a quest employee. The citizen asked for ID and the man did not have it. He later than arrived with a police officer to let him in. The officer forced the citizen to let him in.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IRO

SOP

Inactivated

Finding

Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's

IRO inactivated due to 90-day

Area Command
Westside

Council District
5

Neighborhood Association
Taylor Ranch

021-06 Citizen stated that police arrived at a party at his apartment and beat him up. He stated the officers beat him up because he is hispanic. The officers used vulgar language.

Officer
114

Investigator: IRO

SOP

§ 1-04-4N
§ 2-52-02A

Finding

Unfounded
Unfounded

IRO Review/Chief's

IRO and Chief agreed.
IRO and Chief agreed.

149

SOP

§ 1-04-4H

Finding

Sustained

IRO Review/Chief's

IRO and Chief agreed.

864

SOP

§ 1-04-4N
§ 1-04-4P
§ 2-52-02A

Finding

Unfounded
Sustained
Unfounded

IRO Review/Chief's

IRO and Chief agreed.
IRO and Chief agreed.
IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command
Northeast

Council District
2

Neighborhood Association
Bel-Air

022-06 Citizen stated that the officer kept pumping his breaks and when they got to a stop sign he got out of the car and said "Get off my fucking ass". The citizen stated that she was not close to the officer. She stated that the officer had a child in his vehicle as well.

Officer
667

Investigator: IRO

SOP
§ 1-04-4P

Finding
Sustained

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command
Westside

Council District
5

Neighborhood Association
Volcano Cliffs

023-06 Citizen stated that she is afraid of her husband and an officer from APD that he knows went to where they live out of state and is now intimidating her.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IA

SOP
Inactivated

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO and Chief agreed. Complaint does not allege any unprofessional behavior on the part of the officer.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

024-06 Citizen stated that officers spoke at the woman's shelter where she was at.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Inactivated

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO inactivated due to no alleged unprofessional behavior on part of the officer.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

025-06 Citizen stated that an officer's wife was pretending to be her. She stated she could not be married to all these officers and that she had too many problems with the Chief of Police.

Officer
Not an officer

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Inactivated

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO inactivated due to no alleged unprofessional behavior on part of any officer.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

026-06 Citizen stated that someone made a false complaint about her regarding drugs. The police have investigated as well as CYFD and there is no truth to the lies. She would like assistance in getting whomever is doing this to her to stop. The person has even vandalized her vehicle.

Officer
Not an officer

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Inactivated

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO inactivated due to citizen not signing complaint. Also, citizen did not allege any unprofessional behavior on part of the officer.

Area Command
Valley

Council District
2

Neighborhood Association
Near Valley

027-06 Citizen staed that the officer keeps harassing them and is getting more officers involved.

Officer
210

Investigator: IA

SOP
§ 1-04-1F

Finding
Unfounded

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
Unknown

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

028-06 Citizen stated that the officer pulled her over for no seat belt and she stated she told him she was. A disagreement went on back and forth. The citizen asked for her citation but the officer pulled her out of the car and told her she was going to jail for refusing to sign the ticket. The citizen suffered bruising and pinched nerves due to the handcuffs. She stated the officer was rude and arrogant.

Officer
682

Investigator: IRO

SOP
§ 1-04-1F
§ 1-39-1A 8

Finding
Exonerated
Sustained

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO and Chief agreed.
IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command
Northeast

Council District
2

Neighborhood Association
Hodgin

029-06 Citizen stated was laying down when an officer told him he was sleeping. The citizen stated he was not so the officer maced him for no reason and told him if he ever saw him there again he would throw his shit in the dumpster and send his dog to the pound.

Officer
045

Investigator: IRO

SOP
§ 1-04-1F
§ 2-52-02A

Finding
Not Sustained
Not Sustained

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO and Chief agreed.
IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command
Southeast

Council District
3

Neighborhood Association
No neighborhood association

030-06 Citizen stated that the officer hit his vehicle with his flashlight for no reason and detained him.

Officer
492

Investigator: IRO

SOP

§ 1-04-1F
§ 2-52-02A

Finding

Exonerated
Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's

IRO and Chief agreed.
IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command
Southeast

Council District
3

Neighborhood Association
No neighborhood association

031-06 Citizen stated that her ex came over and began harassing her and calling her names. She had called the police and they told her if he came back to call them. Later that night at 11pm the kids were asleep. The police came and told the citizen she had to let her kids go with her ex. The citizen told them it was too late and the kids were already asleep but the officer did not care. She told him about the other officer who had been there earlier but he told her he was there now and his kids stayed up til 11pm so her kids could go at that time. The kids had to get up and leave. The officer let her ex in the house and she did not want him there.

Officer
Unidentified
(as of compilation of this report)

Investigator: IA

SOP

Pending

Finding

Pending

IRO Review/Chief's

Pending

Area Command
Northeast

Council District
2

Neighborhood Association
Alta-Monte

032-06 Citizen stated that officers beat him up because his wife called 911 due to a family fight.

Officer
235

Investigator: IRO

SOP

§ 2-52-02A

Finding

Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's

IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command
Foothills

Council District
8

Neighborhood Association
No neighborhood association

033-06 Citizen stated that during a traffic stop the officer told the citizen who would they believe in court. He stated they were both wearing their seatbelts and the officer lied. Also, when in court the officer told him to wait til he was out of his uniform. The citizen is in fear of his safety.

Officer
069

Investigator: IA

SOP

§ 1-04-1F

§ 1-04-4N

§ 1-04-4P

Finding

Sustained

Unfounded

Unfounded

IRO Review/Chief's

IRO and Chief agreed.

IRO and Chief agreed.

IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command

Valley

Council District

2

Neighborhood Association

No neighborhood association

034-06 Citizen stated he was falsely arrested for assault on a woman who owed him money but refused to pay him. She attacked him and then call the police to get rid of him so she would not have to pay him. The woman was not injured but he was and the officers should have arrested her and not him.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IRO

SOP

Inactivated

Finding

Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's

IRO inactivated because the issue needs to be settled in court by a judge.

Area Command

Unknown/NA

Council District

N/A

Neighborhood Association

Unknown/NA

035-06 Citizen stated that officers falsely accused him of breaking and entering and he was never given any criminal complaint or anything.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IRO

SOP

Inactivated

Finding

Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's

IRO inactivated due to no unprofessional behavior accused on the officers. Also, a criminal court has authority to decide the issue.

Area Command

Southeast

Council District

3

Neighborhood Association

Silver Hill

036-06 Citizen was in a bus ride going through Albuquerque. Everything else in the complaint discussed issues that were uncomprehendable.

Officer Not an officer	Investigator: IRO	
SOP Inactivated	Finding Inactivated	IRO Review/Chief's IRO inactivated due to no misconduct alleged.
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District N/A	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

037-06 Citizen stated that he is suicidal and officers were called out because he was having an episode. He believed they took his knife and medications. He stated that the officer called him a faggot in front of the EMTs and his partner.

Officer Unidentified	Investigator: IA	
SOP Inactivated	Finding Inactivated	IRO Review/Chief's IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen did not want to pursue case and wanted the case closed.
Area Command Southeast	Council District 3	Neighborhood Association Sycamore

038-06 Citizen stated that her son was chased by eight APD officers and a APD helicopter. She stated her son did nothing and they beat him up badly. She stated his tooth is going to fall out.

Officer Unidentified	Investigator: IRO	
SOP Inactivated	Finding Inactivated	IRO Review/Chief's IRO and Chief agreed.
Area Command Valley	Council District 2	Neighborhood Association No neighborhood association

039-06 Citizen stated that police were called out and they harassed her. She stated she did not call them someone else did. She also stated that the officers recognized her from previous years and all they do is harass her.

Officer 320	Investigator: IA	
	SOP § 1-04-4A	Finding Exonerated
		IRO Review/Chief's IRO and Chief agreed.
833	SOP § 1-04-4A	Finding Exonerated
		IRO Review/Chief's IRO and Chief agreed.
Area Command Foothills	Council District 9	Neighborhood Association Supper Rock

040-06 Citizen stated that a Dr. implanted multiple microchips in his mouth. He stated that they are tracking devices. He stated that the cops are trying to cover up a conspiracy for NASA and all government agencies. The officers wanted to take him to 2600 Marble for a psychiatric evaluation just to make him mentally incapable to address this issue and to further conceal a conspiracy about the operation.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Inactivated

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO inactivated due no complaint alleging any unprofessional behavior on the part of the officers.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

041-06 Citizen stated that when her vehicle was towed it had a full tank of gas and after the police had it towed the tank was empty. She would just like to be reimbursed for the gas that was taken.

Officer
Not an officer

Investigator: IA

SOP
Inactivated

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen did not sign complaint

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

042-06 Citizen stated her daughter and granddaughter had car problems and the daughter tried to flag down three officers for help and they just kept driving. None would help her out.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Resolved in
Mediation

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen happy with outcome of mediation.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

043-06 Citizen stated that her sons vehicle was cited and a sticker was put on his window. She stated that everything was current on the vehicle and would like to know why her sons car was targeted.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Resolved in
Mediation

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO inactivated. Citizen happy with outcome of mediation.

Area Command
Westside

Council District
5

Neighborhood Association
Vista Grande

044-06 Citizen stated that she called 911 to have her escorted to get her belongings from a repossessed car. She stated that the operator then called her back and told her there would be no officer dispatched. The citizen left and later received a call asking why she had left the scene. She is upset because she got the run around and then was lied to. She would like her property back.

Officer Civilian Employee	Investigator: IRO	
SOP § 1-04-4Q	Finding Unfounded	IRO Review/Chief's IRO and Chief agreed.
Civilian Employee		
SOP § 1-04-4Q	Finding Unfounded	IRO Review/Chief's IRO and Chief agreed.
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District N/A	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

045-06 Citizen stated that he and his wife were handcuffed and threatened with arrest for asking for the damn ticket. Their daughter also called the officer a pig and he got upset and put his face in hers and told her to never say that again or he would take her to the d-home.

Officer Unidentified (as of compilation of this report)	Investigator: IA	
SOP Pending	Finding Pending	IRO Review/Chief's Pending
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District Unknown	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

046-06 Citizen stated that an officer threw her son out of Dions pizza because he was African-American.

Officer Unidentified (as of compilation of this report)	Investigator: IRO	
SOP Pending	Finding Pending	IRO Review/Chief's Pending
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District Unknown	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

047-06 Citizen stated that she had cleared up a parking problem with an officer who did security at the apartment complex she was at. She felt the issue was resolved. While at her vehicle another off-duty officer approached her and she did not believe him to be an officer. She called 911. When other units were on there way the off-duty officer left and came back with his badge and unit. She would like to know why he was harassing her.

Officer Unidentified (as of compilation of this report)	Investigator: IRO	
	SOP Pending	Finding Pending
		IRO Review/Chief's Pending
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District Unknown	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

048-06 Citizen stated that he was arrested and never told why. He was then taken to pick up more prisoners to be taken to the jail with him. He stated that the officers did not show up to court. He stated that for the DMV hearing one officer was cooperative but the other was

Officer 866	Investigator: IA	
	SOP § 1-04-1F § 1-04-4P § 2-01-03A	Finding Exonerated Exonerated Exonerated
		IRO Review/Chief's IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed.
Area Command Foothills	Council District 7	Neighborhood Association No neighborhood association

049-06 Citizen stated that the officer cited him for not wearing a seat belt and he was. He stated that the officer admitted that he was not sure if he had one on or not.

Officer Unidentified	Investigator: IRO	
	SOP Inactivated	Finding Inactivated
		IRO Review/Chief's IRO inactivated due to a judge being able to decide the issue.
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District N/A	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

050-06 Citizen stated that she was at her sons school to discuss a policy that she did not agree with. She stated that she was asking the receptionist questions and the officer kept interrupting rudely and told the citizen she would put her nose into any conversation she wanted. The citizen asked the officer several times to mind her business and she did not. When the citizen was walking off with the principal to discuss the issues the officer told the principal that she would be right there if she needed her.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Inactivated

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO inactivated due to citizen not signing complaint. Complaint needs to be signed per APOA contract.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

051-06 Citizen stated that an officer let a citizen drive off while drunk and she gets cited for speeding.

Officer
Not an officer

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Inactivated

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO inactivated due to citizen not being in the stated when the alleged incident occurred. IRO spoke with citizens family whom stated that the citizen had never visited NM.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

052-06 Citizen stated that the officer tried to pull him over for about 5 minutes but he did not pull over immediately because his dog was having seizures and he needed to get her to a vet immediately. The officer threatened him with a tazer and jail. The citizen felt the officer could have let someone take his dog in to the vet or let personnel come help but he didn't care. He stated the officer lied on his police report.

Officer
190

Investigator: IRO

SOP
§ 1-04-1F

Finding
Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command
Westside

Council District
5

Neighborhood Association
Taylor Ranch

053-06 Citizen stated that he parked behind an officer at a gas station. The officer told him to move his vehicle because he was in a no parking zone. The citizen told the officer that he was also in the no parking zone. The officer told him he was on official business. The citizen stated the officer got a soda and left.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Inactivated

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO inactivated due to citizen not signing complaint. Complaint needs to be signed per APOA contract.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

054-06 Citizen stated that he was in an accident and he and the other driver exchanged information. Later officers arrived cited him and used excessive force by pushing him against the wall and making him bleed.

Officer
862

Investigator: IRO

SOP
§ 1-04-1F
§ 2-52-02A

Finding
Exonerated
Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO and Chief agreed.
IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command
Foothills

Council District
8

Neighborhood Association
Holiday Park

055-06 Citizen would like to know why the officer was not at court for an aggravated DWI charge. Because the officer failed to appear in court the offender is free because the case was dismissed.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IA

SOP
Inactivated

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO and Chief agreed. Officer already disciplined regarding this issue.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

056-06 Citizen stated that a guy asked him for change and he gave the guy change at his vehicle. A SUV came up and pointed guns at him and used excessive force. Accusing him of selling drugs. The citizen told the officers he did not know the man and he just gave him change. The officers let the citizen go after they knew he was not lying.

Officer

Unidentified
(as of compilation of this report)

Investigator: IA

SOP

Pending

Finding

Pending

IRO Review/Chief's

Pending

Area Command

Unknown/NA

Council District

Unknown

Neighborhood Association

Unknown/NA

057-06 Citizen stated that she was arrested and never read her rights. Also, the officer cuffed her hands in the back and her medical condition doesn't allow that.

Officer

Unidentified

Investigator: IRO

SOP

Resolved in
Mediation

Finding

Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's

IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen happy
with outcome of mediation.

Area Command

Southeast

Council District

3

Neighborhood Association

Silver Hill

058-06 Citizen stated that she evicted a tenant. She stated days later the tenant arrived at her home with officers and friends. The officers told her that she had no business there and kicked her out and let the tenant take whatever he wanted. When the citizen arrived home she called 911 and was told by other officers that what they officers did was wrong.

Officer

Unidentified
(as of compilation of this report)

Investigator: IA

SOP

Pending

Finding

Pending

IRO Review/Chief's

Pending

Area Command

Unknown/NA

Council District

Unknown

Neighborhood Association

Unknown/NA

059-06 Citizen stated that everytime the officer sees her and her children without her husband the officer runs his sirens. She stated he is crazy and scares them.

Officer

Unidentified

Investigator: IRO

SOP

Inactivated

Finding

Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's

IRO inactivated due to no vehicle with
information provided by citizen exists.

Area Command

Unknown/NA

Council District

N/A

Neighborhood Association

Unknown/NA

060-06 Citizen stated that she witnessed officers hit a boy after being handcuffed.

Officer
847

Investigator: IRO

SOP

§ 1-05-6I 4

§ 2-52-02A

Finding

Sustained

Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's

IRO and Chief agreed.

IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command

Southeast

Council District

6

Neighborhood Association

No neighborhood association

061-06 Citizen stated that the officer began choking him for no reason and the detective took pictures of his injuries. He then fell and photos were taken of those injuries as well.

Officer
375

Investigator: IRO

SOP

§ 1-05-6I 4

§ 2-52-02A

Finding

Sustained

Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's

IRO and Chief agreed.

IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command

Unknown/NA

Council District

N/A

Neighborhood Association

Unknown/NA

062-06 Citizen stated that the officer was talking on her cell and typing on her computer in the car. He stated the officer was swerving and everything. He stated she should pull over and do her business the way citizens are told to do so.

Officer
865

Investigator: IRO

SOP

§ 1-04-6N

Finding

Not Sustained

IRO Review/Chief's

IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command

Foothills

Council District

8

Neighborhood Association

Big Bend

063-06 Citizen stated that officers lied and falsified information on police reports.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IRO

SOP

Inactivated

Finding

Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's

IRO inactivated due to 90-day

Area Command

BCSO

Council District

N/A

Neighborhood Association

Unknown/NA

064-06 Citizen stated that he was involved in an accident and the report has not been at records

Officer

BCSO

Investigator: IRO

SOP

Inactivated

Finding

Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's

IRO inactivated due to officer being BCSO and not APD. No jurisdiction.

Area Command

BCSO

Council District

N/A

Neighborhood Association

Unknown/NA

065-06 Citizen discussed issues regarding satanic rituals, wicken rituals and officers being involved in the conspiracy.

Officer

Not an officer

Investigator: IRO

SOP

Inactivated

Finding

Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's

IRO inactivated due to 90-day

Area Command

Unknown/NA

Council District

N/A

Neighborhood Association

Unknown/NA

066-06 Citizens stated that officers came to their home and harassed them because her husband was incarcerated. They asked them about the suspect that killed the Sheriffs officer. One of the officers called the citizen a bitch and wanted him to come outside to settle things.

Officer

Unidentified

(as of compilation of this report)

Investigator: IA

SOP

Pending

Finding

Pending

IRO Review/Chief's

Pending

Area Command

Unknown/NA

Council District

Unknown

Neighborhood Association

Unknown/NA

067-06 Citizen stated an officer and his partner took his property.

Officer

625

Investigator: IRO

SOP

§ 2-08-12D 7

Finding

Not Sustained

IRO Review/Chief's

IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command

Southeast

Council District

6

Neighborhood Association

South San Pedro

068-06 Citizen stated that he was dieabetic and had neuropathy and could not pass a field sobriety test because his sugar was at 489. The officers told him if he'd cooperate he would release the vehicle to his mother. At court the officer denied this.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Inactivated

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO inactivated. Issues in complaint need to be decided by a judge for the criminal case.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

069-06 Citizen stated that her neighbors were throwing something against her wall and always do. She called to have officers come out and deal with the situation. The officers arrived and one of the officers searched her house and had an attitude and told her she should go talk to the neighbors herself.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IA

SOP
Resolved in
Mediation

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen happy with outcome of mediation.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

070-06 Citizen stated that she was not read her rights and the officer did not return her drivers license. She stated that the way the officer was acting she was fearful that he was not really a cop.

Officer
732

Investigator: IRO

SOP
§ 1-03-3A
§ 1-04-4P
§ 1-04-4Q
§ 2-48-2A

Finding
Unfounded
Not Sustained
Unfounded
Sustained

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO and Chief agreed.
IRO and Chief agreed.
IRO and Chief agreed.
IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

071-06 Citizen stated he was having soda at the fast food restraurant when an officer came and threw him and his friends out. The citizen asked the citizen why he had to leave since he was a paying customer. The officer began to threaten him with arrest.

Officer
843

Investigator: IRO

SOP

§ 1-04-1F

Finding

Sustained

IRO Review/Chief's

IRO and Chief agreed.

§ 1-04-4W

Not Sustained

IRO and Chief agreed.

§ 1-04-6I

Sustained

IRO and Chief agreed.

§ 1-39-1A

Sustained

IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command

Foothills

Council District

7

Neighborhood Association

San Gabriel Area

072-06 Citizen stated that his vehicle was towed and is current on registration, insurance and all. The vehicle is always used but the PSA had it towed and he don't know why.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IA

SOP

Inactivated

Finding

Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's

IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen did not sign complaint per APOA contract.

Area Command

Unknown/NA

Council District

N/A

Neighborhood Association

Unknown/NA

073-06 Citizen stated that the officer cited her because she was going to file a complaint. She stated that she recorded the incident.

Officer
Unidentified
(as of compilation of this report)

Investigator: IRO

SOP

Pending

Finding

Pending

IRO Review/Chief's

Pending

Area Command

Unknown/NA

Council District

Unknown

Neighborhood Association

Unknown/NA

074-06 Citizen stated two police vehicles ran red a red light and did not have their emergency equipment on.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IRO

SOP

Inactivated

Finding

Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's

IRO inactivated . Citizen did not sign complaint. Complaint must be signed per APOA contract.

Area Command

Unknown/NA

Council District

Unknown

Neighborhood Association

Unknown/NA

075-06 Citizen stated that CIT officer was harassing her.

Officer Unidentified	Investigator: IRO	
SOP Inactivated	Finding Inactivated	IRO Review/Chief's IRO inactivated due to 90-day
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District Unknown	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

076-06 Citizen discussed issues regarding satanic rituals, wicken rituals and officers being involved in the conspiacy.

Officer Unidentified	Investigator: IA	
SOP Inactivated	Finding Inactivated	IRO Review/Chief's IRO and Chief agreed. Allegations found with no merit.
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District N/A	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

077-06 Citizen stated that his neighbor complained to police regarding his trailor. He stated that his trailor was legally parked because he had discussed the issue with other officers and nuisance abatement. He stated that the neighbor must be have someone giving her personal favors for his trailor to be tagged.

Officer Unidentified	Investigator: IRO	
SOP Inactivated	Finding Inactivated	IRO Review/Chief's IRO and Chief agreed. Citations can be resolved by a fair and impartial
Area Command Foothills	Council District 7	Neighborhood Association No neighborhood association

078-06 Citizen stated that officers used excessive force on her because she was watching them arrest others she knew. She asked the officers if she could contact a friend to make sure her son was taken care of. The officers ignored her. The officer told her if she complained about the ride to the jail she would pull over and the citizen would regret it.

Officer Unidentified (as of compilation of this report)	Investigator: IA	
SOP Pending	Finding Pending	IRO Review/Chief's Pending
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District Unknown	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

079-06 Citizen stated he was cited and his car was towed. The citizen stated the officer saw proof of his papers and still handled the situation badly.

Officer
868

Investigator: IRO

SOP
§ 1-04-1F

Finding
Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

080-06 Citizen stated that someone broke into his apartment. He stated that officers arrived and he did not open the door when he heard the officers kicking and banging on the door. The landlord let the officers in and the landlord later served the citizen with an eviction notice. He stated that he was discriminated against.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IA

SOP
Resolved in
Mediation

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen happy
with response from APD.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

081-06 Citizen stated that the officer pulled him over threatened him with jail and cited him. He stated that the officer laughed about the citations and insulted him in front of his children.

Officer
863

Investigator: IRO

SOP
§ 1-04-1F

Finding
Unfounded

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command
Westside

Council District
3

Neighborhood Association
Vecinos Del Bosque

082-06 Citizen stated that he was standing by a pole when an officer chased him with a horse. A slew of other officers also chased the citizen. The citizen stated they stomped on him and beat him up.

Officer
Unidentified
(as of compilation of this report)

Investigator: IA

SOP
Pending

Finding
Pending

IRO Review/Chief's
Pending

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
Unknown

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

083-06 Citizen stated that she received a ticket for expired plates. She stated that she contested the ticket because her tags are up to date and on her vehicle.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Resolved in
Mediation

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen happy
with outcome of mediation.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

084-06 Citizen stated that the Chief assigned two officers to a neighborhood board of directors meeting on Chief's overtime. She stated that the officers escorted her out of the meeting for because she contradicted the president so he had officers remove her. She stated that the officers violated the constitution and the bill of rights.

Officer
Unidentified
(as of compilation of this report)

Investigator: IA

SOP
Pending

Finding
Pending

IRO Review/Chief's
Pending

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
Unknown

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

085-06 Citizen stated that she was pumping breast milk in her locked office when an officer unlocked the door to speak with her. She told the officer she was busy and the officer was rude about the whole situation. She stated the officer would not apologize to her and told her to find a better place to breast feed. She stated that she had tried calling his supervisor but no calls were returned.

Officer
739

Investigator: IRO

SOP
§ 1-04-1F

Finding
Pending

IRO Review/Chief's
Pending

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

086-06 Citizen stated that the officer wrote a police report wrong and did not show up to court.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Inactivated

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO inactivated due to 90-day

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
Unknown

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

087-06 Citizen stated that three undercover officers assaulted him at the homeless shelter.

Officer Unidentified	Investigator: IA	
SOP Inactivated	Finding Inactivated	IRO Review/Chief's IRO and Chief agreed. There were no officers involved in this incident.
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District N/A	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

088-06 Citizen stated that her neighbors were causing a disturbance so she called 911. She stated that the officer drove around a little but never checked anything out.

Officer Unidentified (as of compilation of this report)	Investigator: IA	
SOP Pending	Finding Pending	IRO Review/Chief's Pending
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District Unknown	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

089-06 Citizen stated that federal law was broken at a Isatopes game because the stated flag was not dipped to show respect to the United States of America Flag.

Officer 031	Investigator: IA	
SOP § 1-04-4D	Finding Exonerated	IRO Review/Chief's IRO and Chief agreed.
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District N/A	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

090-06 Citizen stated officers arrived at him apartment and one officer began beating him up until he was knocked unconscious. Citizen stated that the officers partner stated that he had never seen his partner so upset before.

Officer 844	Investigator: IA	
SOP § 1-03-2B 2 § 1-04-4Q § 2-52-02A § 2-52-06B	Finding Pending Pending Pending Pending	IRO Review/Chief's Pending Pending Pending Pending
Area Command Southeast	Council District 6	Neighborhood Association Victory Hills

091-06 Citizen stated that while out she felt a horse from an officer grab the back of her neck and then she and her friends were sprayed with mace for no reason.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Inactivated

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO inactivated due to citizen not signing complaint or cooperating in the investigation.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

092-06 Citizen stated that she needed to get to her son because he had a seizure at work. She stated that she was stuck in traffic due to a protest. She stated that she asked officers to help her get out of the jam because of her emergency. The citizen kept asking officers to help her get out of there. The officers told her if it was an emergency then the ambulance needed to be called by the sons work. The officers then cited her and threatened her with arrest because she kept asking for help. She stated that she called traffic later regarding the situation and one of the officers she delt with answered and told her to drop it.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Inactivated

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO and Chief agreed. Complaint does not allege any unprofessional behavior on the part of the officer.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

093-06 Citizen stated that he was a victim of a staling and harassment by an APD officer.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Inactivated

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO and Chief agreed. Allegations found with no merit.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

094-06 Citizen stated that an officer asked his friend his name. Then she asked the citizen his name. The citizen was the person she was looking for. She stated that he had a warrant and had him handcuffed. He stated that the officer then told him his warrant was pending and gave him a parking ticket. He stated that the officer was snotty.

Officer Unidentified (as of compilation of this report)	Investigator: IA	
SOP Pending	Finding Pending	IRO Review/Chief's Pending
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District Unknown	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

095-06 Citizen was test driving a vehicle when he was pulled over for swerving lanes. The officer cuffed him, slammed him against the vehicle and threw him in the back of his vehicle. The citizen asked the officer if he could get his little brother home because he was in the vehicle. The officer asked the citizen how old his brother was. The citizen told the officer the brother was 9 and the officer told the citizen that he was old enough to find his own way home and it wasn't his problem. The officer did not want to look at the citizen's insurance. The officer released the citizen and told him it was his lucky day and to "go fucking home". A complaint regarding the same incident was submitted by the parents on behalf of the 9 year old brother. The brother witnessed what occurred and heard the officer state he needed to find his own way home. The son was upset and still is to this

Officer Unidentified (as of compilation of this report)	Investigator: IRO	
SOP Pending	Finding Pending	IRO Review/Chief's Pending
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District Unknown	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

096-06 Citizen stated he was a witness on a police report and a suspect on a criminal complaint. He stated that he was never the suspect and the officer made the error. He stated the officer also put the wrong address down and a warrant was issued for his arrest. He stated that the error has cost him time and money.

Officer 867	Investigator: IRO	
SOP § 2-24-3F 5	Finding Sustained	IRO Review/Chief's IRO and Chief agreed.
Area Command Northeast	Council District 4	Neighborhood Association North Wyoming

097-06 Citizen stated that he had smoked methamphetamine and thought he saw and felt snakes crawling all over his body. When officers arrived the citizen already had his cloths taken off. The citizen stated that the officers beat him up.

Officer 741		Investigator: IA	
	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's
	§ 1-04-4P	Unfounded	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 2-52-02A	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
847			
	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's
	§ 1-03-3A	Unfounded	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 1-04-4P	Unfounded	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 2-52-02A	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
Area Command Southeast	Council District 6	Neighborhood Association South San Pedro	

098-06 Citizen stated that the officer lied in his report about where the incident ocured. The citizen stated that the officer would not tell him why he was being detained. The citizen stated he was not drinking and driving.

Officer 307		Investigator: IA	
	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's
	§ 1-04-4U	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
Area Command Southeast	Council District 6	Neighborhood Association South Los Altos	

099-06 Citizen stated that she received a check in the mail and she and her husband took it to the bank to verify if it was a legit check or not. Instead the bank called police and they were arrested. The officers treated them badly and hurt them with cuffs.

Officer 726		Investigator: IA	
	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's
	§ 1-04-1F	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 1-04-4P	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 2-08-1	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 2-19-3A	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 2-19-3B	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
732			
	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's
	§ 1-04-1F	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
Area Command Valley	Council District 3	Neighborhood Association Central Downtown	

100-06 Citizen stated that nine men jumped her father and knocked out teeth. She stated that she asked the officer why she was not arresting anyone for the crime and the officer then threatened her with arrest if she did not shut up. The citizen stated that the officer let the nine men go walking drunk but they later returned to their vehicles and drove drunk. She stated the officer put the public in danger and was rude.

Officer Unidentified (as of compilation of this report)	Investigator: IA	
	SOP Pending	Finding Pending
		IRO Review/Chief's Pending
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District Unknown	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

101-06 Citizen stated that officers have been harassing him by trying to get him in jail. He stated that everytime the same two officers see him they call his PO and try to have his probation revoked. When the officers don't get their way with the PO they add additional charges and violations so he can go back to jail.

Officer Unidentified (as of compilation of this report)	Investigator: IA	
	SOP Pending Pending	Finding Pending Pending
		IRO Review/Chief's Pending Pending
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District Unknown	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

102-06 Citizen stated that he was in a car accident and that his motorcycle is missing and the police did the investigation wrong.

Officer Unidentified	Investigator: IRO	
	SOP Inactivated	Finding Inactivated
		IRO Review/Chief's IRO inactivated due to 90-day
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District N/A	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

103-06 Citizen stated that she was given a warning citation for trespassing. She stated that she was not trespassing and the other person did not have the right to tell her she could not be there because she used a dog for her disabilities. She also gave the officer her dogs ID for disabilities.

Officer Unidentified (as of compilation of this report)	Investigator: IA	
SOP Pending	Finding Pending	IRO Review/Chief's Pending
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District Unknown	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

104-06 Citizen stated that he was cited for speeding and should not have been because the officer had no right to pull him over.

Officer Unidentified	Investigator: IRO	
SOP Inactivated	Finding Inactivated	IRO Review/Chief's IRO inactivated due to a fair and impartial judge being able to decide the issue in court.
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District N/A	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

105-06 Citizen stated that officers were involved with his wife for many years and he believed they were having sex with his wife. He stated that his case was not investigated properly because of his wife having sex with undercover officers to support their crack habit.

Officer 049	Investigator: IA	
SOP § 1-04-6C § 2-08-2	Finding Pending Pending	IRO Review/Chief's Pending Pending
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District N/A	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

106-06 Citizen stated that he was involved in an accident and the other driver was intoxicated. He stated that the officer did nothing and compromised an investigation.

Officer Unidentified (as of compilation of this report)	Investigator: IA	
SOP Pending	Finding Pending	IRO Review/Chief's Pending
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District Unknown	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

107-06 Citizen stated that she was given a warning for no insurance but the officer wanted to see her cloths from her job and told her he frequently attended the bar she worked at and he would go see her.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Inactivated

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen did not sign complaint.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

108-06 Citizen stated that he saw three officers on their cell phones driving and they did not use their turn signals.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Resolved in
Mediation

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen satisfied with response from APD

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

109-06 Citizen stated that her ex has a brother in law that works for APD and she was arrested on a warrant because of it.

Officer
Unidentified
(as of compilation of this report)

Investigator: IA

SOP
Pending

Finding
Pending

IRO Review/Chief's
Pending

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
Unknown

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

110-06 Citizen stated that officers were harassing him as a favor to his boss. Officers arrested him five days after the incident occurred and the citizen had provided proof from a judge that his license was legal.

Officer 451	Investigator: IRO
SOP § 1-03-2B 2	Finding Pending
	IRO Review/Chief's Pending
609	
SOP § 1-03-2B 2	Finding Pending
	IRO Review/Chief's Pending
Area Command Southeast	Council District 3
	Neighborhood Association Clayton Heights-Lomas del Cielo

111-06 Citizens stated that the officer was screaming downtown and cursing. They stated that the officer accused a detained person for moving no parking signs due to a movie but the signs had already been moved and the person just parked. The officer stated he saw the person move the signs. The citizens approached the officer because he disturbed their businesses and told the officer that the man had not moved anything and that the signs had been moved previously. They also told him his behavior was wrong and that he needed to stop cussing and act professional. The officer cussed them out and they asked for his badge number to file a complaint and he told them he was a bicycle officer and he didn't have a number and would not provide his name.

Officer Unidentified (as of compilation of this report)	Investigator: IA
SOP Pending	Finding Pending
	IRO Review/Chief's Pending
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District Unknown
	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

112-06 Citizen stated she was leaving the store and a police officer attacked her and knocked her unconscious for no reason.

Officer 167	Investigator: IRO
SOP § 2-52-02A	Finding Pending
	IRO Review/Chief's Pending
Area Command Southeast	Council District 6
	Neighborhood Association Fair West

113-06 Citizen stated that she was parked next to an officer that looked like he was having a bad day. The officer pulled her over and called her a dumb ass. The citizen stated that she asked for the officers information but his partner told her to get in the car.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Resolved in
Mediation

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen happy
with outcome of mediation.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

114-06 Citizen stated that the officer wanted her to sign a citation admitting guilt to pay a fine. The citizen stated she wanted court and the officer kept yelling at her and filled out the citation again and had the citizen sign. The citizen stated that the officer was screaming and yelling even before she was pulled over.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Inactivated

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO and Chief agreed.
Investigators/Mediators unable to
contact citizen. Number provided was
disconnected.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

115-06 Citizen stated that officers have been harassing her and friends at her apartment because they are looking for a african-american male and her friends and relatives are african-american.

Officer
Unidentified
(as of compilation of this report)

Investigator: IA

SOP
Pending

Finding
Pending

IRO Review/Chief's
Pending

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
Unknown

Neighborhood Association
Unkown/NA

116-06 Citizen stated that officers detained an acquaintance and when he told them he knew the guy the officers began treating the citizen badly. They were making fun of him because he is brown and had a Anglo name. He stated the officers cursed at him and then beat him

Officer

Unidentified
(as of compilation of this report)

Investigator: IA

SOP

Pending

Finding

Pending

IRO Review/Chief's

Pending

Area Command

Unknown/NA

Council District

Unknown

Neighborhood Association

Unknown/NA

117-06 Citizen stated that three officers pulled him over and one of the female officers made remarks about him being from another country. He asked for her name and badge number to file a complaint and she threatened him with tickets.

Officer

Investigator: IRO

SOP

§ 1-04-4N

§ 1-04-4P

Finding

Pending

Pending

IRO Review/Chief's

Pending

Pending

Area Command

Northeast

Council District

2

Neighborhood Association

No neighborhood associaiton

118-06 Citizen and friend are being threatened by the friends ex. The citizen has called the police several times due to fear and APD stated they could not do anything.

Officer

Procedures

Investigator: IA

SOP

Resolved in
Mediation

Finding

Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's

IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen
satisfied with outcome of mediation.

Area Command

Unknown/NA

Council District

N/A

Neighborhood Association

Unknown/NA

119-06 Citizen stated that he was pulled over and cited. He stated the officer was yelling and smashed his hand purposely in a door way.

Officer

Unidentified
(as of compilation of this report)

Investigator: IRO

SOP

Pending

Finding

Pending

IRO Review/Chief's

Pending

Area Command

Unknown/NA

Council District

Unknown

Neighborhood Association

Unknown/NA

120-06 Citizen stated that she received parking tickets due to personal incidents at work.

Officer

Not an officer

Investigator: IRO

SOP

Inactivated

Finding

Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's

IRO inactivated. Complaint against
Parking not APD.

Area Command

Unknown/NA

Council District

N/A

Neighborhood Association

Unknown/NA

121-06 Citizen stated that the officers police report was completely inaccurate.

Officer

Unidentified
(as of compilation of this report)

Investigator: IA

SOP

Pending

Finding

Pending

IRO Review/Chief's

Pending

Area Command

Unknown/NA

Council District

Unknown

Neighborhood Association

Unknown/NA

122-06 Citizen stated that the officer wrote the police report wrong.

Officer

Unidentified

Investigator: IRO

SOP

Inactivated

Finding

Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's

IRO inactivated due to 90-day

Area Command

Unknown/NA

Council District

Unknown

Neighborhood Association

Unknown/NA

123-06 Citizen stated that she called police for a domestic violence dispute at the neighbors twice and the police arrived after over an hour and left in 5 minutes.

Officer

Unidentified
(as of compilation of this report)

Investigator: IA

SOP

Pending

Finding

Pending

IRO Review/Chief's

Pending

Area Command

Unknown/NA

Council District

Unknown

Neighborhood Association

Unknown/NA

124-06 Citizen stated that he was assaulted and the police did nothing to help him. He stated that the officer accused him of being on drugs.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Resolved in
Mediation

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen happy
with outcome of mediation.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

125-06 Citizen stated that her son was going to be beat up by four boys and officers did nothing about it. She stated that the officers were pushing around her son.

Officer
Unidentified
(as of compilation of this report)

Investigator: IA

SOP
Pending

Finding
Pending

IRO Review/Chief's
Pending

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
Unknown

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

126-06 Citizen stated that APD was harassing people at a club he owns.

Officer
All APD

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Pending

Finding
Pending

IRO Review/Chief's
Pending

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
Unknown

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

127-06 Citizen stated that she and other neighbors had called police on some other neighbors due to drug activity. The officer on call stated that he did not appreciate his time being wasted because the neighbors house was clean and they paid their bills. A month later police were called out again and people at that address were arrested for parole violations, trafficking cocaine and four children removed from the home due to drugs. The citizen would like the officer reprimanded for his attitude.

Officer
Unidentified
(as of compilation of this report)

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Pending

Finding
Pending

IRO Review/Chief's
Pending

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
Unknown

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

128-06 Citizen was involved in a traffic accident and the other driver called a officer whom was a friend and now the citizen was blamed for the accident.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Inactivated

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO inactivated due to 90-day

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

129-06 Citizen stated that he and a friend were threatened by officers that they would beat them and kill their dogs. They were told to leave Albuquerque.

Officer
Unidentified
(as of compilation of this report)

Investigator: IA

SOP
Pending

Finding
Pending

IRO Review/Chief's
Pending

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
Unknown

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

130-06 Citizens stated that officers came into their home looking for the husband and the husband has no warrants and the officers made a mess of the home.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Inactivated

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO inactivated due to 90-day

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

131-06 Citizen stated that her daughter was cited for underage drinking. She stated that the officers used profane language around and toward her daughter.

Officer
Unidentified
(as of compilation of this report)

Investigator: IA

SOP
Pending

Finding
Pending

IRO Review/Chief's
Pending

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
Unknown

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

132-06 Citizen stated that a police service aide used profanities while at him while driving.

Officer

Unidentified

(as of compilation of this report)

Investigator: IA

SOP

Pending

Finding

Pending

IRO Review/Chief's

Pending

Area Command

Unknown/NA

Council District

Unknown

Neighborhood Association

Unknown/NA

133-06 Citizen stated that he witnessed APD officer beat up a citizen while AFD and the ambulance personnel stood around laughing about it.

Officer

Unidentified

(as of compilation of this report)

Investigator: IRO

SOP

Pending

Finding

Pending

IRO Review/Chief's

Pending

Area Command

Unknown/NA

Council District

Unknown

Neighborhood Association

Unknown/NA

134-06

Officer

Unidentified

Investigator: IRO

SOP

Inactivated

Finding

Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's

IRO inactivated due to 90-day

Area Command

Unknown/NA

Council District

N/A

Neighborhood Association

Unknown/NA

135-06 Citizen stated that her sons friends walked him home. She stated that when the teens left her home she walked out and an officer was blocking the teens from leaving. The officer was cussing and yelling at the teens. She stated that the officer told her that the situation was none of her business. She stated that since they had just left her home and they teens were on her property the officer should not have behaved the way he did. She stated that the parents of the teens knew they had walked her son home.

Officer

Unidentified

(as of compilation of this report)

Investigator: IA

SOP

Pending

Finding

Pending

IRO Review/Chief's

Pending

Area Command

Unknown/NA

Council District

Unknown

Neighborhood Association

Unknown/NA

136-06 Citizen stated that his tenants brother kept parking at his brothers to go to work every morning. He stated that he had warned the brother about parking there and had his vehicle towed. The brothers began leaving voicemails with vulgar language. He stated that he had called police but nothing had been done and he would just like police to pass by the properties he owns because he fears the brothers may cause damage.

Officer
Procedures

Investigator: IA

SOP
Resolved in
Mediation

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen happy
with outcome of mediation.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

137-06 Citizen stated that officers arrived at his home and told him he could not shoot his BB gun. The citizen stated that the officer was a friend of his neighbor. He stated that he looked up the laws and the officer lied about it.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IA

SOP
Resolved in
Mediation

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen
satisfied with outcome of mediation.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

138-06 Citizens stated that they paid their bills and that they were being harassed for personal vendetta. They would like the police to investigate.

Officer
Not an officer

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Inactivated

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO inactivated due to 90-day

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

139-06 Citizen stated that she had paperwork from the courts stating that her license was reinstated. She stated that the officer refused to look at the paperwork, took away her new license and had the vehicle towed.

Officer
Unidentified
(as of compilation of this report)

Investigator: IA

SOP
Pending

Finding
Pending

IRO Review/Chief's
Pending

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
Unknown

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

140-06 Citizen stated that an officer pulled her officer and gave her three citations. She stated that the officer was yelling at her and calling her names until he turned on his belt tape. She stated that his tone and the way he treated her was different once his belt tape was turned on. She stated that the officer gave her a fourth citation while she was looking for his information on the ticket. He told her she should have left when he told her to.

Officer Unidentified (as of compilation of this report)	Investigator: IA	
SOP Pending	Finding Pending	IRO Review/Chief's Pending
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District Unknown	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

141-06 Citizen stated that the SWAT team used excessive force on her and searched her property without permission or a warrant. She stated that due to the SWAT situation that she may need surgery and her ADA service dog would never be the same because the SWAT scared him and had him taken to the pound.

Officer SWAT	Investigator: IRO	
SOP Pending	Finding Pending	IRO Review/Chief's Pending
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District Unknown	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

142-06 Citizen stated that he was hit by a vehicle and when he reported it to the officers he saw they took him to an alley and threatened to beat him up. They kept pushing him around and told them that if he knew what a stalker was because they were going to stalk him.

Officer Unidentified	Investigator: IA	
SOP Resolved in Mediation	Finding Inactivated	IRO Review/Chief's IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen happy with outcome of mediation.
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District N/A	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

143-06 Citizen stated that she was cited and upset that the other officer almost hit her vehicle.

Officer Unidentified (as of compilation of this report)	Investigator: IRO	
SOP Pending	Finding Pending	IRO Review/Chief's Pending
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District Unknown	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

144-06 Citizen stated he was in bed when officers banged on his door entered and began beating him up.

Officer
BCSO

Investigator: IA

SOP
Inactivated

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO and Chief agreed. Complaint against BCSO not APD.

Area Command
BCSO

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

145-06 Citizen stated that he witnessed an officer spray a homeless man with mace for no reason. The man was looking through the dumpster.

Officer
Unidentified
(as of compilation of this report)

Investigator: IA

SOP
Pending

Finding
Pending

IRO Review/Chief's
Pending

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
Unknown

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

146-06 Citizen stated that he was robbed and his keys stolen from him. He stated that he saw an officer at a convenient store and asked for help. The officer got the information and left in the opposite direction. The citizen stated that he never saw the officer again.

Officer
Unidentified
(as of compilation of this report)

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Pending

Finding
Pending

IRO Review/Chief's
Pending

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
Unknown

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

147-06 Citizen stated he had a cigarette roller and officer accused him of having a crack pipe.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Inactivated

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen did not sign complaint as required by APOA. Also, citizen did not give sufficient information to identify officer.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

148-06 Citizen stated that officer detained him at the airport purposely so he could miss his flight. He also requested his license and he stated he had no reason since the officer was citing his daughter he should not have been detained for nothing.

Officer
Aviation Police

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Inactivated

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO inactivated complaint not against APD. Complaint against Aviation. No jurisdiction.

Area Command
Aviation Police

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

149-06 Citizen stated that a young girl was missing and raped at her apartment complex and she called police and nobody ever responded.

Officer
Not an officer

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Pending

Finding
Pending

IRO Review/Chief's
Pending

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
Unknown

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

150-06 Citizen stated that he witnessed an officer put his emergency equipment on to pass a red light.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Resolved in
Mediation

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen happy with outcome of mediation.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

151-06 Citizen stated that her granddaughter was not treated fairly by officers because the other people involved were friends of officers.

Officer
Unidentified
(as of compilation of this report)

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Pending

Finding
Pending

IRO Review/Chief's
Pending

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
Unknown

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

152-06 Citizen stated that officers had her vehicle towed and she nor her husband had anything to drink. She stated that they don't do drugs either. The citizen stated that the officer began harassing them since they entered the checkpoint. She stated that she is a diabetic but not a addict. She stated that when the officer had the vehicle towed he made them walk.

Officer

Unidentified

(as of compilation of this report)

Investigator: IA

SOP

Pending

Finding

Pending

IRO Review/Chief's

Pending

Area Command

Unknown/NA

Council District

Unknown

Neighborhood Association

Unknown/NA

153-06 Citizen stated that several officers had him get out of his vehicle at gun point. He stated that he did not ever find out why he was pulled over in such a manner but was very scared. He stated that the police are wild and nobody does anything about it.

Officer

Unidentified

(as of compilation of this report)

Investigator: IA

SOP

Pending

Finding

Pending

IRO Review/Chief's

Pending

Area Command

Unknown/NA

Council District

Unknown

Neighborhood Association

Unknown/NA

154-06 Citizen upset regarding grants and government agencies.

Officer

Not An APD Employee

Investigator: IRO

SOP

Inactivated

Finding

Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's

IRO and chief agreed. Not an APD agency.

Area Command

Unknown/NA

Council District

N/A

Neighborhood Association

Unknown/NA

155-06 Citizen stated that police arrived to her home and stated her son had escaped and asked her when she helped her son plan the escape. She stated they told her not to call other family to warn them that the police were on their way. The citizen called the agency where her son was locked up at and the place told her he was there.

Officer

Unidentified

(as of compilation of this report)

Investigator: IA

SOP

Pending

Finding

Pending

IRO Review/Chief's

Pending

Area Command

Unknown/NA

Council District

Unknown

Neighborhood Association

Unknown/NA

156-06 Citizen stated that officers came into her home handcuffed her children and ordered everyone out of the home. The officers stated they were looking for Mike Astorga but the citizen stated she only knew of him from the news.

Officer Unidentified (as of compilation of this report)	Investigator: IA	
SOP Pending	Finding Pending	IRO Review/Chief's Pending
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District Unknown	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

157-06 Citizen stated that he was harassed twice by APD and BCSO looking for Mike Astorga. He stated that the officers told him that they knew he knew something and would be left for dead if he didn't give information.

Officer Unidentified (as of compilation of this report)	Investigator: IRO	
SOP Pending	Finding Pending	IRO Review/Chief's Pending
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District Unknown	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

158-06 Attorney on behalf of clients. Stated that officers searched the home of her clients without a warrant.

Officer Unidentified (as of compilation of this report)	Investigator: IRO	
SOP Pending	Finding Pending	IRO Review/Chief's Pending
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District Unknown	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

159-06 Citizen stated that he witnessed an officer tell a homeless man to leave the area the man was leaving and the officer began spraying him for no reason a little later several more officers arrived.

Officer Unidentified (as of compilation of this report)	Investigator: IA	
SOP Pending	Finding Pending	IRO Review/Chief's Pending
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District Unknown	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

160-06 Citizen stated that a vehicle almost hit her and she was scared so she honked. The other driver put on his sirens. She was not sure if the other person was an officer or just a citizen scaring her.

Officer Unidentified (as of compilation of this report)	Investigator: IA	
SOP Pending	Finding Pending	IRO Review/Chief's Pending
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District Unknown	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

161-06 Citizen stated that during the presidential visit an officer was assigned to his block and ruffed him up.

Officer Unidentified (as of compilation of this report)	Investigator: IRO	
SOP Pending	Finding Pending	IRO Review/Chief's Pending
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District Unknown	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

162-06 Citizen stated undercover narcotics agents ruffed him up for no reason.

Officer Unidentified (as of compilation of this report)	Investigator: IA	
SOP Pending	Finding Pending	IRO Review/Chief's Pending
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District Unknown	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

163-06 Citizen stated that officers saw him at a hotel went to his room did a cavity search and found nothing. He stated that when the officers searched the room they found drugs that did not belong to him.

Officer Unidentified (as of compilation of this report)	Investigator: IA	
SOP Pending	Finding Pending	IRO Review/Chief's Pending
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District Unknown	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

164-06 Citizen stated that he has left several messages for an officer to call him back regarding an incident tht she responded to. The officer does not return his calls.

Officer

Unidentified

(as of compilation of this report)

Investigator: IRO

SOP

Pending

Finding

Pending

IRO Review/Chief's

Pending

Area Command

Unknown/NA

Council District

Unknown

Neighborhood Association

Unknown/NA

Section B:
Cases opened in prior Year(s); Reviewed this Quarter

CPC Summary of Complaint

125-05 Citizen stated that APD was taking away from his funeral escort business by escorting funerals. Also, APD officers were harassing his escort employees.

Officer 234		Investigator:	IA
	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's
	§ 1-04-4P	Unfounded	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 2-17-3	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
793			
	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's
	§ 1-04-4P	Unfounded	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 2-17-3	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
Area Command Valley	Council District 2	Neighborhood Association Santa Barbara/Martinez Town	

172-05 Citizen and a friend were reading the paper at a park when five officers arrived. One of the officer hit the citizen in the head with a closed fist. The officers made the citizen pick up trash at the scene. Both were cited for drinking in public. Citizen did not have any liquor.

Officer 115		Investigator:	IA
	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's
	§ 1-04-4A	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 1-04-6I	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 2-52-02A	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
244			
	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's
	§ 1-04-4A	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
Area Command Southeast	Council District 6	Neighborhood Association Fair West	

195-05 Citizen stated that her son was arrested for talking to someone in a police car. The officers told him to get away from the car and he did. While walking away the officers attacked him. She is not sure if he was tazered or not. The citizen stated that she went to the substation and talked to a supervisor and he was making sarcastic remarks to her

Officer
480

Investigator: IRO

SOP

§ 1-03-3A

§ 2-34-5

§ 2-52-02A

Finding

Exonerated

Exonerated

Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's

IRO and Chief agreed.

IRO and Chief agreed.

IRO and Chief agreed.

829

SOP

§ 1-04-1F

§ 1-04-4P

Finding

Sustained

Sustained

IRO Review/Chief's

IRO and Chief agreed.

IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command
Foothills

Council District
9

Neighborhood Association
Chelwood Vista

203-05 Citizen stated that the officer that pulled him over told him he was driving like a jackass. The officer gave him tickets for illegal tint and illegal exhaust. The officer never tested his tint or exhaust.

Officer
827

Investigator: IA

SOP

§ 1-04-1F

§ 1-04-4P

Finding

Sustained

Sustained

IRO Review/Chief's

IRO and Chief agreed.

IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

210-05 Citizen stated that he was arrested for no seat belt and no registration because he refused to sign the ticket. He stated that the officers and the staff at the jail were unprofessional and had no respect for themselves.

Officer 705		Investigator: IA	
	SOP § 1-04-1F	Finding Exonerated	IRO Review/Chief's IRO and Chief agreed.
765	SOP § 1-04-1F	Finding Sustained	IRO Review/Chief's IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 1-04-4A	Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 1-04-4N	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 1-39-1A 8	Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 2-19-5	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command Westside	Council District 5	Neighborhood Association River Fronte Estates Inc.
---------------------------------	------------------------------	--

211-05 Citizen stated that the officer would not let her used the restroom and called her a prostitute and drug addict. He also told her to shut the fuck up.

Officer 504		Investigator: IA	
	SOP § 1-04-1G 1	Finding Sustained	IRO Review/Chief's IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 1-04-4P	Unfounded	IRO and Chief agreed.
836	SOP § 1-04-4P	Finding Unfounded	IRO Review/Chief's IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command Foothills	Council District 9	Neighborhood Association No neighborhood association
----------------------------------	------------------------------	--

217-05 Citizens stated that officers entered the home without permission and handcuffed everyone even grandsons who just came home from work after the officers were already there. The officers stated that a 911 hangup had occurred. Other officers arrived and a Sergeant arrived and took control. The two original officers were rude and unprofessional.

Officer		Investigator:	IA
752			
	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's
	§ 1-04-1F	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 1-04-4P	Not Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 2-17-10	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 2-52-02A	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
813			
	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's
	§ 1-04-1F	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 2-52-02A	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
826			
	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's
	§ 1-04-1F	Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 1-04-4P	Not Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 2-17-10	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 2-52-02A	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command	Council District	Neighborhood Association
Southeast	6	South San Pedro

222-05 Citizen stated that she wanted a police report for criminal trespassing because the people that rent at her building let repair men work on the air condition and did not notify the tenants.

Officer		Investigator:	IA
713			
	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's
	§ 1-04-1F	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 1-04-4O	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
830			
	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's
	§ 1-04-1F	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 1-04-4P	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command	Council District	Neighborhood Association
Foothills	8	No neighborhood association

228-05 Citizen stated that his vehicle was towed by an officer and that it was not parked in the area for more than one day. The citizen would like the cost of the tow and charges

Officer
832

Investigator: IA

SOP

§ 2-48-2 B

§ 2-48-3B 2

Finding

Exonerated

Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's

IRO and Chief agreed.

IRO and Chief agreed.

833

SOP

§ 2-48-3B 2

Finding

Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's

IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command

Foothills

Council District

9

Neighborhood Association

Singing Arrow

236-05 Citizen stated that he was threatened by an officer with arrest if he did not give a customer his money back. The citizen refused to give the money back and stated it was a civil matter and the customer could take him to court. The citizen stated that the officer and customer seemed to be friends.

Officer
844

Investigator: IA

SOP

§ 1-04-4N

§ 1-04-4Q

Finding

Exonerated

Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's

IRO and Chief agreed.

IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command

Southeast

Council District

6

Neighborhood Association

South San Pedro

243-05 Citizen stated that officers tormented her verbally and psychologically abused her and took her for a mental evaluation.

Officer
161

Investigator: IA

SOP

§ 1-03-2B 2

§ 1-03-3B

§ 1-04-1F

§ 2-19-4

Finding

Not Sustained

Sustained

Not Sustained

Sustained

IRO Review/Chief's

IRO and Chief agreed.

IRO and Chief agreed.

IRO and Chief agreed.

IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command

Northeast

Council District

7

Neighborhood Association

Sombra del Monte

245-05 Citizen stated he was at his cousins waiting for his cousin to arrive when two officers approached him and asked what he was doing. The citizen told them he was waiting for his cousin because he did not have a key and his cousin was on his way. The officers stated that a loud party complaint was called in. The citizen stated he did not know anything about a party and was just waiting for his cousin. The officers asked for his license and began ruffing the citizen up. The citizen stated the officers were hitting him on his head. The citizen stated that his cousin arrived and witnessed the police pushing the citizen around. The citizen stated that he was never frisked. He stated that on his way to the jail he called his mother and the officer heard him on the cell phone and pulled over on the freeway and took his stuff. The citizen refused to sign the items log because his things

Officer 820		Investigator: IA	
	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's
	§ 1-03-3A	Unfounded	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 1-04-4P	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 2-52-02A	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
839			
	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's
	§ 1-03-3A	Unfounded	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 1-04-4P	Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 2-08-1	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 2-52-02A	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command	Council District	Neighborhood Association
Southeast	6	No neighborhood association

247-05 Citizen stated that while at the police station she needed the restroom and after waiting an hour the officer took her. Her phone rang while in the restroom so she answered. The officer then took her by her arm and pulled her off the toilet. She stated she kept asking him if she could pick up her pants and he would not let her. She felt he should not have barged in with her pants and underwear down and should not have even been in the bathroom with her.

Officer 841		Investigator: IRO	
	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's
	§ 1-04-1F	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 2-52-02A	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command	Council District	Neighborhood Association
Southeast	6	No neighborhood association

251-05 Citizen stated that his friends called him for a ride home because they had been drinking. The citizen was pulled over after picking up his friends. The officer slammed the door on his arm and was yelling at him and being rude. The citizen and another vehicle must have hit one another because the other vehicle was speeding. The officer was rude and yelling at him and his friends. The officer also searched the vehicle without permission.

Officer
831

Investigator: IA

SOP

§ 1-04-1F

§ 1-04-4P

§ 1-39-1A 5

Finding

Sustained

Sustained

Not Sustained

IRO Review/Chief's

IRO and Chief agreed.

IRO and Chief agreed.

IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command
Valley

Council District
2

Neighborhood Association
Wells Park

255-05 Citizen was pulled over for a traffic violation. The officer called him a liar and made blonde remarks about herself.

Officer
717

Investigator: IA

SOP

§ 1-04-4P

§ 2-48-2D 1a1

Finding

Exonerated

Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's

IRO and Chief agreed.

IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command
Foothills

Council District
9

Neighborhood Association
No neighborhood association

258-05 Citizen stated that she was having a bbq for her b-day and that officers came because of a noise complaint. The officers were rude and cited her and others. The officer forced her to sign citations and did not make sure her guests were not intoxicated before making them leave.

Officer
105

Investigator: IA

SOP

§ 1-04-4P

450

SOP

§ 1-03-3A

§ 1-04-4P

§ 2-52-02C

Finding

Exonerated

Finding

Unfounded

Exonerated

Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's

IRO and Chief agreed.

IRO Review/Chief's

IRO and Chief agreed.

IRO and Chief agreed.

IRO and Chief agreed.

846

SOP

§ 1-04-4P

Finding

Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's

IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command
Valley

Council District
3

Neighborhood Association
Barelas

260-05 Citizen stated that her son has bi-polar and he was having a episode. She called 911 for an ambulance to take him to UNM mental health. Officers arrived on the scene and made things worse. Officers beat her son up and took him to jail. The tazed him and used a bean bag on him. She called for an ambulance and instead the police abused her son. She would like to know if this is the way the mentally ill are to be handled so she won't call 911 anymore.

Officer 583		Investigator:	IRO
	SOP § 2-52-02A	Finding Exonerated	IRO Review/Chief's IRO and Chief agreed.
837	SOP § 2-52-02A	Finding Exonerated	IRO Review/Chief's IRO and Chief agreed.
838	SOP § 2-52-02A	Finding Exonerated	IRO Review/Chief's IRO and Chief agreed.
Area Command Valley	Council District 3	Neighborhood Association South Broadway	

267-05 Citizen stated that the officer cited him for no insurance because his paperwork was a copy. He stated that it was the original.

Officer 230		Investigator:	IRO
	SOP § 1-04-1F	Finding Not Sustained	IRO Review/Chief's IRO and Chief agreed.
Area Command Northeast	Council District 2	Neighborhood Association Bel-Air	

270-05 Citizen stated that two officers in masks came in to execute a warrant on a previous tenant. The citizen tried showing the officers the lease agreement but they refused. The officer slapt him in the temple with a flashlight and were telling him he had no fucking rights and called him a punk. The citizen was hit in the stomach. He stated tha the officer pushed him to his knees and pulled his shorts down and stuck his fingers in his anus thrusting his finger in and out making comments that the citizen liked it. He began telling the citizen he would make a good bitch where he was going. The officer showed the citizen a blade and told him he would turn him into a realy bitch. The officer then told him to tell him where the drugs were and the citizen could feel the blade by his testicle. The officer told the citizen to give up his homies or his balls. The officers broke CDs and furniture. The citizen was not arrested that night. The officers told the citizen that they would fuck with him everyday until he was out of their block. Since then several officers have been harassing him at his home.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Inactivated

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO and Chief agreed. The District Attorney investigated the case and concluded that there was no assault.

Area Command
Foothills

Council District
9

Neighborhood Association
Singing Arrow

272-05 Citizen stated that she was told to leave her residence until the next morning because a visitor in the neighborhood accosted by another woman. Later while the citizen and her family were sleeping they heard a loud scream from a woman so they called 911. The officer was the same one that responded earlier in the day and grabbed the citizen and pulled her over the threshold and used excessive force by grabbing her. The citizen had to go to the emergency room and had a CAT scan, and suturing of a three inch laceration. Citizen had witnesses.

Officer
707

Investigator: IRO

SOP
§ 1-03-2B
§ 2-52-02A

Finding
Unfounded
Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO and Chief agreed.
IRO and Chief agreed.

845

SOP
§ 1-03-2B

Finding
Unfounded

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command
Westside

Council District
5

Neighborhood Association
Taylor Ranch

274-05 Citizen stated that officers were called to a restruant because of his hat. The officers slammed him down because he asked for a blood test and did not want to do a breathalyzer. He stated that the officers kept some of his property and that they did not let him use the bathroom so he used it on himself twice.

Officer 261		Investigator: IA	
	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's
	§ 1-04-1C	Unfounded	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 2-52-02A	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
842	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's
	§ 1-04-1C	Unfounded	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 1-04-1F	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 2-52-02D	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
843	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's
	§ 2-52-06D 2a	Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command	Council District	Neighborhood Association
Northeast	4	Acadmey Acres North

276-05 Citizen stated that he was pulled over and told he had no registration or insurance. The citizen stated that the officer called him a criminal. Both officers acted unprofessional.

Officer 240		Investigator: IA	
	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's
	§ 1-04-4P	Not Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 1-04-4Q	Not Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command	Council District	Neighborhood Association
Foothills	9	No neighborhood association

277-05 Citizen stated that he was getting information from a for sale vehicle. Three officers on bikes approached him and made him get out of his vehicle and turn out his cigarette. The citizen threw the cigarette down and turned it off. The officers gave him a ticket for loitering and told him they could make things a lot worse for him.

Officer 835		Investigator: IRO	
	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's
	§ 1-04-1F	Not Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command	Council District	Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA	N/A	Unknown/NA

283-05 Citizen stated that an officer and chaplin took her to mental health hospital to talk to someone. She stated that the officer would not listen to her and that her doors were locked and wondered how the officer accomplished locking the doors without a key.

Officer
834

Investigator: IRO

SOP
§ 1-04-1F

Finding
Unfounded

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command
Field Services

Council District
9

Neighborhood Association
Supper Rock

284-05 Citizen and her son stated that an officer treated him badly at school. They stated that there had been more than one incident.

Officer
849

Investigator: IA

SOP
§ 1-04-1G 1
§ 1-05-6A

Finding
Exonerated
Sustained

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO and Chief agreed.
IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

288-05 Citizen stated that he was arrested and the officer acted inappropriately and used excessive force. He stated that when he was pushed by the officer and knocked off his feet and caused him to get bruised tendons per his doctor. The handcuffs also hurt his

Officer
759

Investigator: IRO

SOP
§ 1-04-4N
§ 2-52-02A

Finding
Unfounded
Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO and Chief agreed.
IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

291-05 Citizen stated that she went to the substation to report her mother. The officer that helped her kept telling her off about her own children. The citizen stated that when she and the officer arrived at her mothers home to talk the officer kept comparing the citizen to her own daughters and the citizen did not like it. She stated the officer referred to her as a brat. The officer also stated that she had ordered a pizza from Dions and the pizza was now three hours old. She stated that the officer made her feel as though her complaint about her mother was not important.

Officer
852

Investigator: IA

SOP

§ 1-04-4N

§ 1-04-4O

§ 1-39-1A 6

Finding

Exonerated

Exonerated

Sustained

IRO Review/Chief's

IRO and Chief agreed.

IRO and Chief agreed.

IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command
Westside

Council District
5

Neighborhood Association
No neighborhood association

292-05 Citizen stated that her daughter was arrested for using a gag toy at school and the officer pushed her hard on the wall and used excessive force.

Officer
840

Investigator: IRO

SOP

§ 1-04-1F

§ 2-52-02A

Finding

Exonerated

Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's

IRO and Chief agreed.

IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command
Valley

Council District
2

Neighborhood Association
Near N Valley

293-05 Citizen stated that officers cited them for sitting in a alley that the officers made them sit in. He stated that on the ticket he wrote he protested the ticket and signed it. The officer told him he would write another ticket and if the citizen wrote that shit on it again he would kick his ass. The officer alsotold the citizens to sit down or they would be slammed the fuck down.

Officer		Investigator:	IA
307			
	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's
	§ 1-04-4P	Not Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.
681			
	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's
	§ 1-04-4P	Not Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.
835			
	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's
	§ 1-04-1G 1	Not Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 1-04-4P	Not Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 2-18-15C 1	Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.
847			
	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's
	§ 1-04-4P	Not Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.
Area Command	Council District	Neighborhood Association	
Southeast	6	Fair West	

295-05 Citizen stated that nuisance abatement officers made remarks to her at a hearing.

Officer		Investigator:	IA
573			
	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's
	§ 1-04-4P	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
687			
	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's
	§ 1-04-4P	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
Area Command	Council District	Neighborhood Association	
Southeast	3	Kirtland Community/Clayton Heights-Lomas Del Cielo	

297-05 Citizen stated that two officers were parked in a median and a third officer drove up. The officer began asking the citizen and his friend what the fuck they were looking at and who they were mad dogging. The officer took the citizen to the back of his truck and began slamming his head on the bed of the truck. The other two officers asked his friend where the drugs were. The citizen turned around and the officer told him not to fucking look at him. The citizen and his friend were let go and told that if they ever saw them again they would knock the living shit out of them.

Officer 689		Investigator:	IA
	SOP § 2-17-15	Finding Sustained	IRO Review/Chief's IRO and Chief agreed.
853	SOP § 1-04-1F	Finding Sustained	IRO Review/Chief's IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 1-04-4P	Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 2-52-02A	Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 3-01-10A	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
854	SOP § 2-17-15	Finding Sustained	IRO Review/Chief's IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command Valley	Council District 3	Neighborhood Association Central Downtown
-------------------------------	------------------------------	---

300-05 Citizen stated he was pulled over by an officer and scared because the officer used the F word angrily. The parents of the citizen were also upset because a dispatcher called them to pick there son up but would not tell them if he was ok or not.

Officer 730		Investigator:	IRO
	SOP § 1-04-4P	Finding Sustained	IRO Review/Chief's IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District N/A	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA
-----------------------------------	--------------------------------	---

306-05 Citizen stated that he was at a place of business and the owner was angry because he paid with coins. The man pulled out guns on the citizen and the citizen called 911 for the second time. Officers arrived an hour later and the dispatcher told the citizen he was making up the story.

Officer	Investigator:	IA
Civilian Employee		
SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's
§ 1-04-4Q	Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.
Civilian Employee		
SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's
§ 1-04-4P	Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.
Area Command	Council District	Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA	N/A	Unknown/NA

308-05 Citizen stated his relative had a gun and was shooting at the park and he was trying to get the gun away. The officers put a gun to the citizen and battered him.

Officer	Investigator:	IRO
Unidentified		
SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's
Inactivated	Inactivated	IRO inactivated due to 90-day
Area Command	Council District	Neighborhood Association
Westside	1	Westgate Hts.

limitation.

309-05 Citizen stated that they called for a CIT officer but since none were available regular officers responded. The officer was rude and yelling at the staff.

Officer	Investigator:	IRO
350		
SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's
§ 1-04-1F	Unfounded	IRO and Chief agreed.
§ 1-39-3B	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
837		
SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's
§ 1-04-1F	Unfounded	IRO and Chief agreed.
§ 1-39-1A 7	Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.
Area Command	Council District	Neighborhood Association
Valley	3	Wells Park

310-05 Citizen stated that the officer would talk to her husband but kept telling her to shut up. She stated the officer threatened her with arrest.

Officer 050		Investigator: IA	
	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's
	§ 1-04-4N	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 1-04-4P	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
851	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's
	§ 1-04-4N	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 1-04-4P	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
Area Command Northeast	Council District 7	Neighborhood Association No neighborhood association	

311-05 Citizen stated that he slept at an acquaintances home when officers arrived and had everyone get out of the home. The officers then began asking who stole the vehicle in the yard. The citizen began to run and the officer took chase. When the officers cuaght the citizen they tazed him and were hitting him. One officer had to tell the other to stop because the citizen had enough. Case concurrent with CPC 339-

Officer 193		Investigator: IRO	
	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's
	§ 1-04-1F	Unfounded	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 2-52-02A	Unfounded	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 2-52-08G 3b	Unfounded	IRO and Chief agreed.
780	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's
	§ 1-05-6I 3	Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.
901	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's
	§ 2-52-06D 2	Not Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.
902	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's
	§ 2-52-02A	Unfounded	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 2-52-08G 3b	Unfounded	IRO and Chief agreed.
Area Command Southeast	Council District 6	Neighborhood Association Trumbull Village	

314-05 Citizen stated that the officer asked if the citizen wanted to pay or go to court on the citation. The citizen wanted to pay and signed the citation. The citizen felt the officer did not explain the option correctly.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IA

SOP
Inactivated

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen did not cooperate and return investigators

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

315-05 Citizen stated that officers treated her badly and violated her civil rights during a DV call. The officers took her to the UNM for a mental health evaluation.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IA

SOP
Resolved in
Mediation

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO and Chief agreed. Complaint inactivated citizen happy with outcome of mediation.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

316-05 Citizen stated that the officer did not do a proper inventory on the vehicle before it was towed. Items with sensitive information were missing.

Officer
151

Investigator: IRO

SOP
§ 1-04-1G
§ 1-04-4O

Finding
Sustained
Not Sustained

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO and Chief agreed.
IRO and Chief agreed.

850

SOP
§ 2-48-3C

Finding
Sustained

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

318-05 Citizen stated that an individual harassed him when passing near a building that the guy is at. The citizen stated he second incident he called police for help and the officers just threatened him with arrest and had him sign paperwork stating he would not go near the property.

Officer 706		Investigator: IA	
	SOP § 1-04-4P	Finding Not Sustained	IRO Review/Chief's IRO and Chief agreed.
858			
	SOP § 1-04-4P	Finding Not Sustained	IRO Review/Chief's IRO and Chief agreed.
Area Command Southeast	Council District 6	Neighborhood Association South San Pedro	

320-05 Citizens stated that an officer and his son kept calling them accusing them of stealing their personal belongings. The citizens stated that the ex-wife took everything. They would like to stop being harassed.

Officer 413		Investigator: IA	
	SOP § 1-04-1F	Finding Unfounded	IRO Review/Chief's IRO and Chief agreed.
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District N/A	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA	

321-05 Citizen stated that officers entered his property without permission.

Officer 235		Investigator: IRO	
	SOP § 1-04-1F	Finding Exonerated	IRO Review/Chief's IRO and Chief agreed.
Area Command Foothills	Council District 9	Neighborhood Association No neighborhood association	

323-05 Citizen stated that he was cited and that he did deserve them but the officers treated him rudely and should not have.

Officer Unidentified		Investigator: IRO	
	SOP Resolved in Mediation	Finding Inactivated	IRO Review/Chief's IRO inactivated. Citizen happy with outcome of mediation.
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District N/A	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA	

324-05 Citizen and wife got into an argument and she called the police. The police did not arrest anyone but refused to let the citizen get his keys. They told him if he ever needed to get to his apartment he would need a police escort or he would be arrested.

Officer
796

Investigator: IA

SOP
§ 1-04-1F

Finding
Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command
Valley

Council District
3

Neighborhood Association
Raynolds Addn

325-05 Citizen stated that officers were looking for someone that was writing bad checks and he fit the description so the police pulled him over.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Resolved in
Mediation

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO inactivated. Citizen happy with
mediation outcome.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

326-05 Citizen stated that officers told an underage smoker to shut off the cigarette. They then told the citizen to shut his off but since he was 18 they let him smoke. The female officer lectured the citizen on smoking. The citizen then told the officer she should not eat pizza because of the carbohydrates. The officers then ticketed the citizen for no insurance and told him they could have his car towed for being a smart alec. He was told that they could inconvenience him and they did. The citation was never turned in to the courts.

Officer
848

Investigator: IRO

SOP
§ 1-03-2B 2
§ 1-04-4N

Finding
Sustained
Sustained

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO and Chief agreed.
IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command
Northeast

Council District
4

Neighborhood Association
Countrywood Area

329-05 Citizen stated that APD had the victim go to the scene of an arrest and give a restraining order to the suspect. She stated that APD should know better than that.

Officer
420

Investigator: IA

SOP
§ 1-04-4A

Finding
Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command
Southeast

Council District
3

Neighborhood Association
Silver Hill

330-05 Citizen stated that the officer used her emergency lights to get through traffic and then turned them off.

Officer Unidentified (as of compilation of this report)	Investigator: IRO	
SOP Pending	Finding Pending	IRO Review/Chief's Pending
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District N/A	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

332-05 Citizen stated that officers lied on their report and that he did not use drugs. The officers also searched his vehicle without his permission. Officers had a search warrant. The officers did not arrest him but put in the reports that they found drugs and a weapon.

Officer 357	Investigator: IRO	
SOP § 1-03-2B 2 § 2-24-3F	Finding Exonerated Exonerated	IRO Review/Chief's IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed.
Area Command Southeast	Council District 6	Neighborhood Association South Los Altos

333-05 Citizen stated that officers lied on their police report and used excessive force by kicking him. The officer also had a screen saver on his computer with a man with ammunition and the phrase "The Punisher". The officer also played heavy metal music very loud. The citizen asked if he could turn it down and the officer told him it was his car and he could do what he wanted.

Officer 612	Investigator: IA	
SOP § 1-03-2B 2 § 1-04-1F § 1-37-7B § 2-52-02A	Finding Exonerated Sustained Other Not Sustained	IRO Review/Chief's IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed. Sustained. IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed.
800	SOP § 1-04-1F § 1-05-6I 4 § 1-37-7B § 2-52-02A	Finding Sustained Sustained Other Sustained
		IRO Review/Chief's IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed. Sustained. IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed.
Area Command Southeast	Council District 3	Neighborhood Association No neighborhood association.

334-05 Citizen stated that the officers lied on a police report that resulted in two counts for child abandonment. She stated that a Sergeant that was not involved in the case insisted on the charges to be filed.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IA

SOP
Inactivated

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen did not sign complaint and did not cooperate with investigator.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

335-05 Citizen stated that when he was pulled over one of the officers used vulgar language by telling him why he was not paying attention to his driving and asked if he was scratching his balls or what.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Inactivated

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO inactivated due to officer providing citizen with a written apology and the citizen was satisfied with the response.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

336-05 Citizen stated that officers entered her home and would not explain why because she did not speak english. She stated after they arrested her son they still searched her home with no permission.

Officer
Violent Crimes Impact Team

Investigator: IRO

SOP
§ 1-03-2B 2
§ 2-14-3A

Finding
Not Sustained
Sustained

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO and Chief agreed.
IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command
Southeast

Council District
6

Neighborhood Association
South Los Altos

337-05 Citizen stated two officer were parked at the mall and observed him writing their license plates down. He wants to prevent the same type of incident that occurred in 1940 when local police were getting the jews and sending them with the SS.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IA

SOP
Inactivated

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO and Chief agreed. APD

dispatched

out by mall security to the mall.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

338-05 Citizen stated that officers told him he had a warrant and he told them he did not. The officers beat him up and tazed him. He stated that when he got to MDC the staff there found no warrant for him but for another male. The officers charged him with false charges anyway.

Officer
167

Investigator: IRO

SOP
§ 2-52-02A

Finding
Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO and Chief agreed.

625

SOP
§ 2-52-02A

Finding
Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO and Chief agreed.

903

SOP
§ 2-52-02A

Finding
Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command
Southeast

Council District
3

Neighborhood Association
University Hts.

340-05 Citizen stated that officer kept his personal belongings for safe keeping and has not been able to get them back.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Resolved in
Mediation

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen happy
with outcome of mediation.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

341-05 Citizen stated that an officers wife attacked her at the bar she works at and the officer was trying to help her get away from being detained by the bar bouncers. The officer was off-duty. The citizen stated that when the officer saw his wife commit the battery he should not have tried to help her get away.

Officer 609		Investigator:	IA
	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's
	§ 1-04-1F	Sustained	Pending
	§ 1-04-6I	Not Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.
796			IRO and Chief agreed.
	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's
	§ 2-24-3F 2	Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 2-24-3F 3	Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command	Council District	Neighborhood Association
Valley	3	Central Downtown

342-05 Citizen stated that when his DV order expired evidence would give him his guns back. The guns were destroyed the day he went for them. He would like his guns replaced or paid

Officer Evidence Unit		Investigator:	IRO
	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's
	Inactivated	Inactivated	IRO inactivated due to evidence unit properly following their procedures and notified complainant before destroying his shotguns.

Area Command	Council District	Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA	N/A	Unknown/NA

343-05 Citizen stated that he was pulled over without probable cause, inappropriately questioned and given a citation. He stated that when he went to court the officer never submitted the ticket.

Officer 860		Investigator:	IA
	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's
	§ 1-03-2B	Not Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command	Council District	Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA	N/A	Unknown/NA

344-05 Citizen stated that officers were dispatched to his home for a domestic call against the son. The officers slammed his wife into the refrigerator for no reason and were rude. The citizen felt they had no right to treat them unprofessionally.

Officer 073		Investigator:	IRO
	SOP § 3-43-10A	Finding Sustained	IRO Review/Chief's IRO and Chief agreed.
235	SOP § 1-04-1F	Finding Unfounded	IRO Review/Chief's IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 2-52-02A	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
827	SOP § 1-04-1F	Finding Unfounded	IRO Review/Chief's IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 2-52-02A	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command Foothills	Council District 6	Neighborhood Association No neighborhood association
----------------------------------	------------------------------	--

346-05 Citizen stated that the auto accident report did not reflect what happened at the accident. The citizen was taken in the ambulance so the officer only wrote down what the other person involved said. She got with the officer and was surprised that everything he put on his report was wrong.

Officer 857		Investigator:	IA
	SOP § 2-18-8B	Finding Not Sustained	IRO Review/Chief's IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command Foothills	Council District 8	Neighborhood Association Tanoan East
----------------------------------	------------------------------	--

347-05 Citizen stated he was pulled over and cited for speeding. He was then arrested for a traffic warrant. The officer was driving at speeds from 70-80 mph and the citizen asked her to slow down. She did. When they arrived at MDC the officer had a Sheriffs officer help her get the citizen out of the vehicle. The Sheriff helped her. The Sheriff then punched the citizen in the face. MDC nurse refused medical treatment.

Officer 904		Investigator:	IRO
	SOP § 1-03-2C	Finding Unfounded	IRO Review/Chief's IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 1-19-10I 1	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 2-19-5	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command Valley	Council District 3	Neighborhood Association West Park
-------------------------------	------------------------------	--

348-05 Citizen stated that another driver wrecked into her family another driver and into a familys yard and onto another street. She stated that the paramedics stated that the other driver smelled like she had been drinking but the officer did not test the driver he just put that she had nothing to drink from her word. The citizen would like something more done by the officers when something like that happens.

Officer
610

Investigator: IRO

SOP
§ 2-18-8B

Finding
Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command
Southeast

Council District
3

Neighborhood Association
University Hts.

350-05 Citizen stated that she has had problems with her vehicles being vandalized. She stated that she called the police but they do nothing about it.

Officer
Not an officer

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Inactivated

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO inactivated due to citizen not signing complaint or cooperating to get the complaint signed. Complaint must be signed per APOA contract.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

351-05 Citizen stated that she has been waiting for an officer to do his report for seven months and he keeps telling her he is working on it. The report would be for stolen items from her

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Inactivated

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's
IRO inactivated due to 90-day

limitation.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

Part IV- Officer Information for Citizen Complaints

Second Quarterly Report- 2006

As citizen complaints are received and completed investigations are reviewed, the IRO assigns a numeric code to each officer who was involved in the complaint. The number has no significance. This part of the Report is organized by that officer code number. The officer is identified by sex and rank. Information on the ethnicity of the officer was unavailable. Each Citizen Police Complaint (CPC) involving that officer has been listed as well as the implicated SOP violation, investigative Finding, review by IRO and final decision by Chief.

As indicated earlier, "pending" under SOP and Finding indicates that the investigation has not been completed. Therefore, not all officers involved in all CPC's received have been identified to the IRO. A numeric code will be assigned when the investigation is completed and given to the IRO for review.

Officers involved in Internal Investigations are listed in Part VII.

Please note that pursuant to § 9-4-1-5(F) of the Ordinance, the Chief of Police has final disciplinary authority. Discipline imposed on an officer, can be appealed through the City personnel process, and is considered a personnel action.

Officer	CPC	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/ Chief's Decision
Not an officer	025	Inactivated	Inactivated	IRO inactivated due to no alleged unprofessional behavior on part of any officer.
	026	Inactivated	Inactivated	IRO inactivated due to citizen not signing complaint. Also, citizen did not allege any unprofessional behavior on part of the officer.
	036	Inactivated	Inactivated	IRO inactivated due to no misconduct alleged.
	041	Inactivated	Inactivated	IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen did not sign complaint
	051	Inactivated	Inactivated	IRO inactivated due to citizen not being in the stated when the alleged incident occurred. IRO spoke with citizens family whom stated that the citizen had never visited NM.
	065	Inactivated	Inactivated	IRO inactivated due to 90-day limitation.
	120	Inactivated	Inactivated	IRO inactivated. Complaint against Parking not APD.
	138	Inactivated	Inactivated	IRO inactivated due to 90-day limitation.

Officer	CPC	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/ Chief's Decision
	149	Pending	Pending	Pending

Officer	CPC	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/ Chief's Decision
---------	-----	-----	---------	---------------------------------

Unidentified
(as of compilation of this

006	Pending	Pending	Pending
031	Pending	Pending	Pending
045	Pending	Pending	Pending
046	Pending	Pending	Pending
047	Pending	Pending	Pending
056	Pending	Pending	Pending
058	Pending	Pending	Pending
066	Pending	Pending	Pending
073	Pending	Pending	Pending
078	Pending	Pending	Pending
082	Pending	Pending	Pending
084	Pending	Pending	Pending
088	Pending	Pending	Pending
094	Pending	Pending	Pending
095	Pending	Pending	Pending
100	Pending	Pending	Pending
101	Pending	Pending	Pending
103	Pending	Pending	Pending
106	Pending	Pending	Pending
109	Pending	Pending	Pending
111	Pending	Pending	Pending
115	Pending	Pending	Pending

Officer	CPC	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/ Chief's Decision
116		Pending	Pending	Pending
119		Pending	Pending	Pending
121		Pending	Pending	Pending
123		Pending	Pending	Pending
125		Pending	Pending	Pending
127		Pending	Pending	Pending
129		Pending	Pending	Pending
131		Pending	Pending	Pending
132		Pending	Pending	Pending
133		Pending	Pending	Pending
135		Pending	Pending	Pending
139		Pending	Pending	Pending
140		Pending	Pending	Pending
143		Pending	Pending	Pending
145		Pending	Pending	Pending
146		Pending	Pending	Pending
151		Pending	Pending	Pending
152		Pending	Pending	Pending
153		Pending	Pending	Pending
155		Pending	Pending	Pending
156		Pending	Pending	Pending
157		Pending	Pending	Pending
158		Pending	Pending	Pending

Officer	CPC	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/ Chief's Decision
	159	Pending	Pending	Pending
	160	Pending	Pending	Pending
	161	Pending	Pending	Pending
	162	Pending	Pending	Pending
	163	Pending	Pending	Pending
	164	Pending	Pending	Pending

Officer	CPC	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/ Chief's Decision
Unidentified did	004	Inactivated	Inactivated	IRO inactivated case needs to be resolved in civil court. Complaint not allege any unprofessional behavior on the part of the officer.
	008	Resolved in Mediation	Inactivated	IRO inactivated. Citizen happy with outcome of mediation.
	009	Inactivated	Inactivated	IRO inactivated due to 90-day limitation.
	010	Inactivated	Inactivated	IRO inactivated per citizens request.
	014	Resolved in Mediation	Inactivated	IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen happy with outcome of mediation.
	015	Inactivated	Inactivated	IRO inactivated due to 90-day limitation.
	017	Inactivated	Inactivated	IRO inactivated due to 90-day limitation.
	018	Inactivated	Inactivated	IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen did not allege any unprofessional
	020	Inactivated	Inactivated	IRO inactivated due to 90-day limitation.
	023	Inactivated	Inactivated	IRO and Chief agreed. Complaint does not allege any unprofessional behavior on the part of the officer.
	024	Inactivated	Inactivated	IRO inactivated due to no alleged unprofessional behavior on part of the officer.
	034	Inactivated	Inactivated	IRO inactivated because the issue needs to be settled in court by a
	035	Inactivated	Inactivated	IRO inactivated due to no unprofessional behavior accused on the officers. Also, a criminal court has authority to decide the issue.
	037	Inactivated	Inactivated	IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen did not want to pursue case and wanted the case closed.
	038	Inactivated	Inactivated	IRO and Chief agreed.

Officer	CPC	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/ Chief's Decision
being	040	Inactivated	Inactivated	IRO inactivated due no complaint alleging any unprofessional behavior on the part of the officers.
	042	Resolved in Mediation	Inactivated	IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen happy with outcome of mediation.
	043	Resolved in Mediation	Inactivated	IRO inactivated. Citizen happy with outcome of mediation.
	049	Inactivated	Inactivated	IRO inactivated due to a judge able to decide the issue.
	050	Inactivated	Inactivated	IRO inactivated due to citizen not signing complaint. Complaint needs to be signed per APOA
	053	Inactivated	Inactivated	IRO inactivated due to citizen not signing complaint. Complaint needs to be signed per APOA
	055	Inactivated	Inactivated	IRO and Chief agreed. Officer already disciplined regarding this
	057	Resolved in Mediation	Inactivated	IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen happy with outcome of mediation.
	059	Inactivated	Inactivated	IRO inactivated due to no vehicle with information provided by citizen
	063	Inactivated	Inactivated	IRO inactivated due to 90-day limitation.
complaint	068	Inactivated	Inactivated	IRO inactivated. Issues in need to be decided by a judge for the criminal case.
	069	Resolved in Mediation	Inactivated	IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen happy with outcome of mediation.
	072	Inactivated	Inactivated	IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen did not sign complaint per APOA
	074	Inactivated	Inactivated	IRO inactivated . Citizen did not
sign				complaint. Complaint must be signed per APOA contract.
	075	Inactivated	Inactivated	IRO inactivated due to 90-day limitation.

Officer	CPC	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/ Chief's Decision
can	076	Inactivated	Inactivated	IRO and Chief agreed. Allegations found with no merit.
	077	Inactivated	Inactivated	IRO and Chief agreed. Citations be resolved by a fair and impartial judge.
	080	Resolved in Mediation	Inactivated	IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen happy with response from APD.
	083	Resolved in Mediation	Inactivated	IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen happy with outcome of mediation.
	086	Inactivated	Inactivated	IRO inactivated due to 90-day limitation.
	087	Inactivated	Inactivated	IRO and Chief agreed. There were no officers involved in this incident.
	091	Inactivated	Inactivated	IRO inactivated due to citizen not signing complaint or cooperating in the investigation.
	092	Inactivated	Inactivated	IRO and Chief agreed. Complaint does not allege any unprofessional behavior on the part of the officer.
	093	Inactivated	Inactivated	IRO and Chief agreed. Allegations found with no merit.
	102	Inactivated	Inactivated	IRO inactivated due to 90-day limitation.
	104	Inactivated	Inactivated	IRO inactivated due to a fair and impartial judge being able to decide the issue in court.
	107	Inactivated	Inactivated	IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen did not sign complaint.
	108	Resolved in Mediation	Inactivated	IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen satisfied with response from APD mediation.
	113	Resolved in Mediation	Inactivated	IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen happy with outcome of mediation.
	114	Inactivated	Inactivated	IRO and Chief agreed. Investigators/Mediators unable to contact citizen. Number provided was disconnected.

Officer	CPC	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/ Chief's Decision
	122	Inactivated	Inactivated	IRO inactivated due to 90-day limitation.
	124	Resolved in Mediation	Inactivated	IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen happy with outcome of mediation.
	128	Inactivated	Inactivated	IRO inactivated due to 90-day limitation.
	130	Inactivated	Inactivated	IRO inactivated due to 90-day limitation.
	134	Inactivated	Inactivated	IRO inactivated due to 90-day limitation.
	137	Resolved in Mediation	Inactivated	IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen satisfied with outcome of mediation.
	142	Resolved in Mediation	Inactivated	IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen happy with outcome of mediation.
	147	Inactivated	Inactivated	IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen did not sign complaint as required by APOA. Also, citizen did not give sufficient information to identify
	150	Resolved in Mediation	Inactivated	IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen happy with outcome of mediation.
031	Male Officer 089	§ 1-04-4D	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
040	Male Officer 002	§ 2-52-06D 2	Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.
045	Male Officer 029	§ 1-04-1F § 2-52-02A	Not Sustained Not Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed.

Officer	CPC	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/ Chief's Decision
049	Male Officer 105	§ 1-04-6C § 2-08-2	Pending Pending	Pending Pending
069	Male Officer 033	§ 1-04-1F § 1-04-4N § 1-04-4P	Sustained Unfounded Unfounded	IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed.
114	Male Officer 021	§ 1-04-4N § 2-52-02A	Unfounded Unfounded	IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed.
115	Male Officer 013	§ 2-52-02A	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
149	Male Sergeant 021	§ 1-04-4H	Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.
167	Male Officer 112	§ 2-52-02A	Pending	Pending
190	Male Officer 052	§ 1-04-1F	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
197	Male Officer 007	§ 1-04-1F	Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.
210	Male Officer 027	§ 1-04-1F	Unfounded	IRO and Chief agreed.

Officer	CPC	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/ Chief's Decision
235	Male Officer 032	§ 2-52-02A	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
254	Male Officer 011	§ 1-04-4N § 1-04-4P § 2-52-02A	Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded	IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed.
307	Male Officer 098	§ 1-04-4U	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
320	Female Officer 039	§ 1-04-4A	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
375	Male Officer 061	§ 1-05-6I 4 § 2-52-02A	Sustained Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed.
451	Male Officer 110	§ 1-03-2B 2 § 1-04-4N	Pending Pending	Pending Pending
492	Male Officer 030	§ 1-04-1F § 2-52-02A	Exonerated Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed.
527	Male Officer 016	§ 1-03-2B 2 § 1-03-3A § 1-04-4P § 1-31-3B	Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed.

Officer	CPC	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/ Chief's Decision
532	Male Officer 002	§ 1-04-4N § 1-04-4P	Unfounded Unfounded	IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed.
609	Male Officer 110	§ 1-03-2B 2 § 1-04-4N	Pending Pending	Pending Pending
611	Male Sergeant 012	§ 1-04-1G § 1-04-4N § 1-04-4P § 2-52-02A	Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed.
625	Male Officer 067	§ 2-08-12D 7	Not Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.
667	Male Officer 022	§ 1-04-4P	Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.
682	Male Officer 019 028	§ 1-04-4N § 2-52-02A § 1-04-1F § 1-39-1A 8	Unfounded Exonerated Exonerated Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed.
726	Male Officer 099	§ 1-04-1F § 1-04-4P § 2-08-1 § 2-19-3A § 2-19-3B	Exonerated Exonerated Exonerated Exonerated Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed.

Officer	CPC	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/ Chief's Decision
732	Male Officer 070	§ 1-03-3A § 1-04-4P § 1-04-4Q § 2-48-2A	Unfounded Not Sustained Unfounded Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed.
	099	§ 1-04-1F	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
739	Male Officer 085	§ 1-04-1F	Pending	Pending
741	Male Officer 002	§ 2-52-02A	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
	097	§ 1-04-4P § 2-52-02A	Unfounded Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed.
800	Male Officer 005	§ 1-03-2B 1 § 1-04-4N § 2-52-02A 1	Exonerated Unfounded Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed.
833	Female Officer 039	§ 1-04-4A	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
843	Male Officer 071	§ 1-04-1F § 1-04-4W § 1-04-6I § 1-39-1A	Sustained Not Sustained Sustained Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed.

Officer	CPC	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/ Chief's Decision
844	Male Officer 090	§ 1-03-2B 2 § 1-04-4Q § 2-52-02A § 2-52-06B	Pending Pending Pending Pending	Pending Pending Pending Pending
847	Male Officer 060 097	§ 1-05-6I 4 § 2-52-02A § 1-03-3A § 1-04-4P § 2-52-02A	Sustained Exonerated Unfounded Unfounded Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed.
855	Female Officer 003	§ 2-26-2B	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
856	Male Officer 003	§ 2-48-3B 2	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
861	Male PSA 001	§ 2-48-2 B § 2-48-7 B § 2-48-7 D1	Exonerated Exonerated Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed.
862	Male Officer 054	§ 1-04-1F § 2-52-02A	Exonerated Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed.
863	081	§ 1-04-1F	Unfounded	IRO and Chief agreed.

Officer	CPC	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/ Chief's Decision
864	Male Officer 021	§ 1-04-4N § 1-04-4P § 2-52-02A	Unfounded Sustained Unfounded	IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed.
865	Female Officer 062	§ 1-04-6N	Not Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.
866	Male Officer 048	§ 1-04-1F § 1-04-4P § 2-01-03A	Exonerated Exonerated Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed.
867	Male 096	§ 2-24-3F 5	Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.
868	Female 079	§ 1-04-1F	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
	Female Officer 117	§ 1-04-4N § 1-04-4P	Pending Pending	Pending Pending
Procedures	118	Resolved in Mediation	Inactivated	IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen satisfied with outcome of mediation.
	136	Resolved in Mediation	Inactivated	IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen happy with outcome of mediation.
	Male 003	§ 2-48-3B 2	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.

Officer	CPC	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/ Chief's Decision
Civilian Employee	044	§ 1-04-4Q	Unfounded	IRO and Chief agreed.
Civilian Employee	044	§ 1-04-4Q	Unfounded	IRO and Chief agreed.
All APD	126	Pending	Pending	Pending
Aviation Police	148	Inactivated	Inactivated	IRO inactivated complaint not APD. Complaint against Aviation. No jurisdiction.
BCSO	064	Inactivated	Inactivated	IRO inactivated due to officer being BCSO and not APD. No
	144	Inactivated	Inactivated	IRO and Chief agreed. Complaint against BCSO not APD.
Not An APD Employee	154	Inactivated	Inactivated	IRO and chief agreed. Not an APD agency.
SWAT	141	Pending	Pending	Pending

Part V - Standard Operating Procedure Violations

Second Quarterly Report- 2006

The following is summarized from the Standard Operating Procedures Manual of the Albuquerque Police Department:

The Albuquerque Police Department provides for police protection, law enforcement, investigation, crime prevention, and maintenance of order in the community. In order to carry out their duties and responsibilities, the police are empowered with legal authority. To achieve success, the Department must win and retain the confidence and respect of the citizens it serves. Police officers do not act for themselves, but for the public. To that end, it is necessary to create and maintain a system through which the Department can be effectively directed and controlled. Written directives have been incorporated into Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) to guide and direct department personnel in the performance of their duties. Violations of these provisions may result in disciplinary charges against personnel.

Standard Operating Procedures are defined as written orders by the Chief of Police or a bureau, division, or section commander to define policy and direct procedures for specific situations or events.

The Citizen Police Complaints (CPC) received have implicated the following alleged violations of Standard Operating Procedures. Listed under each implicated SOP are the CPCs in which the implicated SOP arose. The involved officers are described by numeric code. Finally, the Finding at the conclusion of the investigation, as well as the IRO Review and Chief's decision are indicated.

Please note that the words "IRO Would Add" indicate a recommendation by the IRO during the review process to consider violation of the specified SOP.

Also, please note that the language of some SOP sections is duplicative of language in other sections because recompilation changed the section numbers.

SOP

- § 1-03-2B 1** Officers shall familiarize themselves with and have working knowledge of all laws of the State of New Mexico and the Ordinances of the City of Albuquerque which they are required to enforce. Officers shall:
1. Take appropriate action and render assistance in any instance coming to their attention whether on or off duty.

CPC 005

Officer
800

Finding
Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

SOP

- § 1-03-2B 2** Officers shall familiarize themselves with and have working knowledge of all laws of the State of New Mexico and the Ordinances of the City of Albuquerque which they are required to enforce. Officers shall:
2. Make only those arrests, searches, and seizures which they know or should know are legal and in accordance with departmental

CPC 016

<i>Officer</i>	<i>Finding</i>	<i>IRO Review/Chief's Decision</i>
527	Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.

CPC 090

<i>Officer</i>	<i>Finding</i>	<i>IRO Review/Chief's Decision</i>
844	Pending	Pending

CPC 110

<i>Officer</i>	<i>Finding</i>	<i>IRO Review/Chief's Decision</i>
451	Pending	Pending
609	Pending	Pending

- § 1-03-3A** Officers shall cordially furnish their names and employee number to any person requesting such information when they are on duty or while acting in an official capacity.

CPC 016

<i>Officer</i>	<i>Finding</i>	<i>IRO Review/Chief's Decision</i>
527	Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.

CPC 070

<i>Officer</i>	<i>Finding</i>	<i>IRO Review/Chief's Decision</i>
732	Unfounded	IRO and Chief agreed.

CPC 097

<i>Officer</i>	<i>Finding</i>	<i>IRO Review/Chief's Decision</i>
847	Unfounded	IRO and Chief agreed.

SOP

§ 1-04-1F

Personnel shall conduct themselves both on and off-duty in such a manner as to reflect most favorably on the department.

CPC 007

Officer
197

Finding
Sustained

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

CPC 027

Officer
210

Finding
Unfounded

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

CPC 028

Officer
682

Finding
Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

CPC 029

Officer
045

Finding
Not Sustained

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

CPC 030

Officer
492

Finding
Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

CPC 033

Officer
069

Finding
Sustained

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

CPC 048

Officer

Finding
Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

CPC 052

Officer
190

Finding
Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

SOP

CPC 054	Officer	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's Decision
		Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
CPC 071	Officer	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's Decision
	843	Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.
CPC 079	Officer	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's Decision
		Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
CPC 081	Officer	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's Decision
		Unfounded	IRO and Chief agreed.
CPC 085	Officer	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's Decision
	739	Pending	Pending
CPC 099	Officer	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's Decision
	726	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
	732	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.

§ 1-04-1G

Conduct unbecoming an officer or employee shall include:
1. That which could bring the department into disrepute; or
2. That which impairs the operation or efficiency of the department.

CPC 012	Officer	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's Decision
	611	Unfounded	IRO and Chief agreed.

SOP

§ 1-04-4A Personnel shall constantly direct their best efforts to accomplish the functions of the department intelligently and efficiently.

CPC 039

<i>Officer</i>	<i>Finding</i>	<i>IRO Review/Chief's Decision</i>
320	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
833	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.

§ 1-04-4D Personnel shall perform their duties in a manner which will maintain the established standard or efficiency in carrying out the functions and objectives of the department.

CPC 089

<i>Officer</i>	<i>Finding</i>	<i>IRO Review/Chief's Decision</i>
031	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.

§ 1-04-4H 1-04-4 CONDUCT WHILE ON-DUTY
H. When personnel are in direct contact with the public, they shall refrain from using tobacco, or should obtain permission prior to doing so from the individual with whom they are in direct

CPC 021

<i>Officer</i>	<i>Finding</i>	<i>IRO Review/Chief's Decision</i>
149	Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.

SOP

§ 1-04-4N

Personnel will not act officiously or permit personal feelings, prejudices (race, religion, politics, national origin, life style or similar characteristics), animosities, or friendship to influence their decisions.

CPC 002

Officer
532

Finding
Unfounded

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

CPC 005

Officer
800

Finding
Unfounded

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

CPC 011

Officer
254

Finding
Unfounded

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

CPC 012

Officer
611

Finding
Unfounded

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

CPC 019

Officer
682

Finding
Unfounded

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

CPC 021

Officer
114

Finding
Unfounded
Unfounded

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.
IRO and Chief agreed.

CPC 033

Officer
069

Finding
Unfounded

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

SOP

CPC 110

Officer

451

609

Finding

Pending

Pending

IRO Review/Chief's Decision

Pending

Pending

CPC 117

Officer

Finding

Pending

IRO Review/Chief's Decision

Pending

SOP

§ 1-04-4P

Personnel shall not use coarse, violent, profane, or insolent language or gestures.

CPC 002

Officer
532

Finding
Unfounded

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

CPC 011

Officer
254

Finding
Unfounded

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

CPC 012

Officer
611

Finding
Unfounded

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

CPC 016

Officer
527

Finding
Sustained

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

CPC 021

Officer

Finding
Sustained

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

CPC 022

Officer
667

Finding
Sustained

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

CPC 033

Officer
069

Finding
Unfounded

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

CPC 048

Officer

Finding
Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

SOP

CPC 070	Officer 732	Finding Not Sustained	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed.
CPC 097	Officer 741	Finding Unfounded	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed.
	847	Unfounded	IRO and Chief agreed.
CPC 099	Officer 726	Finding Exonerated	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed.
CPC 117	Officer	Finding Pending	IRO Review/Chief's Decision Pending

SOP

§ 1-04-4Q

Personnel shall obtain information in an official and courteous manner, and act upon it in a proper and judicious manner, within the scope of their duties, and consistent with established department procedures, whenever any person requests assistance or advice, or make complaints or reports, either by telephone or in person.

CPC 044

<i>Officer</i>	<i>Finding</i>	<i>IRO Review/Chief's Decision</i>
Civilian Employee	Unfounded	IRO and Chief agreed.
Civilian Employee	Unfounded	IRO and Chief agreed.

CPC 070

<i>Officer</i>	<i>Finding</i>	<i>IRO Review/Chief's Decision</i>
732	Unfounded	IRO and Chief agreed.

CPC 090

<i>Officer</i>	<i>Finding</i>	<i>IRO Review/Chief's Decision</i>
844	Pending	Pending

§ 1-04-4U

Personnel shall not alter, misrepresent, or otherwise make any false statement on any report or other written document which has been filled out in the course of their employment.

CPC 098

<i>Officer</i>	<i>Finding</i>	<i>IRO Review/Chief's Decision</i>
307	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.

§ 1-04-4W

Personnel shall truthfully answer all questions specifically directed to them, and narrowly related to the scope of employment and operations of the department.

CPC 071

<i>Officer</i>	<i>Finding</i>	<i>IRO Review/Chief's Decision</i>
843	Not Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.

SOP

§ 1-04-6C

associations

1-04-6

[5] C.

CONDUCT BOTH ON AND OFF-DUTY

Personnel shall avoid regular or continuous

or dealings with persons whom they know are under active criminal investigation or indictment, or who have a reputation in the community or the department for present involvement in felonious or criminal behavior, except as necessary in the performance of official duties, or where unavoidable because of other personal relationships.

CPC 105

Officer

049

Finding

Pending

IRO Review/Chief's Decision

Pending

§ 1-04-6I

CONDUCT BOTH ON AND OFF-DUTY – Personnel shall not knowingly interfere with criminal or administrative investigations, assigned tasks, or duty assignments of another, and shall not directly or indirectly, by threat or bribe, attempt to secure the withdrawal or abandonment of a complaint or charges

CPC 071

Officer

843

Finding

Sustained

IRO Review/Chief's Decision

IRO and Chief agreed.

§ 1-04-6N

Personnel shall operate official vehicles in a careful and prudent manner and shall obey all laws and all department orders pertaining to such operation.

CPC 062

Officer

Finding

Not Sustained

IRO Review/Chief's Decision

IRO and Chief agreed.

SOP

§ 1-05-6I 4 PERSONNEL OF THE DEPARTMENT WILL WRITE REPORTS ON -

I. When personnel:

CPC 060

Officer
847

Finding
Sustained

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

CPC 061

Officer
375

Finding
Sustained

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

§ 1-31-3B

1-31 RIGHTS OF ONLOOKERS

1-31-3 INQUIRIES

B. If a citizen is a witness to the activity for which the suspect was detained or arrested, the officer may request his/her name; however, the citizen is not compelled to disclose such information.

CPC 016

Officer
527

Finding
Sustained

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

§ 1-39-1A

Personnel will use issued tape recorders to document the following:

1.Resisting Arrest. 2.Disorderly Conduct Arrest. 3.Refusing to obey an Officer Arrest. 4.From the start of a search warrant until the area is secured. 5.Those contacts where there is reason to believe a complaint could result. 6.Domestic violence calls for court purposes. 7.All calls involving suspected suicidal and/or suicidal

CPC 071

Officer
843

Finding
Sustained

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

SOP

§ 1-39-1A 8

USE OF TAPE RECORDERS -

A. Personnel will use issued tape recorders to document the following:

CPC 028

Officer
682

Finding
Sustained

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

§ 2-01-03A

2-01
2-01-3

COURT
COURT, PRETRIALS, MVD HEARING

ATTENDANCE, AND

MISSED COURT

A. All personnel directed to appear in court, pretrials, or M.V.D. hearings must appear and be prepared to testify. A special court notice, posted court/M.V.D. hearing notices, or subpoenas are considered an order to appear. For civil case subpoena and conflict of interest case directions, see subsections 2-01-6 and 2-01-8.

1. Personnel shall wait for defense attorney(s) to arrive for Metropolitan Court pre-trials fifteen (15) minutes from the time of the scheduled hearing.

2. If an attorney calls to inform the officer that he/she will be late,

the

officer shall wait an additional fifteen (15) minutes if he/she is not expected in another court case. The same request will be made of defense attorney(s) when police personnel inform the attorney(s) that the officer will be late.

3. Personnel shall have documentation necessary for testimony

in

any court and/or M.V.D. hearing, i.e., police report, tact plans, intoxilizer (breath) cards, intoxilizer calibration documentation, intoxilizer certification card, photographs, training material etc.

4. Pagers and cell phones will be turned off or left in the officer's vehicle prior to court/hearing. Officers shall arrive for their court case/hearing at least five minutes prior to the scheduled time.

CPC 048

Officer

Finding
Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

SOP

- § 2-08-1** Officers collecting evidence, property, or found items are responsible for the custody of these items until they have been turned into the Evidence room or substation

CPC 099

Officer
726

Finding
Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

- § 2-08-12D 7** 2-08 SUBMISSION OF EVIDENCE, CONFISCATED PROPERTY, AND FOUND ITEMS
2-08-12 DISPOSITION OF EVIDENCE
D. Safekeeping Property: Submission and Disposition
7. Objects or articles of a non-evidentiary nature may be

left with

the next of kin or secured at the scene at the time of the preliminary investigation. Property items that are taken into police custody shall be tagged into Evidence for safekeeping. They shall not be released to anyone other than the court or a person authorized to receive them by either a court order or the Evidence Unit supervisor. Prescription drugs belonging to the deceased and seized as part of the preliminary investigation will not be released to a next of kin. Upon completion of the investigation, the drugs will be destroyed in accordance with Evidence Unit procedures.

CPC 067

Officer
625

Finding
Not Sustained

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

- § 2-08-2** All articles of evidence, safekeeping, and found items will be tagged and submitted to the Evidence Unit or deposited in substation drop boxes by the end of the officer's tour of duty. Exceptions to this can be authorized only by a supervisor due to exigent or unusual circumstances; however, the evidence must be placed in a secure setting within a police building such as a safe for a locker cabinet, which can be secured under the direct control of the supervisor.

CPC 105

Officer
049

Finding
Pending

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
Pending

SOP

§ 2-19-3A

HANDCUFFING OF PRISONERS

A. All felony and misdemeanor prisoners and all persons in protective custody will be handcuffed behind their backs and remain so restrained while being transported to a detention or medical facility.

1. Exceptions may be made for elderly, invalids, ill or injured individuals, or persons with physical handicaps which preclude the use of handcuffs.

2. Very careful evaluation and discretion must be utilized by officers in determining when exceptions apply. The nature of an individual's illness, injury, or physical handicap must be weighed against the threat the individual poses to the officer. Partial restraint is preferable

CPC 099

Officer
726

Finding
Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

§ 2-19-3B

HANDCUFFING OF PRISONERS -

Handcuffs and leg shackles will be double locked when in use.

CPC 099

Officer
726

Finding
Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

§ 2-24-3F 5

PRELIMINARY INVESTIGATIONS – Steps to be followed in a preliminary investigation:

5. Report the incident fully and accurately.

CPC 096

Officer

Finding
Sustained

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

SOP

§ 2-26-2B

UNLAWFUL TAKING OF MOTOR VEHICLES (AUTO THEFT)

B. For N.C.I.C. entry, all auto thefts will be called in to the Report Review Unit as soon as the license and vehicle identification numbers have been verified. Officers will note in their report the time Report Review was contacted for N.C.I.C. entry and the identity of the clerk to whom the auto theft information was given.

CPC 003

Officer
855

Finding
Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

§ 2-48-2 B

VEHICLES WILL BE TOWED WHEN

B. The vehicle has been abandoned, vandalized, involved in an accident to the extent that it is inoperable, and/or is in violation of Section 8-5 or 7-5-3 of the City of Albuquerque Traffic Code and documented attempts to contact the owner have failed.

CPC 001

Officer
861

Finding
Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

§ 2-48-2A

VEHICLES WILL BE TOWED WHEN

A. The driver has been incapacitated, hospitalized, arrested, when the vehicle cannot be released to a responsible party.

CPC 070

Officer
732

Finding
Sustained

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

SOP

§ 2-48-3B 2

WHEN IT BECOMES NECESSARY TO TOW VEHICLES

B. Officers authorizing the towing of a vehicle will document the justification for the towing of the vehicle.

2. In all cases when officers tow a vehicle and utilize the Towed Vehicle Notification (PD-3061), the form must be forwarded to Records by the end of the shift.

Supervisors will ensure that all paperwork is completed and included in the next mail run.

CPC 003

Officer

856

Finding

Exonerated

Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision

IRO and Chief agreed.

IRO and Chief agreed.

§ 2-48-7 B

ABANDONED VEHICLES ON PUBLIC/CITY PROPERTY

B. The officer/P.S.A. will make a stolen vehicle inquiry and attempt to contact the owner.

CPC 001

Officer

861

Finding

Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision

IRO and Chief agreed.

§ 2-48-7 D1

ABANDONED VEHICLES ON PUBLIC/CITY PROPERTY

D. If the owner cannot be contacted or refuses to move the vehicle, officers will fill out an Abandoned Vehicle Notification Form (PD-3060) and place an abandoned vehicle sticker on the vehicle.

1. Abandoned Vehicle Notification forms will be forwarded to Records and then mailed to registered owners.

CPC 001

Officer

861

Finding

Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision

IRO and Chief agreed.

SOP

§ 2-52-02A

Where force is warranted, officers should assess the incident in order to determine which technique or weapon will reasonably de-escalate the incident and bring it under control safely. Officers shall use only that force which is reasonably necessary to effect lawful objectives.

CPC 002

Officer
741

Finding
Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

CPC 011

Officer
254

Finding
Unfounded

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

CPC 012

Officer
611

Finding
Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

CPC 013

Officer
115

Finding
Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

CPC 019

Officer
682

Finding
Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

CPC 021

Officer
114

Finding
Unfounded
Unfounded

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.
IRO and Chief agreed.

CPC 029

Officer
045

Finding
Not Sustained

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

SOP

CPC 030	Officer 492	Finding Exonerated	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed.
CPC 032	Officer 235	Finding Exonerated	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed.
CPC 054	Officer	Finding Exonerated	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed.
CPC 060	Officer 847	Finding Exonerated	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed.
CPC 061	Officer 375	Finding Exonerated	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed.
CPC 090	Officer 844	Finding Pending	IRO Review/Chief's Decision Pending
CPC 097	Officer 741 847	Finding Exonerated Exonerated	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed.
CPC 112	Officer 167	Finding Pending	IRO Review/Chief's Decision Pending

SOP

- § 2-52-02A 1** 2-52 USE OF FORCE (DEADLY FORCE, NON DEADLY FORCE, LESS LETHAL FORCE)
2-52-2 USE OF NON DEADLY FORCE
A. Where force is warranted, officers should assess the incident in order to determine which technique or weapon will reasonably de-escalate the incident and bring it under control safely. Officers shall use only that force which is reasonably necessary to effect lawful

CPC 005

<i>Officer</i>	<i>Finding</i>	<i>IRO Review/Chief's Decision</i>
800	Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.

§ 2-52-06B

REPORTING USES OF FORCE

- B. In all other instances where police actions are used which result in an injury, officers shall document the injury or alleged injury in the report of the incident. All officers who witness such police actions whether directly involved or not, shall document their observation on a supplemental report. A copy will be submitted through their chain of command to their division commander and Internal Affairs within 24 hours of the event.
1. The offense report will include:
 - a. A detailed description of the events leading to the necessity for the use of police action;
 - b. The amount and type of action used;
 - c. The nature and extent of injuries and treatment rendered to the officers and subjects;
 - d. The identity of combatants, officers involved, witnesses and medical personnel involved, if applicable, and
 - e. Other pertinent information.
 2. If an officer is unable to write the report, the on duty supervisor will ensure the necessary report is properly prepared and forwarded.

CPC 090

<i>Officer</i>	<i>Finding</i>	<i>IRO Review/Chief's Decision</i>
844	Pending	Pending

SOP

- § 2-52-06D 2** Supervisors will be required to complete the Use of Force Report form for all types of force applied to a given incident including:
- a. Hand-to-hand action resulting in injury,
 - b. Baton strike,
 - c. Canine bite
 - d. Mace
 - f. Less lethal munitions

CPC 002

Officer
040

Finding
Sustained

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

SOP

Inactivated Case was inactivated without specifying the SOP violation.

CPC 004

Officer
Unidentified

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision

IRO inactivated case needs to be resolved in civil court. Complaint did not allege any unprofessional behavior on the part of the officer.

CPC 009

Officer
Unidentified

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision

IRO inactivated due to 90-day limitation.

CPC 010

Officer
Unidentified

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision

IRO inactivated per citizens request.

CPC 015

Officer
Unidentified

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision

IRO inactivated due to 90-day limitation.

CPC 017

Officer
Unidentified

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision

IRO inactivated due to 90-day limitation.

CPC 018

Officer
Unidentified

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision

IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen did not allege any unprofessional behavior.

CPC 020

Officer
Unidentified

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision

IRO inactivated due to 90-day limitation.

CPC 023

Officer
Unidentified

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision

IRO and Chief agreed. Complaint does not allege any unprofessional behavior on the part of the officer.

SOP

CPC 024	Officer Unidentified	Finding Inactivated	<i>IRO Review/Chief's Decision</i> IRO inactivated due to no alleged unprofessional behavior on part of the officer.
CPC 025	Officer Not an officer	Finding Inactivated	<i>IRO Review/Chief's Decision</i> IRO inactivated due to no alleged unprofessional behavior on part of any officer.
CPC 026	Officer Not an officer	Finding Inactivated	<i>IRO Review/Chief's Decision</i> IRO inactivated due to citizen not signing complaint. Also, citizen did not allege any unprofessional behavior on part of the officer.
CPC 034	Officer Unidentified	Finding Inactivated	<i>IRO Review/Chief's Decision</i> IRO inactivated because the issue needs to be settled in court by a judge.
CPC 035	Officer Unidentified	Finding Inactivated	<i>IRO Review/Chief's Decision</i> IRO inactivated due to no unprofessional behavior accused on the officers. Also, a criminal court has authority to decide the issue.
CPC 036	Officer Not an officer	Finding Inactivated	<i>IRO Review/Chief's Decision</i> IRO inactivated due to no misconduct alleged.
CPC 037	Officer Unidentified	Finding Inactivated	<i>IRO Review/Chief's Decision</i> IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen did not want to pursue case and wanted the case closed.

SOP

CPC 038	Officer Unidentified	Finding Inactivated	<i>IRO Review/Chief's Decision</i> IRO and Chief agreed.
CPC 040	Officer Unidentified	Finding Inactivated	<i>IRO Review/Chief's Decision</i> IRO inactivated due no complaint alleging any unprofessional behavior on the part of the officers.
CPC 041	Officer Not an officer	Finding Inactivated	<i>IRO Review/Chief's Decision</i> IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen did not sign complaint
CPC 049	Officer Unidentified	Finding Inactivated	<i>IRO Review/Chief's Decision</i> IRO inactivated due to a judge being able to decide the issue.
CPC 050	Officer Unidentified	Finding Inactivated	<i>IRO Review/Chief's Decision</i> IRO inactivated due to citizen not signing complaint. Complaint needs to be signed per APOA contract.
CPC 051	Officer Not an officer	Finding Inactivated	<i>IRO Review/Chief's Decision</i> IRO inactivated due to citizen not being in the stated when the alleged incident occurred. IRO spoke with citizens family whom stated that the citizen had never visited NM.
CPC 053	Officer Unidentified	Finding Inactivated	<i>IRO Review/Chief's Decision</i> IRO inactivated due to citizen not signing complaint. Complaint needs to be signed per APOA contract.

SOP

CPC 055	Officer Unidentified	Finding Inactivated	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed. Officer already disciplined regarding this issue.
CPC 059	Officer Unidentified	Finding Inactivated	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO inactivated due to no vehicle with information provided by citizen exists.
CPC 063	Officer Unidentified	Finding Inactivated	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO inactivated due to 90-day limitation.
CPC 064	Officer BCSO	Finding Inactivated	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO inactivated due to officer being BCSO and not APD. No jurisdiction.
CPC 065	Officer Not an officer	Finding Inactivated	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO inactivated due to 90-day limitation.
CPC 068	Officer Unidentified	Finding Inactivated	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO inactivated. Issues in complaint need to be decided by a judge for the criminal case.
CPC 072	Officer Unidentified	Finding Inactivated	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen did not sign complaint per APOA contract.
CPC 074	Officer Unidentified	Finding Inactivated	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO inactivated. Citizen did not sign complaint. Complaint must be signed per APOA contract.

SOP

CPC 075	Officer Unidentified	Finding Inactivated	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO inactivated due to 90-day limitation.
CPC 076	Officer Unidentified	Finding Inactivated	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed. Allegations found with no
CPC 077	Officer Unidentified	Finding Inactivated	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed. Citations can be resolved by a fair and impartial judge.
CPC 086	Officer Unidentified	Finding Inactivated	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO inactivated due to 90-day limitation.
CPC 087	Officer Unidentified	Finding Inactivated	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed. There were no officers involved in this incident.
CPC 091	Officer Unidentified	Finding Inactivated	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO inactivated due to citizen not signing complaint or cooperating in the investigation.
CPC 092	Officer Unidentified	Finding Inactivated	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed. Complaint does not allege any unprofessional behavior on the part of the officer.
CPC 093	Officer Unidentified	Finding Inactivated	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed. Allegations found with no

SOP

CPC 102	Officer Unidentified	Finding Inactivated	<i>IRO Review/Chief's Decision</i> IRO inactivated due to 90-day limitation.
CPC 104	Officer Unidentified	Finding Inactivated	<i>IRO Review/Chief's Decision</i> IRO inactivated due to a fair and impartial judge being able to decide the issue in court.
CPC 107	Officer Unidentified	Finding Inactivated	<i>IRO Review/Chief's Decision</i> IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen did not sign complaint.
CPC 114	Officer Unidentified	Finding Inactivated	<i>IRO Review/Chief's Decision</i> IRO and Chief agreed. Investigators/Mediators unable to contact citizen. Number provided was
CPC 120	Officer Not an officer	Finding Inactivated	<i>IRO Review/Chief's Decision</i> IRO inactivated. Complaint against Parking not APD.
CPC 122	Officer Unidentified	Finding Inactivated	<i>IRO Review/Chief's Decision</i> IRO inactivated due to 90-day limitation.
CPC 128	Officer Unidentified	Finding Inactivated	<i>IRO Review/Chief's Decision</i> IRO inactivated due to 90-day limitation.
CPC 130	Officer Unidentified	Finding Inactivated	<i>IRO Review/Chief's Decision</i> IRO inactivated due to 90-day limitation.

SOP

CPC 134	Officer Unidentified	Finding Inactivated	<i>IRO Review/Chief's Decision</i> IRO inactivated due to 90-day limitation.
CPC 138	Officer Not an officer	Finding Inactivated	<i>IRO Review/Chief's Decision</i> IRO inactivated due to 90-day limitation.
CPC 144	Officer BCSO	Finding Inactivated	<i>IRO Review/Chief's Decision</i> IRO and Chief agreed. Complaint against BCSO not APD.
CPC 147	Officer Unidentified	Finding Inactivated	<i>IRO Review/Chief's Decision</i> IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen did not sign complaint as required by APOA. Also, citizen did not give sufficient information to identify officer.
CPC 148	Officer Aviation Police	Finding Inactivated	<i>IRO Review/Chief's Decision</i> IRO inactivated complaint not against APD. Complaint against Aviation. No jurisdiction.
CPC 154	Officer Not An APD Employee	Finding Inactivated	<i>IRO Review/Chief's Decision</i> IRO and chief agreed. Not an APD agency.

SOP

Pending

Case was pending completion of investigation;
As such no SOPs had been implicated.

CPC 006

Officer

Unidentified
(as of
compilation of
this report)

Finding

Pending

IRO Review/Chief's Decision

Pending

CPC 031

Officer

Unidentified
(as of
compilation of
this report)

Finding

Pending

IRO Review/Chief's Decision

Pending

CPC 045

Officer

Unidentified
(as of
compilation of
this report)

Finding

Pending

IRO Review/Chief's Decision

Pending

CPC 046

Officer

Unidentified
(as of
compilation of
this report)

Finding

Pending

IRO Review/Chief's Decision

Pending

CPC 047

Officer

Unidentified
(as of
compilation of
this report)

Finding

Pending

IRO Review/Chief's Decision

Pending

CPC 056

Officer

Unidentified
(as of
compilation of
this report)

Finding

Pending

IRO Review/Chief's Decision

Pending

SOP

CPC 058

Officer
Unidentified
(as of
compilation of
this report)

Finding
Pending

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
Pending

CPC 066

Officer
Unidentified
(as of
compilation of
this report)

Finding
Pending

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
Pending

CPC 073

Officer
Unidentified
(as of
compilation of
this report)

Finding
Pending

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
Pending

CPC 078

Officer
Unidentified
(as of
compilation of
this report)

Finding
Pending

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
Pending

CPC 082

Officer
Unidentified
(as of
compilation of
this report)

Finding
Pending

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
Pending

CPC 084

Officer
Unidentified
(as of
compilation of
this report)

Finding
Pending

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
Pending

SOP

CPC 088

Officer
Unidentified
(as of
compilation of
this report)

Finding
Pending

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
Pending

CPC 094

Officer
Unidentified
(as of
compilation of
this report)

Finding
Pending

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
Pending

CPC 095

Officer
Unidentified
(as of
compilation of
this report)

Finding
Pending

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
Pending

CPC 100

Officer
Unidentified
(as of
compilation of
this report)

Finding
Pending

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
Pending

CPC 101

Officer
Unidentified
(as of
compilation of
this report)

Finding
Pending

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
Pending

CPC 103

Officer
Unidentified
(as of
compilation of
this report)

Finding
Pending

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
Pending

SOP

CPC 106

Officer
Unidentified
(as of
compilation of
this report)

Finding
Pending

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
Pending

CPC 109

Officer
Unidentified
(as of
compilation of
this report)

Finding
Pending

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
Pending

CPC 111

Officer
Unidentified
(as of
compilation of
this report)

Finding
Pending

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
Pending

CPC 115

Officer
Unidentified
(as of
compilation of
this report)

Finding
Pending

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
Pending

CPC 116

Officer
Unidentified
(as of
compilation of
this report)

Finding
Pending

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
Pending

CPC 119

Officer
Unidentified
(as of
compilation of
this report)

Finding
Pending

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
Pending

SOP

CPC 121	Officer Unidentified (as of compilation of this report)	Finding Pending	IRO Review/Chief's Decision Pending
CPC 123	Officer Unidentified (as of compilation of this report)	Finding Pending	IRO Review/Chief's Decision Pending
CPC 125	Officer Unidentified (as of compilation of this report)	Finding Pending	IRO Review/Chief's Decision Pending
CPC 126	Officer All APD	Finding Pending	IRO Review/Chief's Decision Pending
CPC 127	Officer Unidentified (as of compilation of this report)	Finding Pending	IRO Review/Chief's Decision Pending
CPC 129	Officer Unidentified (as of compilation of this report)	Finding Pending	IRO Review/Chief's Decision Pending

SOP

CPC 131

Officer
Unidentified
(as of
compilation of
this report)

Finding
Pending

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
Pending

CPC 132

Officer
Unidentified
(as of
compilation of
this report)

Finding
Pending

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
Pending

CPC 133

Officer
Unidentified
(as of
compilation of
this report)

Finding
Pending

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
Pending

CPC 135

Officer
Unidentified
(as of
compilation of
this report)

Finding
Pending

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
Pending

CPC 139

Officer
Unidentified
(as of
compilation of
this report)

Finding
Pending

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
Pending

CPC 140

Officer
Unidentified
(as of
compilation of
this report)

Finding
Pending

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
Pending

SOP

CPC 141	Officer SWAT	Finding Pending	IRO Review/Chief's Decision Pending
CPC 143	Officer Unidentified (as of compilation of this report)	Finding Pending	IRO Review/Chief's Decision Pending
CPC 145	Officer Unidentified (as of compilation of this report)	Finding Pending	IRO Review/Chief's Decision Pending
CPC 146	Officer Unidentified (as of compilation of this report)	Finding Pending	IRO Review/Chief's Decision Pending
CPC 149	Officer Not an officer	Finding Pending	IRO Review/Chief's Decision Pending
CPC 151	Officer Unidentified (as of compilation of this report)	Finding Pending	IRO Review/Chief's Decision Pending
CPC 152	Officer Unidentified (as of compilation of this report)	Finding Pending	IRO Review/Chief's Decision Pending

SOP

CPC 153

Officer
Unidentified
(as of
compilation of
this report)

Finding
Pending

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
Pending

CPC 155

Officer
Unidentified
(as of
compilation of
this report)

Finding
Pending

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
Pending

CPC 156

Officer
Unidentified
(as of
compilation of
this report)

Finding
Pending

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
Pending

CPC 157

Officer
Unidentified
(as of
compilation of
this report)

Finding
Pending

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
Pending

CPC 158

Officer
Unidentified
(as of
compilation of
this report)

Finding
Pending

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
Pending

CPC 159

Officer
Unidentified
(as of
compilation of
this report)

Finding
Pending

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
Pending

SOP

CPC 160

Officer

Unidentified
(as of
compilation of
this report)

Finding

Pending

IRO Review/Chief's Decision

Pending

CPC 161

Officer

Unidentified
(as of
compilation of
this report)

Finding

Pending

IRO Review/Chief's Decision

Pending

CPC 162

Officer

Unidentified
(as of
compilation of
this report)

Finding

Pending

IRO Review/Chief's Decision

Pending

CPC 163

Officer

Unidentified
(as of
compilation of
this report)

Finding

Pending

IRO Review/Chief's Decision

Pending

CPC 164

Officer

Unidentified
(as of
compilation of
this report)

Finding

Pending

IRO Review/Chief's Decision

Pending

SOP

Resolved in Mediation

The case was resolved through mediation between the citizen(s) and the officer(s)

CPC 008

Officer
Unidentified

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision

IRO inactivated. Citizen happy with outcome of mediation.

CPC 014

Officer
Unidentified

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision

IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen happy with outcome of mediation.

CPC 042

Officer
Unidentified

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision

IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen happy with outcome of mediation.

CPC 043

Officer
Unidentified

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision

IRO inactivated. Citizen happy with outcome of mediation.

CPC 057

Officer
Unidentified

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision

IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen happy with outcome of mediation.

CPC 069

Officer
Unidentified

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision

IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen happy with outcome of mediation.

CPC 080

Officer
Unidentified

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision

IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen happy with response from APD.

SOP

CPC 083	Officer Unidentified	Finding Inactivated	<i>IRO Review/Chief's Decision</i> IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen happy with outcome of mediation.
CPC 108	Officer Unidentified	Finding Inactivated	<i>IRO Review/Chief's Decision</i> IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen satisfied with response from APD mediation.
CPC 113	Officer Unidentified	Finding Inactivated	<i>IRO Review/Chief's Decision</i> IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen happy with outcome of mediation.
CPC 118	Officer Procedures	Finding Inactivated	<i>IRO Review/Chief's Decision</i> IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen satisfied with outcome of mediation.
CPC 124	Officer Unidentified	Finding Inactivated	<i>IRO Review/Chief's Decision</i> IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen happy with outcome of mediation.
CPC 136	Officer Procedures	Finding Inactivated	<i>IRO Review/Chief's Decision</i> IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen happy with outcome of mediation.
CPC 137	Officer Unidentified	Finding Inactivated	<i>IRO Review/Chief's Decision</i> IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen satisfied with outcome of mediation.

SOP

CPC 142

Officer

Unidentified

Finding

Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision

IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen happy with outcome of mediation.

CPC 150

Officer

Unidentified

Finding

Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision

IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen happy with outcome of mediation.

Part VI- Summary of Internal Second Quarterly Report- 2006

Pursuant to § 9-4-1-5(B) of the Ordinance, the POC shall have the power and duty to audit and monitor police shootings under investigation by the APD Internal Affairs Unit. Pursuant to § 9-4-1-6(C) the IRO will make findings related to police shootings to be forwarded to the POC. The following is a summary of officer involved shootings which were investigated by the Internal Affairs Unit and forwarded to the IRO for review. In addition, some internal investigations on excessive force were forwarded to the IRO for review. Again, please note officers are referred to by the numeric code assigned by the IRO. The numbers have no significance.

Section A:

Internal Investigations opened this Year; Reviewed this Year

I Summary of Case

031-06 The female driver robbed a bank while armed with a handgun and fled the scene. The driver was a sworn law enforcement officer for the NM Attorney General's Office. A female officer on her lunch break from training at the Academy heard the dispatch and then saw the suspect vehicle. She called it in and followed it. The first officer was in an unmarked truck and joined in and followed. When the robber's vehicle turned into a fast food restaurant and parked the training officer pulled in directly behind the vehicle to block it from leaving. She then got out and took a position behind her car. The first officer blocked the robber also and ordered the driver to get out. The robber attempted to drive away and escape. The first officer shot out two of her tires. The robber continued to try to drive away over the divider, lost control of her car, and hit a pole. She still tried to drive away, but her car stopped and was blocked in. She used her cell phone and appeared to talk for 30 seconds. She put the phone down and picked up her gun. She put her gun to her head, but did not exit the car. The first officer had his rifle out and kept asking the robber to cooperate. She said several times that the officer was going to have to shoot her. A second officer, assigned to the SWAT unit, heard the dispatch calls and was told to go to the scene. He also had a rifle. The robber moved her gun up toward the first officer and both officers fired two rounds. The stolen money was recovered from the car.

Officer

089

SOP

§ 2-52-03B 1

IA Finding

Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's

IRO and Chief agreed.

433

SOP

§ 2-52-03B 1

IA Finding

Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's

IRO and Chief agreed.

033-06 The two officers were already conducting a traffic stop near Third and Tijeras when they observed a vehicle driving the wrong way on a one-way street. It was just after midnight. The first officer left the stopped vehicle and made a traffic stop on the vehicle traveling the wrong way on a one-way street at Second and Tijeras. The vehicle turned into the parking lot at the Double Tree Hotel. The driver had no license, insurance or registration and gave a false name. The first officer went to the police vehicle to check the driver out. The second officer completed the first traffic stop and proceeded to assist with this traffic stop. The second officer was talking to the driver when the first officer found the driver was not located in their data base and came back as a “skip.” They asked the driver to get out of the car to be frisked. He got out and ran from the officers. Both officers chased him, while calling for support. The driver stumbled but kept running. The driver reached Second and Roma, when he tripped and fell. The driver rolled and fired at the first officer. The first officer was hit in the chest and chin, but fell and returned fire. The driver turned his gun on the second officer, fired and hit him in the leg and neck. That officer also fell and continued to return fire. The driver was shot and killed. The first officer called out that officers were down and assistance arrived within minutes. Officers fired a total of 19 rounds at the driver.

Officer	SOP	IA Finding	IRO Review/Chief's
543	§ 2-52-03B 1	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
728	SOP	IA Finding	IRO Review/Chief's
	§ 2-52-03B 1	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.

056-06 This incident began at 0930 on February 10, 2005 in Torrance County. The Torrance County Sheriff went with the property owner to evict the resident from the owner's property. The home is on 10 acres in a rural area and has large distances between that home and any other home. The area is wide open with little to no concealment for officers to approach it. As they approached the home, shots were fired from inside and the owner was hit in the leg and the Deputy Sheriff was hit by shrapnel in the face. At 1100, the State Police Tactical Commander called and requested APD SWAT assistance. Three deputy sheriffs were pinned down behind police vehicles and the shooter would fire when they tried to move. Two people had already been shot. APD sent SWAT officers and the armored V100 to the scene. Armored vehicles from BCSO and Sandia also arrived on scene. They used the Sandia Bearcat to rescue the people pinned down and as a command post. They delayed entry efforts until they obtained a search warrant. During the entire scenario, officers broadcast over a PA asking the shooter to come out. The shooter had built shooting ports into the exterior of the walls of his home, had bricks stacked up inside for cover, and had a mannequin at one shooting port. Attempts to get the shooter to surrender failed and the shooter never responded to any contact efforts. The V100 made three different trips around the house while firing wood baton rounds to break the windows and then shooting tear gas into the house. The shooter made no contact despite the PA efforts. It was decided that the V100 would start opening up the walls of the house, since it was too dangerous to send officers across the open terrain and into the home. The V100 opened the south side and entire east side of the trailer, but the shooter could still not be seen. When the V100 started on the west wall, the shooter appeared and fired at the officers in the Bearcat and officers returned fire. The shooter went back inside and occasionally could be seen stacking bricks inside the home. The V100 then started working on the north side of the home. The shooter fired shots that flattened two tires and hit the armored V100. It broke down and the officers were stuck inside the V100. Snipers were then able to see the shooter, who was wearing a gas mask and had a rifle. The first APD officer saw the shooter, fired and hit him. The shooter continued firing at other officers. More officers fired and the shooter went down and did not move. Officers moved to secure the house and the shooter was dead. This incident ended around 2200.

The first APD officer positioned himself behind the Bearcat during the incident. The shooter shot the V100 multiple times as it broke down the house. The shooter was also firing multiple rounds at officers on the perimeter and around the Bearcat. The officer was finally able to see where the shooter was firing from. He saw him fire multiple rounds and saw the pattern of the shooter raising and lowering his weapon. He could barely see the shooter and only for short portions of time when the shooter fired. When the shooter prepared to fire again, the officer fired two rounds into a white box that the shooter was hiding behind. There was no movement by the shooter for a while and then the shooter was seen moving around again and continued firing at the V100. The V100 continued opening the house up and the shooter continued to fire at it. The officer saw part of the shooter's armpit and fired two more rounds. He did not see the shooter again. They then saw the shooter was lying down and not moving. Officers then moved into the home and found the shooter dead.

The second APD SWAT officer set up on the high ground with other non-APD SWAT officers to the east of the house. At the end of the incident, he saw the shooter moving along the ground firing at other officers, so he and several other officers fired at the shooter and the shooter fell and did not move after that.

The autopsy indicated the shooter died of multiple gunshot wounds to the left side of his chest, lower back, calf area and buttock. Any one of the multiple shots could have been fatal.

Additional investigation revealed the owner had gone to court to get an eviction notice for the shooter. He attempted to serve the eviction notice since October 2004. The shooter

refused to come out of his home, a double-wide trailer, so he posted the notice on the gate of the property. The property was secured by a chained and padlocked chain link gate and fence line ringed with tires. The next two times he took Sheriff Deputies, but their efforts to get the shooter to come out also failed. The owner went back to court and obtained a Writ of Assistance which authorized the eviction of the persons and property of the tenant. He took a Torrance County Deputy Sheriff with him. They called out again for the tenant at the gate. Again, there was no response. Animal Control was called to handle the large dogs that were in the yard. The officer put on his bullet proof raid vest. Additional officers arrived. The owner began cutting the locks on the gate with his bolt cutter when the first shot was fired. Everybody retreated behind the police cars for protection. The owner had been hit with 12 gauge buckshot in the leg. The joint NMSP, TCSO, BCSO, and APD law enforcement effort proceeded over the next 13 hours until the shooter was killed.

Officer

067

SOP

§ 2-52-03B

IA Finding

Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's

IRO and Chief agreed.

584

SOP

§ 2-52-03B

IA Finding

Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's

IRO and Chief agreed.

058-06 An arrest warrant was obtained for the suspect for rape, kidnapping, shooting at an occupied dwelling and other felonies that occurred on June 30, 2005. During that crime, the suspect showed the victim two homemade bombs. The suspect had told the victim that he was going to kill himself and her. On July 8, 2006, the suspect was located and a search warrant obtained for the dwelling. A full Tactical Unit Call-out was initiated because the suspect was considered armed and dangerous and had a history of violence.

On July 4, the suspect called APD Communications and asked about a warrant for his arrest. Communications confirmed there was a warrant for his arrest. A confidential source told investigators that the suspect had said he wasn't going to jail and he would blow himself up and take several officers with him. The suspect was known to have a handgun and possibly had explosives in the house. The SWAT call-out was initiated at 2139 on July 8, 2005. The officer who fired was on the SWAT team and was the sniper. He took a concealed position with a firing angle toward the sliding glass door on the patio. APD opened negotiations with the suspect, but he refused to come out. Information was relayed to the officer that he had said if he sees any SWAT cops out back that he is going to throw grenades or pipe bombs at them and they had to be concerned about fragmentation from the bombs. Experts estimated the fragmentation to go about 500 feet. The APD SWAT officer had been joined by a NM State Police SWAT officer and they realized they were too close and did not have enough protection. They moved further away and got behind a roof for protection, but were still within the 500 foot range of the bomb fragments; however, they had to be able to cover their side of the house. They saw movement at the window and the suspect told negotiators that he saw SWAT officers out back and was going to throw a pipe bomb. The command post relayed that the deadly force rules were that if the suspect was about to throw it, then deadly force could be used to stop him from throwing it. Negotiations failed, so SWAT decided to use flash bangs. These went off. The blinds at the window moved and a cylinder came out with a lit fuse. It exploded in the back yard. The suspect said he is going to throw another, bigger bomb. The SWAT officers were concerned that they were still vulnerable to the 500 foot fragmentation. Both SWAT officers saw the next bomb being thrown through the window and both fired and missed. The device dropped on the widow sill. Negotiations continued without success. Numerous gas canisters were deployed inside and a shot was heard from inside. A robot was sent inside and the suspect was found dead from a self-inflicted gunshot

Officer

393

SOP

§ 2-52-03B 1

IA Finding

Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's

IRO and Chief agreed.

070-06 Officers were assisting a citizen that was contemplating suicide. As they were assisting the citizen her pit bull that was not on a leash charged the officers in an aggressive manner. Fearing that the pit bull was going to bite them both officers fired their weapons at the pit bull, which subsequently stopped the pit bull's actions. The pit bull died of its

Officer

734

SOP

§ 2-52-03B 1

IA Finding

Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's

IRO and Chief agreed.

741

SOP

§ 2-22-2D 2,5

§ 2-52-03B 1

IA Finding

Sustained

Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's

IRO and Chief agreed.

IRO and Chief agreed.

087-06 Officers were dispatched in reference to a child custody dispute. While investigating this, they were attacked by a large pit bull. Fearing the pit bull was going to bite them, they fired their duty issued 9mm Glock at the pit bull striking it. The pit bull was subsequently euthanized by animal control and the owner was cited for unleashed animal at large.

Officer

339

SOP

§ 2-52-03B 1

IA Finding

Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's

IRO and Chief agreed.

114-06 She was off duty and at home bathing one of her dogs outside. Another of her large dogs attacked the one she was bathing. Both dogs fought viciously and she could not stop them. She sprayed them with water, kicked them, and hit them with a board, but they continued fighting and seriously injuring each other. Blood was everywhere. She decided they were so out of control that they would kill each other and might turn on her or a passerby. She decided to shoot the aggressor dog. She shot it and it ran 50 feet away. She believed she had killed that dog. The other dog then attacked the dog that had been shot and kept attacking it even after it appeared to be dead. That dog was also out of control and she feared for her safety or others, so she shot and killed it also.

Officer

101

SOP

§ 2-52-03B 1

IA Finding

Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's

IRO and Chief agreed.

Section B:**Internal Investigations opened in prior Year(s); Reviewed this Year****I Summary of Case**

228-05 Citizen stated that two officers attacked him at a car show. One of the officers had a previous encounter and the citizen believed the officer was retaliating.

Officer	SOP	IA Finding	IRO Review/Chief's
151	§ 1-04-1F	Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 1-04-4C	Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.
543	SOP	IA Finding	IRO Review/Chief's
	§ 1-04-1F	Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 1-04-4C	Unfounded	IRO and Chief agreed.

247-05 The officer was on patrol when a car turned in front of him. He ran the plate, which came back as not being on file. He ran it a second time with the same results. He stopped the car, which pulled into a driveway. He approached the car and a passenger exited. The driver then turned around and fired one shot at the officer. The officer drew his gun, returned fire and killed the driver.

Officer	SOP	IA Finding	IRO Review/Chief's
130	§ 2-52-03B 1	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.

248-05 The officer was working Chief's Overtime as security. At about 0130, he heard tires screeching and saw a car speeding through the residential area. He had been talking to some kids and told them he was going to check the car out. He found the car stopped and parked behind it. He typed into his KDT to a specific officer for backup, but not any hurry. That officer responded that he was en route. The officer talks to the driver who appears intoxicated. The officer does the eye test and the driver fails. The officer asks for the driver's license and the driver does not have one. The officer returns to his car and types into his KDT to the same officer for backup, please. The officer did not call communications or ask for assistance from any available officer. The officer returns to the driver and has him exit the car. The driver is six feet tall and 260 pounds. The officer is 5' 7" and 178 pounds. The officer has the driver perform some field sobriety test which he fails. The driver is acting antsy, flexing his hands and looking around. The driver finally says he is not doing anymore tests because he is just going to fail them. The officer pats the driver down. The driver says he can't get into any more trouble. He just came back from Mexico and is trying to get his life straight. The officer has him turn around and interlace his fingers behind his head. The officer grabs them and starts to handcuff the driver. The driver tries to pull away and the officer hangs onto his hands. The driver bends at the waist and the officer is lifted up and loses his balance. The officer lets go and pushes the driver away. The driver swings at the officer and cuts his lip. The driver assumes a fighting stance and the officer draws his baton. The driver charged at the officer and ran past him. The officer calls out a foot chase at 0137 and officers are dispatched to the scene. The driver jumps a wall and the officer jumps over the wall and lands on top of the driver. The driver pushes off the ground with the officer on his back. The officer and driver are involved in a fight and the numerous punches are thrown. The driver grabs the officer's gun and starts to pull it out. The officer grabs the driver's hand with both his hands and pushes the gun back in the holster. The driver hits the officer with his free hand. The driver is overpowering the officer. The driver pulls the gun out of the officer's holster and it is pointing at the officer's face. The officer pushes it down to his chest. The officer feels like he is losing the gun, but turns it to the driver and pulls the trigger. The driver is shot three times and is killed.

Officer	SOP	IA Finding	IRO Review/Chief's
726	§ 2-52-03B 1	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.

249-05 The officer was called as part of a SWAT activation. The suspect had broken into Radio Shack earlier. An alarm had gone off and officers were dispatched at 0009 on September 1, 2004. The suspect ran past an officer who pursued him, but lost sight of him. Additional officers were called to help find the suspect who was later found hiding on top of a residential house's roof. He ran again and entered a business on Menaul. Another officer recognized the suspect. The business was an armored car business and the walls and doors were bullet proof. The suspect proceeded to shoot a shotgun and handgun at police, so SWAT was called at 0327. The suspect fired shots through the roof and out a small opening in the front door. The SWAT officer was assigned as a sniper and took up a prone position across the street to cover two doors on the south side of the business. A SWAT entry team formed and the suspect fired shotgun rounds and handgun rounds at them. Crisis negotiators tried to talk the suspect out without success. CS gas was used also without success. The Bomb Unit sent a robot to breach the front door. The charge left a small hole in the front door and the suspect fired his shotgun out of the hole. The suspect fired shots in the direction of the sniper officer. The offender switched to a handgun and stuck his hand out the hole in the door. The suspect fired at the entry team and the sniper. The sniper had to keep his head exposed to have a shot and was afraid he or the team would be shot. The captain had approved the use of deadly force earlier, so the sniper officer aimed for the hole in the door and waited until the suspect put his hand out and shot the handgun again. At 1252, the suspect put the handgun outside the hole again, the officer fired and hit the suspect in the hand. The suspect pulled his hand inside and fired into the roof several times. Eventually the officer was relieved. The standoff continued for several hours. The suspect fired at least 100 rounds. SWAT used gas, smoke, robots, dogs, an armored vehicle and entry by a SWAT team without success. Crisis negotiators eventually talked the suspect into coming out at 2237. The second officer was one of the officers assigned to arrest the suspect when he came outside. This officer was designated as the less lethal force option and had his taser out. When the officers attempted to handcuff the suspect, the suspect started to struggle. The suspect also refused to raise his shirt to show officers that he had no weapon; therefore the officer tased the suspect, who continued to fight the officers. The suspect was handcuffed and taken to the hospital for his hand.

Officer	SOP	IA Finding	IRO Review/Chief's
Unknown	§ 2-52-02A	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
021	SOP	IA Finding	IRO Review/Chief's
	§ 2-52-03B 1	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.

288-05 The officer had received several complaints about a possible meth lab, so he and two other officers went to do a knock and talk. As he approached the front door, the door was slightly ajar and a woman saw them coming and said the cops are here. He talked to the woman and explained why they were there. A large pit bull was behind the woman and barking. The woman was in a wheel chair and told the officer to wait a minute while she moved her dog back. The dog escaped from the woman and charged the officer. It knocked the officer into the wall. It snarled and attacked him again. He thought the dog was going to bite him, so he fired one shot and hit it in the leg.

Officer	SOP	IA Finding	IRO Review/Chief's
804	§ 2-53-3B 1	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.

295-05 Officers both with APD K-9 Unit, were dispatched to assist the New Mexico State Police with a SWAT call out. While conducting the entry into the residence at least two dogs exited the residence and attacked police officers and K-9s. Officers were forced to shoot the two dogs inorder to evade injuries to officers or K-9s.

Officer	SOP	IA Finding	IRO Review/Chief's
067	§ 2-52-03B 1	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed. Justified shooting.
490	SOP § 2-52-03B 1	IA Finding Exonerated	IRO Review/Chief's IRO and Chief agreed. Justified shooting.

**Part VII- Officer Information for Internal
Second Quarterly Report- 2006**

Officer	I #	SOP	IA Finding	IRO Review/ Chief's Decision
020				
	249-05	§ 2-52-02A	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
021				
	249-05	§ 2-52-03B 1	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
067	Male Officer			
	056-06	§ 2-52-03B	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
	295-05	§ 2-52-03B 1	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed. Justified shooting.
089	Male Officer			
	031-06	§ 2-52-03B 1	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
101	Female Officer			
	114-06	§ 2-52-03B 1	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
130	Male Officer			
	247-05	§ 2-52-03B 1	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
339	Male Officer			
	087-06	§ 2-52-03B 1	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.

Officer	I #	SOP	IA Finding	IRO Review/ Chief's Decision
393	Male Officer			
	058-06	§ 2-52-03B 1	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
433	Male Sergeant			
	031-06	§ 2-52-03B 1	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
490	Male Officer			
	295-05	§ 2-52-03B 1	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed. Justified shooting.
543	Male Officer			
	033-06	§ 2-52-03B 1	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
584	Male Officer			
	056-06	§ 2-52-03B	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
726	Male Officer			
	248-05	§ 2-52-03B 1	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
728	Male Officer			
	033-06	§ 2-52-03B 1	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
734	Male Officer			
	070-06	§ 2-52-03B 1	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.

Officer	I #	SOP	IA Finding	IRO Review/ Chief's Decision
741	Male Officer			
		070-06		
		§ 2-22-2D 2,5 § 2-52-03B 1	Sustained Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed.
804	Male Officer			
		288-05		
		§ 2-53-3B 1	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.

Part VIII - Police Oversight Commission: Appeal Reviews of Citizen Police

Second Quarterly Report- 2006

This Part lists the appeals requested to be heard by the Police Oversight Commission (POC). Pursuant to § 9-4-1-9(A) of the Ordinance, citizens who were dissatisfied with the outcome of their complaint as contained in the dispositional letter from the Chief of Police, could appeal to the POC.

Each appeal is listed by Citizen Police Complaint (CPC) number. A brief description of the case is given. A timeline is provided of when the dispositional letter by the Chief was issued, the date the citizen requested an appeal, when it was first set, if it was reset and then when the case was heard. The date of the decision of the POC is indicated and when a request was made for the CAO to review the decision of the POC.

The officer involved is referred to by the numeric code assigned by the IRO. It should be noted that no officer ever appeared before the POC. The Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) implicated in the complaint is listed, accompanied by the original Internal Affairs (IA) investigative finding and whether or not the IRO concurred with the IA finding. The recommendation of the POC is indicated as well as whether or not the Chief followed the recommendation. Finally, any decision by the CAO is indicated.

As with all Parts of the Report, the CPC and Officer numbers can be cross-referenced to other Parts of the Report for further information on a particular case or officer.

CPC # Summary of Complaint

CPC 256-2004 Citizen stated that he was at a friends apartment when they saw an officer pull over a vehicle. A woman from an apartment then started yelling at the citizen to leave and tore his shirt. Che police then beat up the citizen and arrested him for disobeying an officer. The citizen did cuss at the officers because he was so mad that he did not know what else to do.

Appeal Timeline

<i>Disposition Letter Response</i>	<i>Request for Appeal</i>	<i>Appeal Set</i>	<i>Appeal Reset/Heard</i>	<i>POC Decision</i>	<i>Request for CAO Review</i>	<i>CAO</i>
2/9/2005	3/23/2005	5/12/2005	5/12/2005	5/12/2005	6/10/2005	1/26/2006

Officer

141

SOP

§ 1-04-4P
§ 2-52-02A

Finding

Unfounded
Unfounded

IRO review / Chief's decision

IRO and Chief agreed.
IRO and Chief agreed.

POC Recommended

POC upheld IRO findings.
POC would like a polygraph
done on the officers and

Chief's Response to POC

Chief would offer citizen
polygraph but the officers can
not ve ordered to submit to a
polygraph without violating
City Ordinances and possibly
the Collective Bargaining

CAO Decision

CAO upheld the POC
findings. No polygraph.

Officer

541

SOP

§ 1-04-4P
§ 2-52-02A

Finding

Unfounded
Unfounded

IRO review / Chief's decision

IRO and Chief agreed.
IRO and Chief agreed.

POC Recommended

Chief's Response to POC

CAO Decision

Officer

626

SOP

§ 2-52-02A

Finding

Unfounded

IRO review / Chief's decision

IRO and Chief agreed.

POC Recommended

Chief's Response to POC

CAO Decision

CPC # Summary of Complaint

CPC 006-2005 Citizen stated that he witnessed an officer kick a citizen and did not see the man provoke the officer.

Appeal Timeline

<i>Disposition Letter Response</i>	<i>Request for Appeal</i>	<i>Appeal Set</i>	<i>Appeal Reset/Heard</i>	<i>POC Decision</i>	<i>Request for CAO Review</i>	<i>CAO</i>
5/24/2005	6/22/2005	9/8/2005	9/8/2005	9/8/2005	9/8/2005	Pending

Officer

813

SOP

§ 1-03-2D

§ 1-05-6I

Finding

Sustained

Sustained

IRO review / Chief's decision

IRO and Chief agreed.

IRO and Chief agreed.

POC Recommended

POC sent the case to the CAO for decision. Motion to send due to a conflict of

Chief's Response to POC

CAO Decision

CPC 062-2005 Citizen stated that while officers were arresting him they beat him up and stole his watch.

Appeal Timeline

<i>Disposition Letter Response</i>	<i>Request for Appeal</i>	<i>Appeal Set</i>	<i>Appeal Reset/Heard</i>	<i>POC Decision</i>	<i>Request for CAO Review</i>	<i>CAO</i>
6/7/2005	8/1/2005	2/9/2006	2/9/2006	2/9/2006		

Officer

762

SOP

§ 1-04-4P

§ 2-52-02A

Finding

Sustained

Unfounded

IRO review / Chief's decision

IRO and Chief agreed.

IRO and Chief agreed.

POC Recommended

POC upheld IRO decision.

Chief's Response to POC

CAO Decision

CPC # Summary of Complaint

CPC 073-2005

Citizen stated that police were on a chase and an SUV turned over and hit her vehicle. The citizen stated that the officers should not have been going that fast

and the child would be alive.

Appeal Timeline

<i>Disposition Letter Response</i>	<i>Request for Appeal</i>	<i>Appeal Set</i>	<i>Appeal Reset/Heard</i>	<i>POC Decision</i>	<i>Request for CAO Review</i>	<i>CAO</i>
12/6/2005	12/13/2005	1/12/2006	1/12/2006	1/12/2006		

Officer

040

SOP

§ 2-55-4F 1&2

Finding

Exonerated

IRO review / Chief's decision

IRO and Chief agreed.

POC Recommended

Chief's Response to POC

CAO Decision

Officer

107

SOP

§ 2-55-4F 1&2

Finding

Sustained

IRO review / Chief's decision

IRO and Chief agreed.

POC Recommended

Chief's Response to POC

CAO Decision

Officer

183

SOP

§ 2-55-2A 1
§ 2-55-2D

Finding

Exonerated
Exonerated

IRO review / Chief's decision

IRO and Chief agreed.
IRO and Chief agreed.

POC Recommended

Chief's Response to POC

CAO Decision

Officer

376

SOP

§ 2-55-2A 1
§ 2-55-2D

Finding

Exonerated
Exonerated

IRO review / Chief's decision

IRO and Chief agreed.
IRO and Chief agreed.

POC Recommended

POC upheld IRO decision.

Chief's Response to POC

CAO Decision

CPC # Summary of Complaint

CPC 087-2005 Citizen stated that an officer approached him and told him that the females at the park he was at were afraid because he was always parked there just watching. The officer told the citizen if he saw him there again he would have

Appeal Timeline

<i>Disposition Letter Response</i>	<i>Request for Appeal</i>	<i>Appeal Set</i>	<i>Appeal Reset/Heard</i>	<i>POC Decision</i>	<i>Request for CAO Review</i>	<i>CAO</i>
8/11/2005	10/3/2005	11/10/2005	11/10/2005	11/10/2005	12/9/2005	1/11/2006

Officer

789

SOP

§ 1-04-1F
§ 2-15-3A

Finding

Exonerated
Exonerated

IRO review / Chief's decision

IRO and Chief agreed.
IRO and Chief agreed.

POC Recommended

POC upheld IRO findings.

Chief's Response to POC

CAO Decision

CPC 156-2005 Citizen stated that he was jumped by seven individuals and when the police arrived they treated him badly, hit him in the chest, threatened him with jail and told him they would send him back to jail in a body bag. He stated that the officers used curse words. Officer also called him a wetback.

Appeal Timeline

<i>Disposition Letter Response</i>	<i>Request for Appeal</i>	<i>Appeal Set</i>	<i>Appeal Reset/Heard</i>	<i>POC Decision</i>	<i>Request for CAO Review</i>	<i>CAO</i>
10/27/2005	12/11/2005	1/12/2006	1/12/2006	1/12/2006		

Officer

748

SOP

§ 1-04-1F
§ 1-04-4N
§ 1-04-4P

Finding

Not Sustained
Unfounded
Unfounded

IRO review / Chief's decision

IRO and Chief agreed.
IRO and Chief agreed.
IRO and Chief agreed.

POC Recommended

POC upheld IRO decision.

Chief's Response to POC

CAO Decision

CPC # Summary of Complaint

CPC 222-2005 Citizen stated that she wanted a police report for criminal trespassing because the people that rent at her building let repair men work on the air condition and did not notify the tenants.

Appeal Timeline

<i>Disposition Letter Response</i>	<i>Request for Appeal</i>	<i>Appeal Set</i>	<i>Appeal Reset/Heard</i>	<i>POC Decision</i>	<i>Request for CAO Review</i>	<i>CAO</i>
12/22/2005	1/23/2006	2/9/2006	2/9/2006	2/9/2006		

Officer

713

SOP

§ 1-04-1F
§ 1-04-4O

Finding

Exonerated
Exonerated

IRO review / Chief's decision

IRO and Chief agreed.
IRO and Chief agreed.

POC Recommended

Chief's Response to POC

CAO Decision

Officer

830

SOP

§ 1-04-1F
§ 1-04-4P

Finding

Exonerated
Exonerated

IRO review / Chief's decision

IRO and Chief agreed.
IRO and Chief agreed.

POC Recommended

POC upheld IRO decision.

Chief's Response to POC

CAO Decision

CPC #**Summary of Complaint****CPC 229-2005**

Citizen stated that the officer misused her authority by making him spell his street name. He stated that she called him Mr. And that he is a Dr. and he asked her to address him as such. The officer told him Mr. Was sufficient enough. He stated that he was handcuffed because he refused to spell his street name and he went to the doctor to document the injury from the cuffs.

Appeal Timeline

<i>Disposition Letter Response</i>	<i>Request for Appeal</i>	<i>Appeal Set</i>	<i>Appeal Reset/Heard</i>	<i>POC Decision</i>	<i>Request for CAO Review</i>	<i>CAO</i>
11/30/2005	1/21/2006	2/9/2006	2/9/2006	2/9/2006		

Officer**780****SOP**

§ 1-03-2

§ 1-04-1A

§ 1-04-4C

Finding

Sustained

Sustained

Sustained

IRO review / Chief's decision

IRO and Chief agreed.

IRO and Chief agreed.

IRO and Chief agreed.

POC Recommended

POC recommended that §1-04-1F be added and

Chief's Response to POC

3/6/06 letter stated that Chief agreed with POC. SOP §1-04-1F was added and Sustained on the officers

CAO Decision**CPC 243-2005**

Citizen stated that officers tormented her verbally and psychologically abused her and took her for a mental evaluation.

Appeal Timeline

<i>Disposition Letter Response</i>	<i>Request for Appeal</i>	<i>Appeal Set</i>	<i>Appeal Reset/Heard</i>	<i>POC Decision</i>	<i>Request for CAO Review</i>	<i>CAO</i>
3/22/2006	5/4/2006	6/8/2006	7/13/2006	Check to see if citizen appeared		

Officer**161****SOP**

§ 1-03-2B 2

§ 1-03-3B

§ 1-04-1F

§ 2-19-4

Finding

Not Sustained

Sustained

Not Sustained

Sustained

IRO review / Chief's decision

IRO and Chief agreed.

IRO and Chief agreed.

IRO and Chief agreed.

IRO and Chief agreed.

POC Recommended**Chief's Response to POC****CAO Decision**

CPC #**Summary of Complaint****CPC 247-2005**

after

toilet.

underwear

Citizen stated that while at the police station she needed the restroom and

waiting an hour the officer took her. Her phone rang while in the restroom so she answered. The officer then took her by her arm and pulled her off the

She stated she kept asking him if she could pick up her pants and he would not let her. She felt he should not have barged in with her pants and

down and should not have even been in the bathroom with her.

Appeal Timeline

<i>Disposition Letter Response</i>	<i>Request for Appeal</i>	<i>Appeal Set</i>	<i>Appeal Reset/Heard</i>	<i>POC Decision</i>	<i>Request for CAO Review</i>	<i>CAO</i>
1/27/2006	2/22/2006	4/13/2006	4/13/2006	4/13/2006		

Officer

841

SOP

§ 1-04-1F

§ 2-52-02A

Finding

Exonerated

Exonerated

IRO review / Chief's decision

IRO and Chief agreed.

IRO and Chief agreed.

POC Recommended

POC upheld the IRO

Chief's Response to POC***CAO Decision***

CPC # Summary of Complaint

CPC 272-2005

Citizen stated that she was told to leave her residence until the next morning because a visitor in the neighborhood accosted by another woman. Later while the citizen and her family were sleeping they heard a loud scream from a woman so they called 911. The officer was the same one that responded earlier in the day and grabbed the citizen and pulled her over the threshold and used excessive force by grabbing her. The citizen had to go to the emergency room and had a CAT scan, and suturing of a three inch laceration. Citizen

had

Appeal Timeline

<i>Disposition Letter Response</i>	<i>Request for Appeal</i>	<i>Appeal Set</i>	<i>Appeal Reset/Heard</i>	<i>POC Decision</i>	<i>Request for CAO Review</i>	<i>CAO</i>
2/3/2006	2/13/2006	3/9/2006	3/9/2006	WD		

Officer

707

SOP

§ 1-03-2B
§ 2-52-02A

Finding

Unfounded
Exonerated

IRO review / Chief's decision

IRO and Chief agreed.
IRO and Chief agreed.

POC Recommended

Chief's Response to POC

CAO Decision

Officer

845

SOP

§ 1-03-2B

Finding

Unfounded

IRO review / Chief's decision

IRO and Chief agreed.

POC Recommended

Chief's Response to POC

CAO Decision

CPC 321-2005

Citizen stated that officers entered his property without permission.

Appeal Timeline

<i>Disposition Letter Response</i>	<i>Request for Appeal</i>	<i>Appeal Set</i>	<i>Appeal Reset/Heard</i>	<i>POC Decision</i>	<i>Request for CAO Review</i>	<i>CAO</i>
2/16/2006	2/28/2006	3/9/2006	3/9/2006	3/9/2006		

Officer

235

SOP

§ 1-04-1F

Finding

Exonerated

IRO review / Chief's decision

IRO and Chief agreed.

POC Recommended

POC upheld IRO decision.

Chief's Response to POC

CAO Decision

CPC #**Summary of Complaint****CPC 338-2005**

Citizen stated that officers told him he had a warrant and he told them he did not. The officers beat him up and tazed him. He stated that when he got to MDC the staff there found no warrant for him but for another male. The

officers

charged him with false charges anyway.

Appeal Timeline

<i>Disposition Letter Response</i>	<i>Request for Appeal</i>	<i>Appeal Set</i>	<i>Appeal Reset/Heard</i>	<i>POC Decision</i>	<i>Request for CAO Review</i>	<i>CAO</i>
3/23/2006	4/7/2006	5/11/2006	6/8/2006	6/8/2006		

Officer**167**

SOP
§ 2-52-02A

Finding
Exonerated

IRO review / Chief's decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

POC Recommended
POC upheld IRO decision.
Citizen left before appeal
heard. Stated he would take
care of things on the streets.

Chief's Response to POC

CAO Decision

Officer**625**

SOP
§ 2-52-02A

Finding
Exonerated

IRO review / Chief's decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

POC Recommended

Chief's Response to POC

CAO Decision

Officer**903**

SOP
§ 2-52-02A

Finding
Exonerated

IRO review / Chief's decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

POC Recommended

Chief's Response to POC

CAO Decision

CPC #**Summary of Complaint****CPC 002-2006**

a

Citizen stated that while walking home her boyfriend and another guy got into

to

fight and six police cruisers showed up. The officers were calling them drunk Indians and telling them to go back to the reservation. The citizen was trying

explain to the officers that the guy was the one who started the fight but the officers did not want to hear her story. The female officer kept making racial and vulgar comments toward the citizen and the citizen talked back to the officer. A male officer then attacked the citizen and beat her up. The citizen had to go to the hospital.

Appeal Timeline

<i>Disposition Letter Response</i>	<i>Request for Appeal</i>	<i>Appeal Set</i>	<i>Appeal Reset/Heard</i>	<i>POC Decision</i>	<i>Request for CAO Review</i>	<i>CAO</i>
4/25/2006	5/8/2006	6/8/2006	6/8/2006	6/8/2006		

Officer**040**

SOP
§ 2-52-06D 2

Finding
Sustained

IRO review / Chief's decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

POC Recommended
POC upheld IRO findings.

Chief's Response to POC**CAO Decision****Officer****532**

SOP
§ 1-04-4N
§ 1-04-4P

Finding
Unfounded
Unfounded

IRO review / Chief's decision
IRO and Chief agreed.
IRO and Chief agreed.

POC Recommended**Chief's Response to POC****CAO Decision****Officer****741**

SOP
§ 2-52-02A

Finding
Exonerated

IRO review / Chief's decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

POC Recommended**Chief's Response to POC****CAO Decision**

CPC #**Summary of Complaint****CPC 018-2006**
belong

Citizen stated that officer confiscated his license plate because it did not belong to the vehicle he was driving. He stated that his other vehicle was broken.

The

officer wrote him four citations and told the citizen if he did not sign them he would go to jail.

Appeal Timeline

<i>Disposition Letter Response</i>	<i>Request for Appeal</i>	<i>Appeal Set</i>	<i>Appeal Reset/Heard</i>	<i>POC Decision</i>	<i>Request for CAO Review</i>	<i>CAO</i>
2/28/2006	3/28/2006	4/13/2006	4/13/2006	4/13/2006		

Not APD**Unidentif
ied*****SOP******Finding******IRO review / Chief's decision****Inactivated*

Inactivated

IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen did not allege any unprofessional behavior.

Inactivated

Inactivated

IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen did not allege any unprofessional behavior.

POC Recommended

The case was an inactivation. The citizen spoke but no decision was

Chief's Response to POC***CAO Decision***

Part IX – POLICE OVERSIGHT COMMISSION:

Issues of Interest

Policy/Procedural Recommendations and

Updates

**Ongoing APD Disciplinary Trends
POC Training
Public Outreach**

Second Quarter Report-2006

The activities of the Police Oversight Commission are presented by monthly Commission meeting. Public outreach is indicated in the month of the activity. Please note that the appeals heard at the monthly meetings are summarized in Part VIII. The status of the long-term planning process can be traced through the activities of the monthly meetings.

Minutes of the monthly meetings are available at the City Clerk's office. Video tape recordings of the monthly POC meetings are available through Media Services of the City.

POC Meeting Dates:

January 12, 2006

A. Issues Discussed:

- Presented at Public Comments:
 - A citizen discussed her view of the lawful Republic.
 - A citizen complained that we needed to find out who was working against us and the government prosecutes citizens for minor matters and pits neighbors against neighbors.
 - A citizen discussed his public access show and civil rights violations.

B. Policy/Procedural Recommendations

- One appeal was heard and one was delayed. The POC agreed with the IRO.
- Detective Johnson gave a presentation on the polygraph examinations and their admissibility in New Mexico courts. Polygraphs are voluntary and people cannot be ordered to take them by a judge.
- The recommended terms lengths and number of terms for the POC and IRO was discussed.
- The role of the POC in ensuring the commission has a full complement of commissioners was discussed and it was decided the POC could send letters to

City Councilors and the Mayor to ensure commissioners were appointed and the POC could have one commissioner from each City Council District for the first time.

- The POC voted to attempt to get the 2007 NACOLE Conference to Albuquerque during Balloon Fiesta.
- The City Council hired MGT of America to review the system of civilian oversight of the police and make recommendations for improvement. Commissioners, the IRO staff, advocacy groups, and APD personnel were interviewed among others. The draft report is due in March.
- Chief Schultz appointed the IRO to APD's Biased Based Policing Committee.

C. APD Disciplinary Trends:

- Number of citizen complaints: 28 CPCs received, 8 completed investigations with 8 sustained charges resulting in two verbal reprimands, one ten hour suspension, and one retirement. Fourteen were inactivated.
- Number of Internal complaints: 20 were initiated, 21 completed investigations and two inactivated resulting in 63 Sustained charges with one five hour suspension, one eight hour suspension, one sixteen hour suspension, one twenty hour suspension, one twenty four hour suspension, 7 letters of reprimand, two verbal reprimands, two terminations, and three resigned while under investigation.

D. POC Training: FATS training was scheduled for February 28 at the Police Academy. Polygraph training was provided.

E. Public Outreach:

- The 2004 Annual Report was distributed to Commissioners, Mayor, City Council and City Clerk. It is available on line on the IRO's website for the first time. Also it is available to public through Clerk's office .
- The IRO responded to requests for interviews from the Albuquerque Journal, Albuquerque Tribune and Channel 4.
- Commissioners Jones and Cook met with officials from Kenya at the request of the State Department to discuss police oversight and how our process works.

February 9, 2006

A. Issues Discussed:

- Presented at Public Comments:
 - The lawful order of the Republic was not proper.

- Illegal seizure of citizen's property by the County. Peasants should oversee the politicians and common sense was hard to find here.
- Concern by citizen about only having 180 seconds to speak. Read letters regarding kings and the Department of Justice.
- Citizen played an audio tape and discussed his family's issues about CYFD.
- Citizen spoke about his concern over the Nuisance Abatement Team and the city was extorting property through that process.
- IRO Monthly report on cases investigated and reviewed. Reviewed the timeliness of closed cases and pending cases for both IA and the IRO investigators.
- Four appeals were heard.
 - CPC 202-05 was approved and the requested delay beyond the 60-day delay already approved was denied. The citizen had not participated in the investigation and wanted to delay the appeal until his criminal case was completed.
 - CPC 062-05 and 222-05 were upheld and the findings of the IRO were approved.
 - CPC 229-05 was reviewed. The IRO and Chief erred by not resolving whether the officer should have been Sustained for four or three violations of the Standard Operating Procedures. The citizen wanted the fourth finding Sustained in the officers record. The POC agreed with the citizen and recommended to the Chief that he add the fourth SOP violation to the officer's record.

B. Policy/Procedural Recommendations:

- The Assistant City Attorney provided his legal opinion that the POC Ordinance no longer had term limits contained in it, since they were deleted in June 2004. He explained that there was a general ordinance that covered all boards and commissions which stated that the terms were set for three years. Those commissioners who were appointed before the ordinance was changed were only allowed to serve the two terms as provided for at the time.
- The City Council passed the POC Rules and Regulations and they are now effective for the Commission.
- Capt. Paiz is changing the police presence in the Downtown area to a less visible uniformed presence. The early reaction is very favorable and fewer arrests and complaints have been received.
- The pilot mediation program is going very well. The IRO meets with the mediators regularly. Several police officers have apologized to the citizens and the citizens have been satisfied and dropped the complaint. These complaints are inactivated. There has been a large increase in the number of inactivated complaints and this is one reason for it.

C. APD Disciplinary Trends:

- Number of citizen complaints: 15 CPCs received and 14 were completed with 6 inactivated. Five charges were Sustained resulting in five verbal reprimands.
- Number of Internal complaints: 35 received, 20 completed with one inactivated. 37 charges were Sustained resulting in three 10-hour suspensions, one twenty hour suspension, one forty hour suspension, 9 letters of reprimand, and three verbal reprimands.
- There were five early warning hits.

D. POC Training: FATS training was not conducted because the guns were broken and sent for repair.

E. Public Outreach:

- IRO was interviewed by the Albuquerque Journal.
- The IRO attended two City Council meetings to assist the process of approving the annual report and the POC Rules and Regulations.
- The IRO met with the two new area commanders to discuss the process and areas of interest.
- Channel 2 did a story on the increase of police complaints in 2005.

March 9, 2006

A. Issues Discussed:

- Presented at Public Comments:
 - Citizen's view of the lawful Republic was discussed.
- Two appeals were heard. One was delayed until April 13. One was withdrawn and the POC voted to approve the findings of the IRO on CPC 321-05.
- The Chief agreed with the POC recommendation to add the fourth SOP and Sustain it in the officer's record on CPC 229-05.

B. Policy/ Procedural Recommendations:

- Rev James Jones was elected Chair and Michael Cook was elected Vice Chair. Commissioner Ira Rimson was selected to serve on the Long Term Planning Commission as the replacement for Commissioner Sanchez Davis.
- The IRO presented three police shooting cases and the POC approved the findings of Exonerated on the shootings while Sustaining procedural violations.
- The need for officer safety and calling in their stops was emphasized and the new changes to the SOPs that now required this were discussed.
- The number of complaints has decreased by 17% over the last four months. The POC approved the IRO request to change the needed additional investigators from two to one.

- Chief Schultz explained the improvements in the Evidence Room procedures. They currently have bar coded over 300,000 pieces of evidence. They have destroyed thousands of guns and bought an incinerator to destroy drugs.
- The IRO submitted the bid for the 2007 NACOLE Conference with the professional assistance of the Albuquerque Convention and Visitor Bureau.
- The consultant's report has not been received.
- The LTPC recommended the POC consider amendments to the POC Ordinance that would allow POC commissioners to serve up to three year terms and as many terms as the Mayor and City Council may approve.

C. APD Disciplinary Trends:

- The disciplinary trends were briefed. 23 CPC had been received and 23 completed. 15 were inactivated. Four charges were Sustained resulting in three letters of reprimand, one counseling.
- There were 28 Internal complaints initiated and 31 were completed. There were 63 Sustained charges resulting in one ten hour suspension, one twenty hour suspension, one termination, one resignation, 21 letters of reprimand, one verbal reprimand and one loss of take home vehicle for 60 days.

D. POC Training:

- FATS training was rescheduled for April and June at the Police Academy.

E. Public Outreach:

- IRO was interviewed by the Tribune who did a story on the decrease in complaints.
- Two POC commissioners were approved by the City Council.

April 13, 2006

A. Issues Discussed

a. Issues Presented at Public Comment

- i. The Director for Vecinos United stated he had met with the consultants and told them he was glad to have the POC, but it needed improvement and needed disciplinary authority. The

standard discipline for excessive force should be termination. He felt there were cover ups and retaliation during the evidence room scandal. Captain Miranda met with him and she got into trouble for doing the right thing.

- ii. A citizen discussed domestic violence where men are the victims. And not helped by police.
- iii. A citizen gave her view of the lawful order of the Free Republic.
- iv.
- v. Two citizens read excerpts from the Bible and discussed CYFD errors.

- b. Two appeals were heard and the POC upheld the findings of the IRO.

B. Policy/Procedure Recommendations

a. The POC had concerns about hearing belt tapes on appeal cases. It was agreed that the investigators would produce more detailed written information and quotes from the belt tapes and other public record tapes. When cases are appealed that information will be provided to the POC and the citizen. If a POC commissioner still believes more information is needed the commissioner may listen to the entire tape before the hearing. It is not appropriate to play the often lengthy and inaudible belt tapes at the hearing.

b. Two new commissioners were appointed by the mayor and should be approved soon.

c. The POC recommended the Police Oversight Ordinance be amended to provide for two three year terms for commissioners and the new IRO have an initial term of four years. This recommendation was sent to the City Council and Mayor.

d. The IRO and staff met with the NACOLE administrator to discuss our bid to have the 2007 NACOLE conference in Albuquerque.

C. APD Disciplinary Trends

- a. 33 Citizen complaints were received. Sixteen were completed and resulted in 13 Sustained charges and two written reprimands and six verbal reprimands. Seventeen were inactivated.
- b. 24 Internal investigations were received. 29 were completed, resulting in 38 sustained charges and two verbal reprimands, 17 letters of reprimand, two 10-hours suspensions, one 8-hour suspension, one 16-hour suspension, one 80-hour suspension, one 20-hour suspension, and one resignation.

D. POC Training-

- a. The training on APD mental health initiatives was delayed until May.
- b. Several POC commissioners attended FATS training.

May 11, 2006

A. Issues Discussed

a. Public Comment

- i. A citizen gave her views on the lawful order of the Republic.
- ii. The Director of Los Vecinos United said he helped set up the POC to serve justice and there was still no justice. He wanted the POC to overturn

their decision in the appeal heard last month and felt their finding was not justice for the lady.

iii. Another citizen said the POC should have discipline powers and APD should have training on civil rights especially with respect to elders.

iv. Another citizen stated the people were crying for help, but the government was not helping. The government took advantage of people.

b. One appeal was delayed until the next month. Two appeals were heard and the POC agreed with the findings of the IRO.

B. Policy/Procedure Recommendations-The POC voted to adopt Resolution 2006-01 which was the standard Open Meetings Act resolution.

C. APD Disciplinary Trends-

a. 37 Citizen complaints were received and 13 were inactivated. There were 18 Sustained charges resulting in two verbal reprimands, one letter of reprimand, one sixty hour suspension, one fifty hour suspension, and one officer resigned while under investigation.

b. 36 internal complaints were initiated and two were inactivated. There were 42 Sustained charges resulting in 16 letters of reprimand, one ten hour suspension, two ten hour suspensions held in abeyance, one eight hour suspension held in abeyance, one eight hour suspension, two ten hour suspensions held in abeyance, one thirty hour suspension, one eighty hour suspension, two terminations, and one resignation while under investigation.

D. POC Training-

a. FATS training was held.

b. An Assistant City Attorney provided training on the Open Meetings Act.

E. Public Outreach-

a. The IRO attended City Council meetings.

b. The IRO met with the new captains from the area commands to discuss issues.

c. The IRO continues to attend APD's Biased Based Policing program that discusses the recommendations from the Mayor's Task Force.

June 8, 2006

A. Issues Discussed

a. Public Comment

i. A citizen discussed her view of the free Republic.

ii. Two citizens came late and attempted to speak out of turn. One was told to file his complaint in writing. The second person was scheduled to be heard on appeal, but didn't want to wait and complained about APD and their use of force and the POC didn't care.

b. Two appeals were heard by the POC and one appeal was delayed until July.

B. Policy/Procedure Recommendations.

a. The IRO discussed the new procedure for shooting cases. After the incident is investigated criminally, the case will be forwarded to the District Attorney and Internal Affairs at the same time. IA will immediately initiate the administrative

investigation. Upon completion it will be forwarded to the IRO for review. If the Chief does not need an opinion from the DA the shooting will be presented to the POC. If the Chief desires an opinion from the DA, then the IRO will hold the shooting case until the DA finishes her review.

b. The IRO went to the meeting with the Vietnamese Task Force and explained the oversight process, provided complaint forms, and discussed any issues the community may have. The citizens said they had never had any problems with the police and thanked the IRO for the information.

c. The POC had new commissioners appointed and the number was up to eight. The POC has never been fully staffed at nine commissioners since the Ordinance was amended to increase the number from seven to nine with one from each City Council district. Only District 4 has never been represented on the POC.

d. The POC voted to have a closed training session for the commissioners where nothing but training will be discussed in August or September 2006. The IRO will find a suitable place for the training.

C. APD Disciplinary Trends.

a. 24 citizen complaints were received. 13 inactivated. Two cases had sustained charges of citizen complaints resulted in one written reprimand and one verbal reprimand.

b. Internal investigations sustained 29 cases which resulted in 66 violations and resulted in 23 letters of reprimand, one resignation, one 100-hour suspension, one 32-hour suspension, one retirement, one sixty hour suspension, and one termination.

D. POC Training-

a. Several commissioners received FATS training as required by the POC Ordinance. The consultants recommended the IRO staff keep track of the required training for all POC commissioners. This will be done starting with this year and the administrative assistant has created a data base and will keep commissioners informed.

b. Karen Fisher and Liz Thompson provided new initiatives that APD was using to help homeless people and the mentally ill.

c. The IRO provided training to the new POC commissioners before their first meetings.

E. Public Outreach-The IRO attended several City Council meetings and discussed his quarterly report and responses to the consultant's report. The full quarterly reports and consultant's reports are on the IRO website at www.cabq.gov/iro.